

**THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
PARISHES OF BIENVILLE, CLAIBORNE
AND JACKSON, LOUISIANA**

**ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT
JUNE 30, 2018**

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Annual Financial Report
June 30, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
Required Supplementary Information		
Management’s Discussion and Analysis	-	1-3
Independent Auditor’s Report	-	4-6
Basic Financial Statements		
Government-Wide Financial Statements		
Statement of Net Position	A	9
Statement of Activities	B	10
Fund Financial Statements		
Governmental Funds:		
Balance Sheet-Governmental Funds	C	12
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	D	13
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance-Governmental Funds	E	14-15
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	F	16
Notes to Financial Statements	-	18-31
Supplemental Information		
Major Funds:		
Budgetary Comparison Schedule-General Fund	G-1	33-34
Budgetary Comparison Schedule-Probation Fund	G-2	35
Budgetary Comparison Schedule-IV-D	G-3	36

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Annual Financial Report
June 30, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability	H	37
Schedule of Employer Contributions	I	38
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to Agency Heads	J	39
Other Reports		
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	K	41-42
Schedule of Audit Results	L	43
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures	M	44-51
Management's Response to Exceptions to Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures	N	52

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
PARISHES OF BIENVILLE, CLAIBORNE
AND JACKSON, LOUISIANA

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Second Judicial District Court's financial performance presents a narrative overview and analysis of the District Court's financial activities for the year ended June 30, 2018. This document focuses on the current year's activities, resulting changes, and currently known facts. Please read this document in conjunction with the additional information contained in the Second Judicial District Court's financial statements, which begin on page 9.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The District Court's assets exceeded its liabilities (net position) by \$213,280 on June 30, 2018, and \$98,759 on June 30, 2017. Total net position is comprised of the following:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	\$ 7,540	\$11,214
Unrestricted net position which represent the portion available to maintain continuing obligations to citizens and creditors	<u>205,740</u>	<u>87,545</u>
Total	<u>\$213,280</u>	<u>\$98,759</u>

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the District Court as a whole and present a longer-term view of the District Court's finances. These statements tell how the services were financed in the short-term as well as what remains for future spending.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A fund is an accountability unit used to maintain control over resources segregated for specific activities. The District Court uses funds to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance related laws and regulations. These statements report short-term fiscal accountability focusing on the use of spendable resources and balances of spendable resources at the end of the year. As the Government-Wide Financial Statements includes the long-term view, comparisons between these two views may provide insight into the long-term impact of short-term financing decreases. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund operating statement provide a reconciliation to assist in understanding the difference between these two views.

OTHER INFORMATION

As discussed, governmental funds are reported in the fund statements with a short-term, inflow and outflow of spendable resources focus. This information is useful in assessing resources available at the end of the year in comparison with upcoming financing requirements. Total governmental funds had an ending fund balance of \$1,055,609 at June 30, 2018, and \$933,498 at June 30, 2017.

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT COURT AS A WHOLE

Changes in net position may be observed and used to discuss the changing financial position of the District Court as a whole. The following provides a summary of the District Court's net position:

Summary of Net Position

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
ASSETS:		
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$1,036,271	\$ 923,119
Receivables	40,652	34,836
Capital Assets (net)	<u>7,540</u>	<u>11,214</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$1,084,463</u>	<u>\$ 969,169</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	\$ <u>187,055</u>	\$ <u>389,384</u>
LIABILITIES:		
Accounts Payable	\$ 8,094	\$ 10,592
Accrued Expenses	13,220	13,866
Net Pension Liability	<u>895,550</u>	<u>1,207,094</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 916,864</u>	<u>\$1,231,552</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	\$ <u>141,374</u>	\$ <u>28,242</u>
NET POSITION:		
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 7,540	\$ 11,214
Unrestricted	<u>205,740</u>	<u>87,545</u>
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 213,280</u>	<u>\$ 98,759</u>

The following table provides a summary of the District Court's changes in net position:

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>
REVENUES:		
Program Revenues:		
Fees, fines & Charges for Services	\$616,752	\$ 622,391
Operating and Capital Grants	138,176	132,142
General Revenues:		
Miscellaneous	<u>82,493</u>	<u>36,539</u>
Total Revenues	\$837,421	\$ 791,072
EXPENSES:		
Judicial	<u>722,900</u>	<u>907,318</u>
Change in Net Position	\$114,521	\$(116,246)
Beginning Net Position	<u>98,759</u>	<u>215,005</u>
Ending Net Position	<u>\$213,280</u>	<u>\$ 98,759</u>

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents Other Required Supplementary Information consisting of a comparison of budgeted revenues and expenditures to actual revenues and expenditures. For the General Fund, the actual revenues and other sources were \$104,387 more than the budgeted amount for the year and expenditures were \$49,471 less than the budgeted amount for the year.

DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Long-term debt of the District Court includes recognized net pension liability based on actuarially determined amounts in accordance with GASB No. 68 in the amount of \$895,550.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET

The primary revenue source is fees and fines received from the civil and criminal court fees. As there are minimal changes expected in the next fiscal year, the budget for FY 2018/2019 should not significantly differ from FY 2017/2018.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT COURT OFFICE

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District Court's finances, compliance with governmental financial reporting laws and regulations and demonstrate the District Court's commitment to public accountability. If you have additional questions about this report or would like to request additional information, contact the Honorable Jenifer Clason, at Courthouse, Homer, Louisiana, 71040.

Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham

Certified Public Accountants

Eddie G. Johnson, CPA - A Professional Corporation (1927-1996)

Mark D. Thomas, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Roger M. Cunningham, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Jessica H. Broadway, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Ryan E. Todtenbier, CPA - A Professional Corporation

321 Bienville Street
Natchitoches, Louisiana 71457
(318) 352-3652
Fax (318) 352-4447

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Jenifer Clason, Chief Judge
The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Second Judicial District Court (District Court) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District Court's basic financial statements as listed in the Table of Contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the *Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide*. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District Court as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, the Budgetary Comparison Schedules, Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability, and Schedule of Employer Contributions as listed in the Table of Contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context.

The Schedule of Compensation, Benefits, and Other Payments to Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer is required by Louisiana R.S. 24:513 A.(3). The Schedule is listed as required supplementary information in the Table of Contents and presented for purposes of additional analysis. This schedule is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

We have applied certain limited procedures to the Management's Discussion and Analysis in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the introductory section because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District Court's basic financial statements. The Budgetary Comparison Schedules, Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability, Schedule of Employer Contributions, and the Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer, are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2018, on our consideration of the District Court's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District Court's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

In accordance with the requirements of the Louisiana Legislative Auditor, we have issued a report, dated December 16, 2018, on the results of our state wide agreed-upon procedures performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards*. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those control and compliance areas identified in the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's state wide agreed-upon procedures, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance.

Johnson, Thomas + Cunningham, CPA's
Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham, CPA's

December 16, 2018
Natchitoches, Louisiana

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2018

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>
ASSETS:	
Current Assets-	
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$1,036,271
Revenue Receivables	<u>40,652</u>
Total Current Assets	\$1,076,923
Noncurrent Assets-	
Capital Assets (Net)	<u>7,540</u>
Total Assets	\$ <u>1,084,463</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	\$ <u>187,055</u>
LIABILITIES:	
Current Liabilities-	
Accounts Payable	\$ 8,094
Accrued Expenses	<u>13,220</u>
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 21,314
Long-term Liabilities-	
Net Pension Liability	<u>895,550</u>
Total Liabilities	\$ <u>916,864</u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:	\$ <u>141,374</u>
NET POSITION:	
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 7,540
Unrestricted	<u>205,740</u>
Total Net Position	\$ <u><u>213,280</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Statement of Activities
June 30, 2018

<u>Activities</u>	<u>Expenses</u>	<u>Program Revenues</u>			<u>Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position Governmental Activities</u>
		<u>Fees, Fines, Commissions, And Charges for Services</u>	<u>Operating Grants and Contributions</u>	<u>Capital Grants and Contributions</u>	
Governmental Activities:					
Judicial	<u>\$722,900</u>	<u>\$616,752</u>	<u>\$138,176</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$ 32,028</u>
General Revenues:					
					\$ 7,888
					<u>74,605</u>
					\$ <u>82,493</u>
					\$114,521
					<u>98,759</u>
					<u>\$ 213,280</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Balance Sheet-Governmental Funds
June 30, 2018

