

Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS TOGETHER WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis	1-5
Independent Auditor's Report	6-8
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Governmental Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position - Governmental Activities	9
Statement of Activities - Governmental Activities	10
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	14
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	
Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	12
Reconciliation of Total Government Fund Balances to Net Position of	
Government Activities	13
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes	
In Fund Balances- Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	14
Statement of Fiduciary Balance Sheet – Agency Funds	15
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Fund Balance	16
Notes To Financial Statements	17-35
Budget Comparison Schedule - Unrestricted Funds	36
Budget Comparison Schedule – Restricted Fund	37
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	38
Schedule of Contributions - Retirement Plan	39
Summary of Auditors' Results	40
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance and on Internal Control	
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	41-42
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable	
To Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in	
Accordance with the Uniform Guidance	43-44
Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards	45
Notes to Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards	46
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	47-51
Update of Prior Year Findings	52
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits, and Other Payments	
To Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer	53

Our discussion and analysis of Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans financial performance provides an overview of the financial activities for the year. Please read it in conjunction with the basic financial statements and the accompanying notes to the financial statements.

# OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Government Financial Statements – The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities provide information about the financial activities as a whole and present a longer-term view of the finances. Fund Financial Statements (FFS) – The Balance Sheet and the Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances tell how these services were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund Financial Statements also report the operations in more detail than Government Statements by providing information about the most significant funds.

Our auditor has provided assurance in his independent auditor's report, located immediately following this MD&A, that the Basic Financial Statements are fairly stated. The auditor regarding the Required Supplemental Information is providing varying degrees of assurance. A user of this report should read the independent auditor's report carefully to ascertain the level of assurance being provided for each of the other parts in the Financial Section.

# GOVERNMENTAL WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

One of the most important questions asked about the finances is, "Is the Criminal District Court as a whole better or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities report information about the entity as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

#### FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Fund Financial Statements provide detailed information about the most significant funds not the operations as a whole. Some funds are required to be established by State laws. Other funds are established to help control and manage money for a particular purpose while others are to show that it is meeting legal responsibilities for using certain appropriations, grants, and other money.

#### Continued.

The Criminal District Court utilizes the governmental type of fund with the following accounting approach. Most of the basic services are reported in governmental funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. These funds are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which measures cash and all other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance programs. We describe the relationships (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds in the reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Our financial statements provide these insights into the results of this year's operations:

- As a result of this year's operations, total net position for the Court was (\$6,357,886). Net position improved by \$2.4 million representing a reduction in the deficit as compared to the previous year's balance. The change is primarily due to an increase in grants and intergovernmental support.
- Total Court revenues increased by \$2.3 million, reporting \$11.4 million in 2019 compared to \$9.1 million in the previous year.

Total net position was comprised of the following:

- Invested in capital assets of \$598,224, the net book value of property and equipment.
- Restricted Net Position recorded at \$4.2 million.
- Unrestricted net position reflected a balance of (\$11.2) million which reflects a decrease of \$369,397 compared to the prior year reported at (\$11.6) million. This balance represents the net position balance available to maintain continuing obligations to citizens and creditors for the unrestricted fund. The deficit is primarily caused by pension obligations in accordance with GASB 68.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT AS A WHOLE The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities reports only one type of government activity. Our analysis below focuses on the net position of the governmental-type activities:

Condensed Statement of Net Position

ASSETS		2019		2018
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4,514,519	\$	2,282,289
Grants receivable		532,124		582,286
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		598,224		640,371
Total Assets		5,644,867		3,504,946
Deferred Outflows:			-	
Pension Related		1,497,096		243,161
Total Assets and Deferred Outflows	_	7,141,963	_	3,748,107
LIABILITIES				
Accounts Payables		240,384		100,145
Benefits Payable		385,541		187,590
Compensated Abscenses		189,526		234,648
Net Pension Liability		12,658,095	-	11,874,477
Total Liabilities		13,473,546		12,396,860
Deferred Inflows:				
Pension Related		26,303		117,827
Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows		13,499,849		12,514,687
NET POSITION				
Investment in Capital Assets, Net		598,224		640,371
Restricted Net Position		4,231,192		2,149,745
Total Restricted Net Position	_	4,829,416	_	2,790,116
Unrestricted Net Position		(11,187,302)		(11,556,699)
Total Unrestricted Net Position		(11,187,302)		(11,556,699)
Total Net Position	\$	(6,357,886)	\$	(8,766,583)

#### Continued,

As a result of this year's operations, net position improved by \$2,408,697. The total balance in net position, (\$6,357,886) represents the accumulated results of the current and all past years' operations as of December 31, 2019.

Our analysis below focuses on the changes in net position of the governmental - type activities:

#### Condensed Statement of Activities 2019 2018 Change 9,359,199 Total Program Revenues S 11,295,415 \$ \$ 1,936,216 746,869 Total Program Expenses (8,950,598)(9,697,467) 2,344,817 2,683,085 Net Program Income (338,268) General Revenues 63,880 30,856 33.024 Change in Net Position \$ 2.408,697 \$ (307, 412)\$ 2,716,109

The total program revenues for the year in governmental activities were \$11.3 million. The components of the revenues were \$862,567 in charges for services and \$10.4 million in operating and grant revenues. The resulting change in net position for the year ended December 31, 2019 was \$2.4 million.

#### BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

The total of unrestricted fund budgeted revenues was \$5.9 million with actual revenues reported at \$7.2 million. The total of restricted fund budgeted revenues was \$4.5 million with actual revenues reported at \$4.1 million. Total unrestricted fund budgeted expenses were \$5.9 million with actual expenses reported at \$5.6 million. Total restricted fund budgeted expenses were \$4.5 million with actual expenses reported at \$3.8 million. Salaries and benefits accounted for a substantial portion of the budgeted and actual expenses for both of the funds, reflecting total actual expense reported at \$6.9 million with a budgeted amount of \$7.2 million.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS

The investment in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, for governmental activities as of December 31, 2019 and 2018 was \$598,224 and \$640,371 respectively. The decrease in capital assets were primarily due to depreciation expense and adjustments to the accumulated depreciation accounts.

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Criminal District Court finances and to show the Criminal District Court accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact:

Mr. Robert Kazik, Judicial Administrator Criminal District Court For the Parish of Orleans 2700 Tulane Avenue Room 201 New Orleans, LA 70119 Phone (504) 658-9100



Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Karen Herman, Chief Judge Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans

# **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans (the Court) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

# Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

New Orleans Office: 1100 Poydras Street, Suite 1225/New Orleans, LA 70163/(504)561-8600 Memphis Office: 119 S. Main Street, Suite 500/Memphis, TN 38103/(901)322-4238 Atlanta Office: Five Concourse Pkwy, Suite 3000/Atlanta, GA 30328/(770)399-8808

# Continued,

#### Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Court, as of December 31, 2019, and the respective changes in financial position, for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 1-5 and 33-34, schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability and schedule of contributions and the related notes to required supplemental information on pages on pages 35 and 36 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context.

We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements. The schedule of compensation, benefits, and other payments to agency head (the schedule) is presented to comply with the Act 706 of the 2014 Louisiana Legislative Session and is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The schedule is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, schedule is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

# Continued,

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 29, 2020, on our consideration of the Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Court's internal control over financial reporting and

compliance.