	<u>Major Funds</u>			<u>Nonmajor Fund</u>	<u>Total Governmental Funds</u>
	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> Probation Fund	<u>IV-D Collection Fund</u>	<u>FINS Fund</u>	
ASSETS:					
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$317,886	\$349,559	\$311,867	\$56,959	\$1,036,271
Revenue Receivables	<u>23,939</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>16,713</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>40,652</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$341,825</u>	<u>\$349,559</u>	<u>\$328,580</u>	<u>\$56,959</u>	<u>\$1,076,923</u>
LIABILITIES:					
Accounts Payable	\$ 8,094	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 8,094
Accrued Expenses	<u>13,220</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13,220</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>\$ 21,314</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 21,314</u>
FUND BALANCE:					
Restricted for:					
Special Purposes	\$ 0	\$349,559	\$328,580	\$56,959	\$ 735,098
Unassigned	<u>320,511</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>320,511</u>
Total Fund Balances	<u>\$320,511</u>	<u>\$349,559</u>	<u>\$328,580</u>	<u>\$56,959</u>	<u>\$1,055,609</u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	<u>\$341,825</u>	<u>\$349,559</u>	<u>\$328,580</u>	<u>\$56,959</u>	<u>\$1,076,923</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds
Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2018

Total Governmental Fund Balances	\$1,055,609
Amounts reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:	
Capital Assets used in Governmental Activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds-	
Capital Assets	324,014
Less, Accumulated Depreciation	(316,474)
Deferred Outflows of Resources used in Governmental Activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds-	187,055
Long-term Liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and are not reported in the funds-	
Net Pension Liability	(895,550)
Deferred Inflows of Resources are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore are not reported in the funds-	<u>(141,374)</u>
Total Net Position of Governmental Activities at June 30, 2018	<u>\$ 213,280</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balance-Governmental Funds
June 30, 2018

	<u>Major Funds</u>			<u>Nonmajor Fund</u>	Total Governmental Funds
	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds Probation Fund	IV-D Collection Fund	FINS Fund	
REVENUES:					
Intergovernmental-					
State	\$ 12,322	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$37,944	\$ 50,266
Parish	87,910	0	0	0	87,910
Fees & Fines-					
Civil Fees	40,104	0	0	0	40,104
Criminal Court Fees	274,355	101,745	200,548	0	576,648
Miscellaneous-					
Interest	3,893	3,359	555	81	7,888
Other	<u>74,303</u>	<u>302</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>74,605</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$492,887</u>	<u>\$105,406</u>	<u>\$201,103</u>	<u>\$38,025</u>	<u>\$ 837,421</u>
EXPENDITURES:					
Current-					
Judicial-					
Auto	\$ 21,000	\$ 1,348	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 22,348
Books & Library	25,559	0	0	0	25,559
Conference & Seminars	7,332	4,112	0	604	12,048
Contracted Services, Personnel Services, & Benefits	342,574	59,297	65,188	28,862	495,921
Dues & Subscriptions	787	0	0	100	887
Insurance	30,155	113	0	0	30,268
Legal & Accounting	20,815	0	0	0	20,815
Miscellaneous	1,411	0	0	0	1,411
Office Supplies	34,089	3,210	321	2,516	40,136
Repairs & Maintenance	868	5,703	0	810	7,381
Travel	26,436	11,094	0	538	38,068
Utilities & Telephone	16,503	2,358	0	1,607	20,468
Capital Outlay	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$527,529</u>	<u>\$ 87,235</u>	<u>\$ 65,509</u>	<u>\$35,037</u>	<u>\$ 715,310</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	<u>\$ (34,642)</u>	<u>\$ 18,171</u>	<u>\$135,594</u>	<u>\$ 2,988</u>	<u>\$ 122,111</u>

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes
in Fund Balance-Governmental Funds
June 30, 2018