Luther Speight & Company CPAs New Orleans, Louisiana June 29, 2020 BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATEMENT OF NET POSITION - GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	4,514,519
Grants receivable		532,124
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		598,224
Deferred Outflows:		
Pension Related		1,497,096
Total Assets and Deferred Outflows		7,141,963
LIABILITIES		
Accounts Payables		240,384
Benefits Payable		385,541
Compensated Abscenses		189,526
Net Pension Liability		12,658,095
Deferred Inflows:		12,050,075
Pension Related		26,303
Total Liabilities and Deferred Inflows		13,499,849
Total Elabilities and Deterred Hillows		15,477,047
NET POSITION		
Investment in Capital Assets		598,224
Restricted Net Position		4,231,192
Total Restricted Net Position	_	4,829,416
Unrestricted Net Position		(11,187,302)
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$	(6,357,886)

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

				Program 1	Revenu	les		
		Expenses	Charge	es for Services	Inte	Grants & rgovernmental Support	(Expe	Net nses)/Revenues
Governmental activities:		1000			1	10 A. 10 A.	1	
General government	\$	8,950,598	\$	862,567	\$	10,432,848	\$	2,344,817
Total governmental activi	ties:						_	2,344,817
General revenues:								
Interest income							-	63,880
Total general revenues								63,880
Changes in net position							-	2,408,697
Net position - beginning								(8,766.583)
Net position - ending							đ	(6,357,886)

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

						Total
	L	Inrestricted			Go	overnmental
ASSETS		Fund	Res	stricted Fund		Funds
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,871,488	\$	1,643,031	\$	4,514,519
Grants receivable		-		532,124		532,124
Due from other funds		1,118,350	_			1,118,350
Total Assets		3,989,838		2,175,155		6,164,993
LIABILITIES						
Accounts Payables		192,980		47,404		240,384
Benefits Payable		385,541				385,541
Due to other funds		-		1,118,350		1,118,350
Total Liabilities		578,521		1,165,754		1,744,275
		0,0,021		1,100,701		
FUND BALANCE						
Assigned:						
Restricted Funds		628,432		1,009,401		1,637,833
Total Assigned Fund Balances		628,432		1,009,401	-	1,637,833
Unassigned:						
General Fund		2,782,885	_			2,782,885
Total Fund Balances		3,411,317		1,009,401		4,420,718
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	3,989,838	\$	2,175,155	\$	6,164,993

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Revenues	Unrestricted Fund	Restricted Fund	Governmental Funds
Charges for Services	\$ 644,350	\$ 218,217	\$ 862,567
Grants and Intergovernmental Funds	6,517,443	3,915,405	10,432,848
Interest	52,479	11,401	63,880
Total Revenues	7,214,272	4,145,023	11,359,295
Expenditures			
Salaries and Fringe Benefits	3,208,937	1,674,785	4,883,722
Fringe Benefits	1,299,628	703,185	2,002,813
Travel-Transportation	8,123	3,344	11,467
Travel-Lodging	17,288	8,408	25,696
Travel-Per Diem	6,872	3,306	10,178
Conference Registration	17,128	13,621	30,749
Meetings - Internal/Local	8,653	322	8,975
Office Supplies	73,709	14,648	88,357
Jury Expenses	108	87,957	88,065
Telephone	29,864	3,980	33,844
Postage	2,109	13,950	16,059
Dues and Subscriptions	9,020	780	9,800
Paper Supplies	29,750	6,859	36,609
Advertising	2,715	275	2,990
Building Maintenance & Repairs	134,017	1,100	135,117
Capital Outlay	10,895	1,760	12,655
Equipment Maint & Repairs	19,361	-	19,361
Lease Payment	11,188	185,240	196,428
Equipment Rentals	3,920	-	3,920
Professional & Contractual	428,393	962,123	1,390,516
Drug Testing Supplies	77,376	99,248	176,624
Law Books	81,612	-	81,612
Miscellaneous	33,054	31,490	64,544
Insurance - Professional Liability	89,206	-	89,206
Total Expenditures	5,602,926	3,816,381	9,419,307
Net Change in Fund Balances	1,611,346	328,642	1,939,988
Fund Transfers (In/(Out)	(153,475)	153,475	-
Fund Balance Beginning of Year	1,580,116	804,276	2,384,392
Fund Balance Adjustments	373,330	(276,992)	96,338
Fund Balance End of Year	\$ 3,411,317	\$ 1,009,401	\$ 4,420,718

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO GOVERNMENTAL NET POSITION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Total Governmental Fund Balance	\$	4,420,718
Amounts reported in governmental activities in		
the statement of net position are different because:		
Deferred Outflows - Pension Related		1,497,096
Capital assets used in the governmental activities are		
not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds.		598,224
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current		
period and therefore are not reported in Governmental		
Fund Balance Sheet		
Net Pension Liability	1.1	(12,658,095)
Compensated abscenses liability		(189,526)
Deferred Inflows - Pension related		(26,303)
Other reconciling differences		141112
Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$	(6,357,886)

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS RECONCILIATION OF CHANGE IN GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE AND CHANGE IN GOVERNMENTAL NET POSITION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (fund financial statements)		\$	1,939,988
Amount reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:			
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures in the individual fund.			
Governmental activities report depreciation expense to allocate the cost of those capital assets over the estimated estimated useful lives of the asset.			
Depreciation expense Capital outlay	52,139 (9,992)		42,147
Long-term liabilities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in the Governmental Funds.			
Change in compensated absence expense			45,122
Change in compensated absence expense Non-employer contributions to cost sharing pension plan Pension expense		(	45,122 (1,250,682) 1,632,122

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY FUND BALANCE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

# ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 741,415
Accounts receivable	8,927
Due from defendants	1,300
Total Assets	751,642
LIABILITIES	

Accounts payable		21,999
Due to victims		729,643
Total Liabilities	S	751,642

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

# **ADDITIONS:**

Deposits on behalf of Victims	\$ 622,832
Other adjustments	128,538
Total Additions	751,370
DEDUCTIONS:	
Disbursements to Victims	536,951
Other adjustments	61,273
Total Deductions	598,224
Change in Fiduciary Fund Balance	153,146
FIDUCIARY FUND BALANCE:	1.000
Beginning of Year	606,423
Fund Balance Adjustments	(29,926)
Ending of Year	\$ 729,643

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Louisiana Revised Statute 13:1335 established the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans (Criminal Court). The Criminal Court is composed of judges serving six-year terms. The Criminal Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the Parish of Orleans. The Criminal Court has appellate jurisdiction for all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans.

In addition, Louisiana Revised Statute 13:1346 established the Magistrate Section of the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans. The Magistrate Section is composed of one elected judge and four commissioners who are appointed by the judges sitting en banc, each serving six-year terms. The Magistrate Section has jurisdiction to act as committing magistrate in felony and misdemeanor charges and to hold preliminary examinations, with the authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans.

The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans includes all funds that are controlled by the judges enbanc as independently elected officials with oversight responsibility. Oversight responsibility is determined on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1. Appointment of governing boards
- 2. Designation of management
- 3. Ability to significantly influence operations
- 4. Accountability for fiscal matters
- 5. Scope of public service

# A. FUND ACCOUNTING

The accounts of the Criminal Court are organized on the basis of funds, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Revenues are accounted for in these individual funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled. The funds presented in the general purpose financial statements are described as follows:

Continued,

### **GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS**

#### Unrestricted Fund

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the Criminal Court. It accounts for all fmancial resources, except those that are required to be accounted for in other funds.

#### **Restricted Fund**

Grant Funds account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes. In addition, the Board of Jury Commissioners is reported as a specific program within the Restricted Fund.

#### **FIDUCIARY FUNDS**

#### Probation Assessment, Restitution and Court Cost Agency Funds

The Probation Assessment, Restitution and Court Cost Agency Funds account for assets held as an agent for others. Agency funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operation.

# B. Basic Financial Statements – Basis of Presentation

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The basic financial statements include both government-wide (reporting as a whole) and fund financial statements (reporting the major funds). All of the judicial and administrative services are classified as governmental activities.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the governmental activities are reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations. The net positions are reported in three parts 1.) invested in capital assets, net of related debt; 2.) restricted net position; and 3.) unrestricted net position.

The government-wide Statement of Activities reports both the gross and net cost of each of the functions and activities. These functions are also supported by general government revenues (interest earned and other miscellaneous revenues). The Statement of Activities reduces gross expenses (including depreciation) by related program revenues and grants.

The net costs (by function) are normally covered by general revenue (interest earned, etc.). This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability as an entity and the change in the net assets resulting from the current year's activities.

# FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial transactions are reported within individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its assets, liabilities, reserves, fund balance, revenues and expenditures/expenses. The various funds are reported by generic classification within the financial statements.

The governmental fund type is the only type reported. The focus of the governmental funds measurement (in the fund statements) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income.

#### C. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

#### Accrual Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported on the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. Program revenue included in the Statement of Activities is derived directly from the program itself and reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the general revenues.

# Modified Accrual Basis of Accounting

The fund financial statements are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). "Measurable" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period or within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recorded when the related fund liability is incurred. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. Operating statements of these funds present increases (i.e. revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (i.e. expenditures and other financing uses). Fines, fees, and other revenues are recorded when collected and are considered susceptible to accrual.

# D. Budgets

The Criminal District Court adopts an annual budget for the Unrestricted Fund and the Restricted Funds on a modified accrual basis of accounting. The original proposed budget is made available for public inspection and adopted no later than December of the previous year.

#### E. SALARIES, OFFICE SUPPLIES AND TRAVEL OF JUDGES

Salaries, office supplies, and travel of the judges that are paid directly by warrants drawn on the Louisiana Supreme Court are not included in the expenditures on the accompanying financial statements.

#### F. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

Employees of the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans and the Board of Jury Commissioners earn from one to four weeks of vacation leave each year, depending upon their years of service. This vacation leave does not accumulate or vest. Sick leave is not earned, but is granted as needed. Paternity leave is granted for up to five days paid. Additionally, maternity leave is provided for as follows:

Number of weeks absent	Percent of compensation paid
6 or less	100%
7	75%
8	50%
9	25%
10 or more	0%

The liability for unused vacation leave payable at December 31, 2019 was \$189,526.

#### G. RISK MANAGEMENT

The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans participates in an insurance risk pool sponsored by the State of Louisiana, Office of Risk Management. Annual premiums are paid to the Office of Risk Management to obtain coverage for comprehensive general liability, worker's compensation, faithful performance blanket bond, building and property, automobile liability, automobile physical damage, crime and miscellaneous tort. Premiums are based on two factors: The exposure of the agency to various kinds of claims, and the amount of those claims. Additionally, the risk pool through commercial insurance carriers provides excess coverage.

# H. NET POSITION AND FUND BALANCE ADJUSTMENTS

The Court did not record certain audit adjustments from prior year audits. As a result the Court recorded net position and fund balance adjustments to properly reflect the respective ending balances.

#### I. TOTAL COLUMNS OF GENERAL PURPOSE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The total columns on the general-purpose financial statements are presented only to facilitate financial analysis. Data in these columns do not present financial position or results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Neither is such data comparable to a consolidation. Inter-fund eliminations have not been made in the aggregation of this data.

# J. ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

# K. INCOME TAXES

Criminal Court is exempt from income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and classified by the Internal Revenue Service as other than a private foundation.

# NOTE 2: CHANGES IN CAPITAL ASSET BALANCES

A summary of changes in property and equipment is detailed below:

CAPITAL ASSET ACTIVITY	Amounts
Net Fixed Asset beginning balance January 1, 2019	\$640,371
Additions	9,992
Disposals	
Subtotal	650,363
Less: Current year depreciation	(52,139)
Ending balance, December 31, 2019	\$598,224

Depreciation expense is computed on a straight-line basis and is recorded at \$52,139 for the year ended December 31, 2019.

# NOTE 3: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In December 2019, an outbreak of a novel strain of coronavirus (COVID-19) spread across multiple countries, including the United States. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization characterized COVID-19 as a pandemic. In addition, multiple jurisdictions in the United States have declared a state of emergency. It is anticipated that these impacts will continue for some time. Future potential impacts may include disruptions in operations and ability for employees to perform their job functions. Subsequent events have been evaluated through June 29, 2020 and advised us that no other reportable matters existed.

# NOTE 4: LEASES

The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans records items under capital leases as assets and obligations in the accompanying financial statements. Capital leases at December 31, 2019, consist of office equipment such as copiers, which are included in the fixed assets. No additional leases were acquired in the year 2019. The lease agreements provide for renewal and purchase options at the end of the lease term. The Court exercised its purchase option on capital leases at the end of the lease term. Accordingly, there were no future minimum lease payments due.

#### NOTE 5: CHANGES IN DUE TO VICTIM CASH BALANCE

A summary of changes in amounts Due to Victims cash balance are as follows:

ADDI	TION	NS:
Davia	faile and	1-1-16

Deposits on behalf of Victims	\$ 622,832
Other adjustments	128,538
Total Additions	\$ 751,370
DEDUCTIONS:	
Disbursements to Victims	536,951
Other adjustments	61,273
Total Deductions	 598,224
Change in Fiduciary Fund Balance	153,146
FIDUCIARY FUND BALANCE:	
Beginning of Year	606,423
Fund Balance Adjustments	(29,926)
Ending of Year	\$ 729,643

# NOTE 7: COLLATERALIZATION OF DEPOSITS

At December 31,2019, the Criminal Court had cash balances totaling \$4,514,519. These deposits were secured from risk by federal deposit insurance at two financial institutions. Balances in excess of FDIC insurance limits were collateralized by the depository institution with pledged securities of the respective depository institutions. The pledged securities are held by the depository institution, in the name of the Criminal District Court.

#### NOTE 8: PROBATION ASSESSMENT, RESTITUTION AND COURT COST

The Probation Assessment and Restitution Fund accounts for the amount a defendant is ordered to pay at the discretion of the court when the court has suspended the imposition or execution of a sentence and has placed the defendant on probation. Payments are made to victims for restitution of their losses as ordered by the court. Probation assessments are paid upon collection to the Criminal District Court for Orleans Parish as provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 15:571.11 (D). The Court Cost Fund collects all costs assessed against defendant pursuant to Louisiana Revised Statute 13:1377 (B) effective September 1, 1991. Payments are distributed monthly to other agencies, which participate in the amount of court costs assessed.

#### NOTE 9: PENSIONS

During the prior years the Court adopted the requirements of GASB Statement 68. Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27, and GASB Statement 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date, an amendment to GASB 68. Substantially all of the employees of the Court participate in the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS or the System). The Plan is a costsharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan established by Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401) to provide retirement allowances and other benefits to eligible state officers, employees, and their beneficiaries.

# A. Plan Description

The System was established for the purpose of providing retirement allowances and other benefits as stated under the provisions of La. R.S. 11:401, as amended, for eligible state officers, employees and their beneficiaries. The projection of benefit payments in the calculation of the total pension liability includes all benefits to be provided to current active and inactive employees through the System in accordance with the benefit terms and any additional legal agreements to provide benefits that are in force at the measurement date.

The following is a description of the plan and its benefits and is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to the appropriate statutes for more complete information.

#### 1. Retirement

The age and years of creditable service required in order for a member to retire with full benefits are established by statute, and vary depending on the member's hire date, employer, and job classification. Our rank and file members hired prior to July 1, 2006, may either retire with full benefits at any age upon completing 30 years of creditable service, at age 55 upon completing 25 years of creditable service, and at age 60 upon completing ten years of creditable service depending on their plan. Those members hired between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2015, may retire at age 60 upon completing five years of creditable service. The basic annual retirement benefit for members is equal to 2.5% to 3.5% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service. Additionally, members may choose to retire with 20 years of service at any age, with an actuarially reduced benefit.

Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment for members employed prior to July 1, 2006. For members hired July 1, 2006 or later, average compensation is based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment.

The maximum annual retirement benefit cannot exceed the lesser of 100% of average compensation or a certain specified dollar amount of actuarially determined monetary limits, which vary depending upon the member's age at retirement. Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1.0% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. As an alternative to the basic retirement benefits, a member may elect to receive their retirement throughout their life, with certain benefits being paid to their designated beneficiary after their death.

Act 992 of the 2010 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session changed the benefit structure for LASERS members hired on or after January 1, 2011. This resulted in three new plans: regular, hazardous duty, and judges. The new regular plan includes regular members and those members who were formerly eligible to participate in specialty plans, excluding hazardous duty and judges. Regular members and judges are eligible to retire at age 60 after five years of creditable service and may also retire at any age with a reduced benefit after 20 years of creditable service. Hazardous duty members are eligible to retire with 12 years of creditable service at age 55, 25 years of creditable service at any age, or with a reduced benefit after 20 years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment for all three new plans. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, hazardous duty plan a 3.33% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate. The extra 1.0% accrual rate for each year of service for court officers, the governor, lieutenant governor, legislators, House clerk, sergeants at arms, or Senate secretary, employed after January 1, 2011, was eliminated by Act 992. Specialty plan and regular members, hired prior to January 1, 2011, who are hazardous duty employees have the option to transition to the new hazardous duty plan.

Act 226 of the 2014 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session established new retirement eligibility for members of LASERS hired on or after July 1, 2015, excluding hazardous duty plan members. Regular members and judges under the new plan are eligible to retire at age 62 after five years of creditable service and may also retire at any age with a reduced benefit after 20 years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate; and judges a 3.5% accrual rate, with the extra 1.0% accrual rate based on all years of service as a judge.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who were members prior to July 1, 2014, may retire after 25 years of creditable service at any age, 12 years of creditable service at age 55, 20 years of creditable service at age 45, and 10 years of creditable service at age 60. Average compensation for the plan is the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment with a 3.33% accrual rate.

A member leaving employment before attaining minimum retirement age, but after completing certain minimum service requirements, becomes eligible for a benefit provided the member lives to the minimum service retirement age, and does not withdraw their accumulated contributions. The minimum service requirement for benefits varies depending upon the member's employer and service classification.

#### 2. Deferred Benefits

The State Legislature authorized LASERS to establish a Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP). When a member enters DROP, their status changes from active member to retiree even though they continue to work and draw their salary for a period of up to three years. The election is irrevocable once participation begins. During DROP participation, accumulated retirement benefits that would have been paid to each retiree are separately tracked. For members who entered DROP prior to January 1, 2004, interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized actuarial return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero) will be credited to the retiree after participation of benefits that have accumulated in the DROP account. Members who enter DROP on or after January 1, 2004, are required to participate in LASERS Self-Directed Plan (SDP) which is administered by a third-party provider. The SDP allows DROP participants to choose from a menu of investment options for the allocation of their DROP balances. Participants may diversify their investments by choosing from an approved list of mutual funds with different holdings, management styles, and risk factors.

Members eligible to retire and who do not choose to participate in DROP may elect to receive at the time of retirement an initial benefit option (IBO) in an amount up to 36 months of benefits with an actuarial reduction of their future benefits. For members who selected the IBO option prior to January 1, 2004, such amount may be withdrawn or remain in the IBO account earning interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero). Those members who select the IBO on or after January 1, 2004, are required to enter the SDP as described above.

The DROP/IBO Reserve consists of the reserves for all members who select the DROP or IBO upon retirement. The balance in the DROP/IBO Reserve as of June 30, 2019 and 2018 was \$1,091,758,883 and \$1,086,044,790 respectively.

#### 3. Disability Benefits

Generally, active members with ten or more years of credited service who become disabled may receive a maximum disability retirement benefit equivalent to the regular retirement formula without reduction by reason of age.

Upon reaching retirement age, the disability retiree may receive a regular retirement benefit by making application to the Board of Trustees.

For injuries sustained in the line of duty, hazardous duty personnel in the Hazardous Duty Services Plan will receive a disability benefit equal to 75% of final average compensation or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who become disabled may receive a non-line of duty disability benefit after five years or more of credited service. Members age 55 or older may receive a disability benefit equivalent to the regular retirement benefit. Under age 55, the disability benefit is equal to 40% of final average compensation. Line of duty disability benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, regardless of years of credited service or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence.

If the disability benefit retiree is permanently confined to a wheelchair, or, is an amputee incapable of serving as a law enforcement officer, or the benefit is permanently legally binding, there is no reduction to the benefit if the retiree becomes gainfully employed.

#### 4. Survivor's Benefits

Certain eligible surviving dependents receive benefits based on the deceased member's compensation and their relationship to the deceased. The deceased regular member hired before January 1, 2011 who was in state service at the time of death must have a minimum of five years of service credit, at least two of which were earned immediately prior to death, or who had a minimum of twenty years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor or handicapped child. Benefits are payable to an unmarried child until age 18, or age 23 if the child remains a full-time student. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirement is ten years for a surviving spouse with no minor children, and benefits are to be paid for life to the spouse or qualified handicapped child.

The deceased regular member hired on or after January 1, 2011, must have a minimum of five years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor child. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirements for a surviving spouse are 10 years, 2 years being earned immediately prior to death, and active state service at the time of death, or a minimum of 20 years of service credit regardless of when earned. A deceased member's spouse must have been married for at least one year before death.

A Hazardous Duty Services Plan member's surviving spouse and minor or handicapped or mentally incapacitated child or children are entitled to survivor benefits of 80% of the member's final average compensation if the member was killed in the line of duty. If the member dies in the line of duty as a result of an intentional act of violence, survivor benefits may be increased to 100% of the member's final average compensation.

Non-line of duty survivor benefits of the Harbor Police Retirement System may be received after a minimum of five years of credited service. Survivor benefits paid to a surviving spouse without children are equal to 40% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage. Surviving spouse with children under 18 benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage, and children turning 18.

No minimum service credit is required for line of duty survivor benefits which are equal to 60% of final average compensation to surviving spouse or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence regardless of children. Line of duty survivor benefits cease upon remarriage, and then benefit is paid to children under 18.

#### 5. Permanent Benefit Increases/Cost-of-Living Adjustments

As fully described in Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System allows for the payment of permanent benefit increases, also known as cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs), that are funded through investment earnings when recommended by the Board of Trustees and approved by the State Legislature.

The Experience Account Reserve is used to fund permanent benefit increases for retirees. The benefit increase granted must be funded at 100% of the actuarial cost. The account accumulates 50% of the excess investment gain relative to the actuarial valuation rate of 7.60% after such excess return exceeded \$100,000,000 (indexed to positive changes in the actuarial value of assets beginning June 30, 2015).

If the System is at least 80% funded, the balance of the Experience Account maintains a reserve for two permanent benefit increases. However, if the System is less than 80% funded, the reserve is restricted to one permanent benefit increase, based on the current allowable percentage granted for the permanent benefit increase. Excess investment gains that would have otherwise gone to the Experience Account, if not for the restrictions, will be applied to the System's net pension liability. Beginning June 30, 2016, allocations to the Experience Account will be amortized over ten years. At June 30, 2019 and 2018, the balance of the Experience Account Reserve was \$11,824,506 and \$11,241,902, respectively.

# **B.** Optional Retirement Plan

In 1999, an Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) was established as a defined contribution component of LASERS for certain unclassified employees who otherwise would have been eligible to become members of the defined benefit plan. The ORP provides portability of assets and full and immediate vesting of all contributions submitted on behalf of members. The ORP is administered by a third- party provider with oversight from LASERS Board of Trustees. Monthly employer and employee contributions are invested as directed by the member to provide the member with future retirement benefits. The amount of these benefits is entirely dependent upon the total contributions and investment returns accumulated during the member's working lifetime. ORP balances are held by the provider in each participant's name. These balances are included in LASERS total investments on the Statements of Fiduciary Net Position. The ORP was closed to new members on December 7, 2007. However, members in the ORP as of December 31, 2007 were granted the option by Act 718 of the 2012 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session to regain membership in the defined benefit plan.

At June 30, 2019, and 2018, membership consisted of:

	2019	2018
Number of Members	48	52
<b>Employee Contributions</b>	\$82,173	\$87,642
<b>Employer Contributions</b>	\$407,439	\$431,055

The ORP Reserve consists of reserves for all members who elected to participate in the ORP, and is credited with contributions made by the employee and the normal employer matching contributions for services rendered. When a member terminates his service, or upon his death before qualifying for a benefit, the refund of his contributions is made from this reserve. Also, when a member retires, his benefits are paid from this reserve. The balance of the ORP Reserve as of June 30, 2019 and 2018 was \$5,500,164 and \$5,431,140, respectively.

# **C.** Contributions

# 1. Member Contributions

Member contribution rates for the System are established by La. R.S. 11:62. Member contributions are deducted from a member's salary and remitted to the System by participating employers. If a member leaves covered employment or dies before any benefits become payable on their behalf, the accumulated contributions may be refunded to the member or their designated beneficiary. Similarly, accumulated contributions in excess of any benefits paid to members or their survivors are refunded to the member's beneficiaries or their estates upon cessation of any survivor's benefits.

#### 2. Employer Contributions

The employer contribution rate is established annually under La. R.S. 11:101-11:104 by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC), taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's Actuary. Each plan pays a separate actuarially determined employer contribution rate. However, all assets of LASERS are used for the payment of benefits for all classes of members, regardless of their plan membership.

The member and employer rates in effect during the years ended June 30, 2019 for the various plans are as follows:

Plan	Plan Status	Employer Contribution <u>Rate</u>	Employee Rate
Judges hired before 1/1/2011	Closed	40.10%	11.50%
Judges hired after 12/31/2010	Closed	39.00%	13.00%
Judges hired on or after 7/1/2015	Open	39.00%	13_00%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/1/2006	Closed	37.90%	7.50%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or after 7/1/2006	Closed	37.90%	8.00%
Regular employees hired before 7/1/2006	Closed	37.90%	7.50%
Regular employees hired on or after 7/1/2006	Closed	37.90%	8.00%
Regular employees hired on or after 1/1/2011	Closed	37.90%	8.00%
Regular employees hired on or after 7/1/2015	Open	37.90%	8.00%

# D. Pension Liability, Pension Expense, and Deferred Inflows/Outflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At December 31, 2019, the Court reported a liability of \$12,658,095 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of the Plan. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2019 and included a reduction of (\$873,584) related to contributions to the Plan subsequent to the measurement date. The total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Court's proportion share of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the Court's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the project contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2019, the Court's proportion was .17472%. The Court recognized pension expense of \$1,632,122 representing its proportionate share of the Plan's net expense, including amortization of deferred amounts.

For the year ended June 30, 2019 the Court reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

es Resources
725 \$ (26,303)
725 - <del>2</del> (20,505)
467 -
320 -
584
096 \$ (26,303)

The deferred outflows related to employer contributions subsequent to the measurement date reported at \$873,584 will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the Court's fiscal year ended December 31, 2019 financial statements.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, including the remaining Plan's amortization, related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,	Amount of Amortization	
2020	\$ 425,874	
2021	(123,119)	
2022	116,389	
2023	158,575	
	<b>\$</b> 577,719	

# E. Schedule of Employer Allocations

The schedule of employer allocations reports the required projected employer contributions in addition to the employer allocation percentage. The required projected employer contributions are the basis used to determine the proportionate relationship of each employer to all employers of Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System. The employer's proportion was determined on a basis that is consistent with the manner in which contributions to the pension plan are determined. The allocation percentages were used in calculating each employer's proportionate share of the pension amounts.

The allocation method used in determining each employer's proportion was based on the employer's projected contribution effort to the plan for 2020 as compared to the total of all employers' projected contribution effort to the plan for 2020.

The employers' projected contribution effort was calculated by multiplying the eligible base compensation of active members in the System on June 30, 2019 by 2020 employers' actuarially required contribution rates. Generally, eligible base compensation was based on the reported base salary from each employer's July contribution report multiplied by twelve. For active members who did not have a July 2019 contribution report or where the July base salary was greater than 115% of the prior fiscal year's annualized earnings, the annualized earnings for the prior fiscal year were used. Annualized earnings are derived using the total earnings for the prior fiscal year and dividing by the portion of the year for which the member was employed by the employer. The portion of the year employed is determined by dividing the actual days employed in the fiscal year by the days in the fiscal year.

# F. Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The net pension liability was measured as the portion of the present value of projected benefit payments to be provided through the pension plan to current active and inactive employees that is attributed to those employees' past periods of service, less the amount of the pension plan's fiduciary net position.

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2019 are as follows:

Valuation Date	6/30/2019
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal
Actuarial Assumptions:	and the second sec
Expected Remaining	
Service Lives	2 Years
Rate of Investment Return	7.60%
Inflation Rate	2.5%
Mortality	Non-disabled r
	Mortality rates for
	the RP- 2014 Hea

Termination, Disability and Retirement Non-disabled members -Mortality rates for 2019 based on the RP- 2014 Healthy Mortality Table with mortality improvement projected using the MP-2018 Mortality Improvement Scale, applied on a fully generational basis.

**Disabled members** – Mortality rates based on the RP-2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table, with no projection for mortality improvement.

Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2014-2018) experience study of the System's members for 2019.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Salary Increases

Salary increases were projected based on A 2014-2018 experience study of the System's members. The salary increase ranges for specific types of members are:

Member	Lower	Upper
Туре	Range	Range
Regular	3.2%	13.0%
Judges	2.8%	5.3%

# G. Cost of Living Adjustments

The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The projected benefit payments do not include provisions for potential future increases not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees as they were deemed not to be substantively automatic.

## H. Long-Term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation of 2.75% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 9.00% for 2019. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the System's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2019 are summarized in the following table:

#### Expected Long Term Real Rates of Return

Asset Class	2019
Cash	0.24%
Domestic Equity	4.83%
International Equity	5.83%
Domestic Fixed Income	2.79%
International Fixed Income	4.49%
Alternative Investments	8.32%
Risk Parity	5.06%
Total Fund	6.09%

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

# I. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.60%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the System's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## J. Sensitivity to Changes in Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the participating employers calculated using the discount rate of 7.60%, as well as what the employers' net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower, or one percentage point higher than the current rate.

	Changes	in Discount Ra	te		
	1% Decrease -6.60%	Current -7.60%	1% Increase -8.60%		
2019 Net pension liability	\$ 15,976,130	\$12,658,095	\$ 9,855,469		

## K. Change in Net Pension Liability

The changes in the net pension liability for the year ended June 30, 2019 were recognized as pension expense or benefit in the current reporting period except as follows:

## Differences between Expected and Actual Experience

Differences between expected and actual experience with regard to economic or demographic factors in the measurement of the total pension liability were recognized in pension expense using the straight-line amortization method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan. The difference between expected and actual experience resulted in a deferred outflow of resources in the amount of \$77,725 for the year ended June 30, 2019. Remaining deferred inflows resulting from this difference was (\$26,303) as of June 30, 2019.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

# L. Contributions - Proportionate Share/Change in Proportionate Share

Differences between contributions remitted to the System and the employer's proportionate share are recognized in pension expense using the straight-line amortization method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with a pension through the pension plan. The resulting deferred inflow/outflow and amortization is not reflected in the Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer due to differences that could arise between contributions reported by the System and contributions reported by the participating employer.

Changes in the employer's proportionate share of the collective net pension liability and collective deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources since the prior measurement date are recognized in employer's pension expense using the straight-line amortization method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided pensions through the pension plan. The resulting deferred inflow/outflow and amortization is not reflected in the Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer.

## NOTE 10: FINES AND FEES RESTRICTIONS

During 2019, a federal appeals court ruled that certain fines and fees assessed and collected by the Court represented a conflict of interests and were accordingly unconstitutional. In anticipation of a final ruling, the Court restricted the bond fees in question that were collected by setting the funds aside in a restricted bank account. These funds are segregated in a separate bank account and are not disbursed for any operations of the Court. As of December 31, 2019, the account reflected a balance of \$628,432. Additionally the Court has established a restricted fund balance of \$628,432 to account for the balance and any future collections of bond fees.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS BUDGET COMPARISON SCHEDULE - UNRESTRICED FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Revenues	Unrestricted Budget	Unrestricted Actual
Charges for Services	\$ 372,752	644,350
Grants and Intergovernmental Funds	5,493,715	6,517,443
Interest	10,000	52,479
Total Revenues	5,876,467	7,214,272
EXPENDITURES		
Salaries & Benefits	4,850,000	4,508,565
A-I Smart Bench/Scanning	201,752	
Professional & Contractual	200,000	428,393
Conferences & Legal Education	35,000	17,128
Travel	40,000	32,283
Internal Conference	8,000	8,653
Office Supplies	80,000	73,709
Law Books	80,000	81,612
Coffee & Water	4,000	4,264
Telecommunications	40,000	29,864
Postage	7,000	2,109
Dues Subscriptions	8,000	9,020
Paper Supplies & Printing	24,000	29,750
Building & Equipment Maintenance	28,000	153,378
Lease Payments	12,000	11,188
Equipment Rentals	5,000	3,920
Drug Testing Supplies	125,000	77,376
Ceremonies	500	769
Advertising	500	2,715
Cleaning Service & Supplies	1,215	559
Miscellaneous	1,500	38,465
Insurance - Professional Liability	125,000	89,206
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 5,876,467	\$ 5,602,926

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS BUDGET COMPARISON SCHEDULE - RESTRICTED FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

	<b>Restricted Budge</b>	t Restricted Actual
Revenue	\$ 4,472.0	\$ 4,145,023
Expenditures		
Salaries and Related Benefits	2,337,0	23. 2,377,970
Travel	2,1	90 15,058
Conferences and Legal Ed.	26,8	13,621
Internal Conferences	101	322
Office Supplies	49,6	92 14,648
Cleaning Supplies	-	325
Bottle Water	11,0	00 11,801
Jury Expenses	242,5	00 87,951
Telephone	3,0	00 3,980
Postage	20,0	00 13,950
Pest Control	1,1	00 741
Dues and subscriptions	-	780
Paper Supplies	21,2	00 6,859
Advertising	-	275
Building Maintenance & Repairs	3,6	00 1,100
Cleaning Services	-	205
Capital Outlay	3,0	00 1,760
Equipment Maintenance/Repairs	8,5	- 00
Lease Payments	144,5	00 185,240
Professional & Contractual	1,581,1	69 962,123
Drug Testing Supplies	4,0	00 99,248
Coffee	7,5	00 7,974
Miscellaneous	5,2	.79 10,444
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 4,472,0	53 \$ 3,816,381

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

#### CRIMINAL COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,2019

	- 1	2/31/2019		12/3 1/2018	1	12/31/2017		12/31/2016		12/31/2015
Criminal Court's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability		0.17472%		0.15407%		0.168810%		0.141830%		0.13801%
Criminal Court's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	s	12,658.095	\$	10,507,121	s	11,882,248	\$	11,137,196	5	9,386,491
Criminal Court's Covered-Employee Payroll		4,883,722	s	4,181,282	\$	5,054,157	s	5,099,260	s	5,487,217
Criminal Court's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of its Covered-Employee Payroll		259%		251%		235%		218%		171%
Plan Fidicuary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		50.23%		80.51%		64.79%		60.63%		27.52%

Note: Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

#### CRIMINAL COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS - RETIREMENT PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

	1	2/31/2019	1	2/31/2018	1	2/31/2017		2/31/2016	12/31/2015			
Contractually Required Contribution	\$	1,414,457	S	1,123,873		1,019,192	-	1,140,453		1,002,859		
Contributions in Relation to the Contractually Required Contribution		1,490,975	\$	1,181,347	\$	1.072.833	s	1,200,476	\$	1.055,641		
Contribution Deficiency/(Excess)	s	(76,518)	s	(57,474)	\$	(53,641)	\$	(60,023)	s	(52,782)		
Covered-Employee Payroll	\$	4,833,722	\$	4,181,282	\$	5,054,157	\$	5,099,260	5	5,487,217		
Contributions a a Percentage of Covered-Employee Payroll		30.85%		28.25%		21.23%		23.54%		19.24%		

Note: Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS Summary of Auditors' Results Year Ended December 31, 2019

# Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

## Financial Statements

An unqualified opinion was issued on the financial statements of the auditee.

Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness (es) identified?	<u>X</u> yes	no
Significant deficiency(s) identified	74	
not considered to be material weaknesses?	<u>X</u> yes	no
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Federal Awards		
Internal control over major programs:		
Material weakness (es) identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Significant deficiency(s) identified		
not considered to be material weaknesses?	yes	<u>X</u> no
An unqualified opinion was issued on compliance.		
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be		
Reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance	yes	<u>X</u> no

The major program for the year ended December 31, 2019 was as follows:

a. Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) - CFDA 93.558

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee did not qualify as a low-risk auditee.



Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Karen Herman, Chief Judge Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Criminal DistrictCourt for the Parish of Orleans (the Court), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Court's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 29, 2020.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2019-01 and 2019-03 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompany schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2019-02 and 2019-04 to be significant deficiencies.

New Orleans Office: 1100 Poydras Street, Suite 1225/New Orleans, LA 70163/(504)561-8600 Memphis Office: 119 S. Main Street, Suite 500/Memphis, TN 38103/(901)322-4238 Atlanta Office: Five Concourse Pkwy, Suite 3000/Atlanta, GA 30328/(770)399-8808

## Continued,

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Court's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Management's Response to Findings

The Court's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The Court's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Luther Speight & Company CPAs New Orleans, Louisiana June 29, 2020



Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Honorable Karen Herman, Chief Judge Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans

# Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans' (the Court) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Court's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2019. The Court's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

# Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

# Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Court's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Court's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Court's compliance.

# **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the Court, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2019.

New Orleans Office: 1100 Poydras Street, Suite 1225/New Orleans, LA 70163/(504)561-8600 Memphis Office: 119 S. Main Street, Suite 500/Memphis, TN 38103/(901)322-4238 Atlanta Office: Five Concourse Pkwy, Suite 3000/Atlanta, GA 30328/(770)399-8808

## Continued,

## **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the Court is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Court's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Court's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of ver compliance is a deficiency or a combination of over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Luther Speight & Company CPAs

New Orleans, Louisiana June 29, 2020

#### CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass through Grantor	CFDA No.	Name of Program	Grant No.	Name of Grant		otel Awards Expended
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE						
Direct	93.558	Supreme Court Drug Coust	N/A	Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	\$	1,237,854
Direct	16.838	Comprehensive Opoid Abuse Site-Based Program	2018-AR-BX-K045	Comprehensive Opoid Abuse Site-Based Program		19,325
Passed through: State of Louisiana - Louisiana Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration						
	and a	Edward Byme Memorial		a sur a a constant		5,175
Victim Assistance Program	16.738	Justice Assistance Grant Program	2017-DJ-02-4649	Drug Court - Mental Health 9		
Victim Assistance Program	16.575	VOCA via LCLE	2018-VA-02-4947	Domestic Violence Program 9		150,992
Passed through the City of New Orleans						
Drug Court Program	16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	2016-DJ-BX-0251	Enhancement of Drug Court Track 2: H.E.A.T. Curriculum and Training		87,194
Drug Court Program	16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	2017-DJ-BX-0458	Drug Testing & Treatment in Drug Court		21,733
TOTAL					\$	1.522.273
TOTAL					-	1,522,275

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURE OF FEDERAL AWARDS DECEMBER 31, 2019

# NOTE 1 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are recorded when the related grant liability is incurred.

## FINDING #2019-01 AGING RESTITUTION FUNDS NOT RECONCILED AND UNABLE TO BE DISBURSED TO UNLOCATED VICTIMS

## CRITERIA:

Fiduciary fund accounting requires that financial resources held by governmental entities for other parties be properly accounted for and identified by the party that the funds are due to.

#### CONDITION:

As of December 31, 2019 the Court reported cash on hand that represented amounts "Due to Victims" totaling \$729,643. The Court maintains a computerized database that includes the funds that have been ordered by the Judges as "Due to Victims". The software program was outdated and did not have adequate capabilities to allow for proper reconciliation of the cash on hand to the total of the amounts due to victims.

In addition, we noted many instances where the victim was specifically identified, however updated address information was unavailable for the victim. We also noted numerous amounts, which were due to victims that were not individually identified to a specific victim. Due to the limitations of the database reporting, we were unable to accurately quantify the applicable total.

#### CAUSE:

The software, procedures and controls in effect were not adequate to identify and record the victims related to each deposit ordered by the Court.

#### EFFECT:

Funds remain on deposit and significantly age without return to the intended victims or other proper disposition.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend that the Court develop and implement a plan to identify the intended disbursement of funds as provided by the orders of the Court or seek approval for alternative disposition from the proper authority.

#### MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

Orleans Parish Criminal District Court relies solely upon information from several agencies in identifying victims who are owed restitution. A large number of the unidentified defendants and finds have dated back over two decades and have been a challenge and nearly impossible to identify. The Court, on its own action, over the past several years has attempted and continues to actively locate victims in an effort to disburse any and all funds available and due. The Court has engaged the services of a research company to assist in identifying victims' current information therefore reducing the "Unidentified Victims List".

# FINDING #2019-02 TIMESHEETS NOT MAINTAINED FOR EMPLOYEES ASSIGNED TO SECTIONS OF COURT

## CRITERIA:

Best practices related to payroll source docum entation requires that governmental entities establish policies and procedures requiring employees to prepare detailed timesheets that document hours worked and leave time taken.

#### CONDITION:

The employees assigned to the various Sections of the Court did not prepare timesheets indicating their time and attendance. These employees work under the supervision of the respective Judges. Of the sample of 40 payroll transactions we tested during the audit, we were not provided timesheets for 25 of the employees.

## CAUSE:

The Court did not have a policy that required timesheet preparation by employees assigned to the various Sections of Court.

## EFFECT:

Time and attendance documentation for the employees assigned to the various Sections of Court is not adequate to fully document human resource maintenance reporting.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend that the Court implement policies requiring timesheet preparation and approval for all employees assigned to the Sections of Court.

# MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE:

At the end of each month, Orleans Parish Criminal District Court submits the required documentation to the Louisiana Supreme Court certifying that all of its employees have worked the requisite hours.

# FINDING #2019-03 CONTROLS OVER CAPITAL ASSET INVENTORY NOT ADEQUATE

## CRITERIA:

Best practices related to internal controls over capital assets include maintaining a capital asset subsidiary ledger that is an integrated part of the financial management system. Proper controls over capital assets should also include periodic physical inventory to assure the accuracy and completeness of the reports.

#### CONDITION:

The Court implemented a new general ledger software program during 2018, however the capital asset module was not implemented. We were provided capital asset subsidiary records, however the Court did not perform a capital asset inventory to determine the accuracy and completeness of the inventory reports.

#### CAUSE:

The Court had not completed its implementation of the financial management software to include the capital asset module. The Court's administrative procedures did not include periodic physical inventory of capital assets.

#### EFFECT:

Capital asset balances and the related accumulated depreciation balances did not roll-forward from year-to-year without the need for adjustments. The accuracy and existence of movable capital assets were not adequately confirmed.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend that the Court update its accounting software to include a capital asset module. The Court's administrative procedures should include periodic physical inventory of capital assets and proper adjustments to the inventory reports.

#### MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE:

The Court purchased a new accounting system which will include a capital asset module. We are currently working with the vendor to transition our current data over to the new system. The installment and implementation will allow proper adjustments to the inventory reports upon conclusion of periodic physical inventory procedures.

## FINDING NO. 2019-04: IMPROPER BANK RECONCILIATION PROCEDURES

# CRITERIA:

Generally accepted accounting principles and accounting best practices require that bank reconciliations be performed monthly for all cash accounts. Proper bank reconciliation preparation requires outstanding transactions to be researched and resolved on a timely basis.

## CONDITION:

During our review of the entity's bank reconciliations, we noted one bank account included 253 checks totaling \$13,842 that remained outstanding in excess of one (1) year.

## CAUSE:

The entity's bank reconciliation procedures did not provide for adequate review, research and resolution of aged outstanding transactions.

#### EFFECT:

The financial statement balances may be misstated as a result of adjustments required to resolve the aged outstanding checks.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

We recommend that the entity research and resolve bank reconciling items that have not cleared on a timely basis.

#### MANAGEMENT RESPONSE:

The Court will void all checks that have aged more than 90 days.

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019

Finding#	Description	Resolved/Unresolved
2018-01	Aging Restitution Funds Not	Unresolved
	Reconciled and Unable to be	
	Disbursed to Unlocated	
	Victims	
2018-02	Timesheets Not Maintained	Unresolved
	for Employees Assigned to	
	Sections of Court	
2018-03	Controls Over Capital Asset	Unresolved
	Inventory Not Adequate	
2018-04	Improper Bank	Unresolved
	Reconciliation Procedures	

#### CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS SCHEDULE OF AGENCY HEAD COMPENSATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMIBER 31, 2019

Purpose		Judge White	FI	Judg <del>e</del> Iemings+ Davillier		Judge Villard		ludge Bonin	L	Judge andrum- ohnson	Judge Pittma			Judge /illiams		Judge Buras		udge Irman		ludge arbigny		Judge Hunter		Judgø Zibllich		Judge Cantrell
Salary	\$	156,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$ 155,0	)58	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,058	\$	155,05
Benefits- Medical		6,107	10	11,154		11,154	1	6,348	1	2,466	11.	154	12.1			11,154		11,597		6,107		10,682	-	3,187		6,107
Benefits - Life (OGB)					1.			6		1.4.1	1	-	12	435		177		-		575			1			
Benefils- Retirement		63,983		63,983		63,983		63,983		63,9 3	63,1	983		62,827		16,824		63,983		63,983	-	63,983		62,827		63,983
Benelits-Liability Ins.		10,410		3,531	-	3,531		2,616	lΞ	3,270	9,5	562		12,451	-	7,621	-	3,139		13,746	1.2	7,535		2,61,8	1	10,747
Medicare	1	2,219	1	2,146	1	2,146		2.218	-	2,212	2,	131		2,242	-	2.127		2,142		2,177		2,154		2,233	1	2,219
Travel		1	1	- 1				2		-		-		1	11	-		-		- 1				*		-
Car Allowance				-	100			191		11.1		-	21							-					-	-
Reimbursemenis	T	142	1.0				10	87				-	01	1	1	45		-		21		-		56		-
Registration Fees & Continuing Education Travel		4,142		3,320		3,599		1,536		4,876	-4,3	260		÷		1,236		90		3,470		5,606	I	375		5,495
Cell Phones		573	Ĩ.	648	- C	-	2	569	1	1,861	1,7	78		1.1		480	10.1	808		3,607				581	1	1,562
Special Meals		4	11 II	-		-	11	- 1.1		- 1				-	1	· ·				-		-		54T (	1	1
Dues	1.10	593		1,450		250		100		815	1,3	250		-		100		345		390	1	155	1	730		818
	S	243,227	\$	241,290	\$	239,721	\$	232,615	\$	234,541	\$ 249.	175	\$	233,013	s	194,822	s	237,972	S	249,133	s	245.173	s	227,663	S	245,989

Judge are elected officials and are employees of the Louisiana Supreme Court. The salaries and benefits reported on this schedule are paid directly by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.  $$53\end{scalar}$ 



Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

# CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS

# AGREED UPON PROCEDURES REPORT

# FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2019



Luther Speight & Company Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Honorable Karen K. Herman, Chief Judge Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans (the Court) and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) on the control and compliance (C/C) areas identified in the LLA's Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures (SAUPs) for the fiscal period January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019. The Court's management is responsible for those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of Government Auditing Standards. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

# Written Policies and Procedures

- 1. Obtain and inspect the entity's written policies and procedures and observe that they address each of the following categories and subcategories (if applicable to public funds and the entity's operations):
  - a) Budgeting, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

b) *Purchasing*, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and

New Orleans Office: 1100 Poydras Street, Suite 1225/New Orleans, LA 70163/(504)561-8600 Memphis Office: 119 S. Main Street, Suite 500/Memphis, TN 38103/(901)322-4238 Atlanta Office: Five Concourse Pkwy, Suite 3000/Atlanta, GA 30328/(770)399-8808 purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes.

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

c) Disbursements, including processing, reviewing, and approving

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

d) *Receipts/Collections*, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits. Also, policies and procedures should include management's actions to determine the completeness of all collections for each type of revenue or agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation).

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

e) *Payroll/Personnel*, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and attendance records, including leave and overtime worked.

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

f) *Contracting*, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

g) Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable), including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers of statements, and (5) monitoring card usage (e.g., determining the reasonableness of fuel card purchases)

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

h) *Travel and expense reimbursement*, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

i) *Ethics*, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature verification that they have read the entity's ethics policy.

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

j) *Debt Service*, including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) continuing disclosure/EMMA reporting requirements, (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements.

**Results:** The Court does not enter into loan agreements, thus has no policy or procedure relating to debt.

k) Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity, including (1) identification of critical data and frequency of data backups, (2) storage of backups in a separate physical location isolated from the network, (3) periodic testing/verification that backups can be restored, (4) use of antivirus software on all systems, (5) timely application of all available system and software patches/updates, and (6) identification of personnel, processes, and tools needed to recover operations after a critical event.

**Results:** The policies and procedures appear to appropriately address the required elements above.

#### **Board or Finance Committee**

- 2. Obtain and inspect the board/finance committee minutes for the fiscal period, as well as the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or equivalent document in effect during the fiscal period, and:
  - a) Observe that the board/finance committee met with a quorum at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or other equivalent document.
  - b) For those entities reporting on the governmental accounting model, observe that the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the general fund and major special revenue funds, as well as monthly financial statements (or budget-to-actual comparisons, if budgeted) for major proprietary funds. Alternately, for those entities reporting on the non-profit accounting model, observe that the minutes referenced or included financial activity relating to public funds if those public funds comprised more than 10% of the entity's collections during the fiscal period.

c) For governmental entities, obtain the prior year audit report and observe the unassigned fund balance in the general fund. If the general fund had a negative ending unassigned fund balance in the prior year audit report, observe that the minutes for at least one meeting during the fiscal period referenced or included a formal plan to eliminate the negative unassigned fund balance in the general fund.

Results: We noted the court does not keep meeting minutes for their Judges Meetings

Management's Response: The Court does not maintain minutes of its Executive Sessions.

#### **Bank Reconciliations**

3. Obtain a listing of client bank accounts for the fiscal period from management and management's representation that the listing is complete. Ask management to identify the entity's main operating account. Select the entity's main operating account and randomly select 4 additional accounts (or all accounts if less than 5). Randomly select one month from the fiscal period, obtain and inspect the corresponding bank statement and reconciliation for selected each account, and observe that:

**Results:** We obtained the listing from management.

a) Bank reconciliations include evidence that they were prepared within 2 months of the related statement closing date (e.g., initialed and dated, electronically logged);

*Results:* All reconciliations observed included proper evidence of preparation.

 b) Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management/board member who does not handle cash, post ledgers, or issue checks has reviewed each bank reconciliation (e.g., initialed and dated, electronically logged); and

**Results:** All reconciliations observed included proper evidence of management approval.

c) Management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months from the statement closing date, if applicable.

**Results:** We noted no outstanding checks greater than a year in the selected reconciliations.

## Collections (excluding EFTs)

4. •btain a listing of <u>deposit sites</u> for the fiscal period where deposits for cash/checks/money orders (cash) are prepared and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 deposit sites (or all deposit sites if less than 5).

Results: Listing of locations and managements' representation were obtained.

- 5. For each deposit site selected, obtain a listing of <u>collection locations</u> and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select one collection location for each deposit site (i.e. 5 collection locations for 5 deposit sites), obtain and inspect written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties (if no written policies or procedures, inquire of employees about their job duties) at each collection location, and observe that job duties are properly segregated at each collection location such that:
  - a) Employees that are responsible for cash collections do not share cash drawers/registers.

Results: We inquired with client about employee duties and noted no exceptions.

b) Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for preparing/making bank deposits, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling collection documentation (e.g. pre-numbered receipts) to the deposit.

Results: We inquired with client about employee duties and noted no exceptions.

c) Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for posting collection entries to the general ledger or subsidiary ledgers, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling ledger postings to each other and to the deposit.

Results: We inquired with client about employee duties and noted no exceptions.

d) The employee(s) responsible for reconciling cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions are not responsible for collecting cash, unless another employee verifies the reconciliation.

Results: We inquired with client about employee duties and noted no exceptions.

6. Inquire of management that all employees who have access to cash are covered by a bond or insurance policy for theft.

**Results**: We inquired with client and received their representation that employees with access to cash are bonded.

- 7. Randomly select two deposit dates for each of the 5 bank accounts selected for procedure #3 under "Bank Reconciliations" above (select the next deposit date chronologically if no deposits were made on the dates randomly selected and randomly select a deposit if multiple deposits are made on the same day). Alternately, the practitioner may use a source document other than bank statements when selecting the deposit dates for testing, such as a cash collection log. daily revenue report, receipt book, etc. Obtain supporting documentation for each of the 10 deposits and:
- a) Observe that receipts are sequentially pre-numbered.
  - b) Trace sequentially pre-numbered receipts, system reports, and other related collection documentation to the deposit slip.
  - c) Trace the deposit slip total to the actual deposit per the bank statement.
  - d) Observe that the deposit was made within one business day of receipt at the collection location (within one week if the depository is more than 10 miles from the collection location or the deposit is less than \$100).
  - e) Trace the actual deposit per the bank statement to the general ledger.

**Results**: We noted that all deposits selected matched the amount clearing the bank statement. However, we were unable to determine when each receipt was collected. As a result, we were unable to determine if each deposit was made within one business day of receipt.

Management Response: When receiving cash and checks, it is our policy to make the deposits as soon as possible.

Non-Payroll Disbursements (excluding card purchases/payments, travel reimbursements, and petty cash purchases)

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the Non-Payroll Disbursements testing for the year ended December 31, 2018. Therefore, we determined that testing credit cards for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not necessary.

# Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the credit card testing for the year ended December 31, 2017. Therefore, we determined that testing credit cards for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not necessary.

## Travel and Travel-Related Expense Reimbursements (excluding card transactions)

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the Travel and Travel-Related Expense Reimbursements testing for the year ended December 31, 2018. Therefore, we determined that testing credit cards for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not necessary.

## Contracts

- 8. Obtain from management a listing of all agreements/contracts for professional services, materials and supplies, leases, and construction activities that were initiated or renewed during the fiscal period. Alternately, the practitioner may use an equivalent selection source, such as an active vendor list. Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 contracts (or all contracts if less than 5) from the listing, excluding the practitioner's contract, and:
  - a) Observe that the contract was bid in accordance with the Louisiana Public Bid Law (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertised), if required by law.

**Results:** We noted that all contracts selected were not subject to the Louisiana Public Bid Law.

b) Observe that the contract was approved by the governing body/board, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act, Home Rule Charter).

**Results:** The Court does not maintain minutes of its Executive Sessions so evidence of approval was not obtained.

Management's Response: The judges of the Court meet monthly but does not record minutes of its meetings.

c) If the contract was amended (e.g. change order), observe that the original contract terms provided for such an amendment.

Results: We noted no contract amendments.

d) Randomly select one payment from the fiscal period for each of the 5 contracts, obtain the supporting invoice, agree the invoice to the contract terms, and observe that the invoice and related payment agreed to the terms and conditions of the contract.

Results: No findings noted.

#### Payroll and Personnel

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the Payroll testing for the year ended December 31, 2017. Therefore, we determined that testing Payroll for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not required.

#### Ethics

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the Ethics testing for the year ended December 31, 2017. Therefore, we determined that testing Ethics for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not required.

#### **Debt Service**

**Results:** The Court does not have debt. Section is not applicable for the year ended December 31, 2019.

## Other

**Results:** We noted no exceptions in the Other testing for the year ended December 31, 2018. Therefore, we determined that testing Payroll for the year ended December 31, 2019 was not required.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the LLA as a public document.

Luther Speight & Company CPAs New Orleans, Louisiana June 29, 2020