	<u>Major Funds</u>			<u>Nonmajor Fund</u>	Total Governmental Funds
	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds Probation Fund	IV-D Collection Fund	FINS Fund	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Operating Transfer In	\$ 42,943	\$ 1,713	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 44,656
Operating Transfer Out	<u>(1,713)</u>	<u>(19,537)</u>	<u>(23,406)</u>	<u>(0)</u>	<u>(44,656)</u>
Total Other Financing	\$ <u>41,230</u>	\$ <u>(17,824)</u>	\$ <u>(23,406)</u>	\$ <u>(0)</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources over Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ 6,588	\$ 347	\$112,188	\$ 2,988	\$ 122,111
Fund Balances- Beginning of Year	<u>313,923</u>	<u>349,212</u>	<u>216,392</u>	<u>53,971</u>	<u>933,498</u>
Fund Balances- End of Year	<u>\$320,511</u>	<u>\$349,559</u>	<u>\$328,580</u>	<u>\$56,959</u>	<u>\$1,055,609</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures
and Changes in Fund Balance of the Governmental Funds
to the Statement of Activities
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Net Change in Fund Balance-Governmental Funds	\$122,111
Amounts reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Depreciation expense on capital assets is reported in the Government-wide financial statements, but they do not require the use of current financial resources and are not reported in the Fund Financial Statements. Current year depreciation expense is	(3,674)
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and are not reported as expenditures in the funds. These include the net change in-	
Pension Expense	<u>(3,916)</u>
Net Change in Net Position per Statement of Activities	<u>\$114,521</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Introduction:

The Second Judicial District Court (District Court) of Louisiana is comprised of the parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson located in north Louisiana. The District Court includes three judges with one judge being designated as the chief judge. The District Court may collect fees on civil suits and criminal cases to aid in the administering of the District Court and of the offices of the individual judges; these fees cannot be used for salaries to the judges. The judges are elected by the qualified electors of the judicial district for a term of six years.

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

A. Reporting Entity-

Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 14 established criteria for determining the governmental reporting entity and component units that should be included within the reporting entity. The basic criterion for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is financial accountability. For financial reporting purposes, in conformance with GASB Statement No. 14, the Second Judicial District Court includes all funds that are within the oversight responsibility of the District Court. Oversight responsibility is determined on the basis of appointment of governing body, ability to significantly influence operations, accountability for fiscal matters, and the nature and significance of an organization's relationship with the primary government. Based on consideration of the foregoing criteria, the District Court is deemed to be a separate reporting entity. Certain units of local government over which the District Court exercises no oversight responsibility, such as the parish police jury, parish school board, other independently-elected officials, and municipalities within the three parishes, are excluded from the accompanying financial statements. These units of government are considered separate reporting entities and issue financial statements separate from those of the District Court.

B. Basis of Presentation-

Government-Wide Financial Statements (GWFS)

The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities report information about the reporting government as a whole. They include all funds of the reporting entity. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange revenues.

The Statement of Net Position presents the governmental-type activities on a consolidated basis, which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations.

The Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function of the District Court's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function. Program revenues include (a) fees and charges paid by the recipient for goods or services offered by the program, and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

C. Fund Accounting-

The accounts of the District Court are organized on the basis of funds. A fund is an independent fiscal and accounting entity with a separate set of self-balancing accounts. Fund accounting segregates funds according to their intended purpose and is used to aid management in demonstrating compliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions. The minimum number of funds maintained is consistent with legal and managerial requirements.

The District Court maintains four funds. They are categorized as governmental funds. The emphasis on fund financial statements is on major governmental funds; each displayed in a separate column. A fund is considered major if it is the primary operating fund of the entity or if its total assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenditures/expenses of that individual governmental fund are at least 10 percent of the corresponding total for all funds of that category or type.

The major funds of the District Court are described below:

Governmental Funds-

General Fund

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the District Court. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special Revenue Funds-

Probation Fund

Code of Criminal Procedure Article 894 A(1) stated that as of 1989, the Department of Probation and Parole would not supervise probation in misdemeanor cases. Article 894 A(1) authorized the court to place the defendant on probation with a "probation office" designated by the court upon such conditions as the court may fix. Additionally, Article 895 1(C) of the Code of Criminal Procedure provides for a monthly probation fee to be paid to the agency providing supervision. The Probation Fund was established as the designated "probation office". The Probation Fund accounts for the collection of the monthly probation fees and expenditures of maintaining the probation offices.

IV-D Collection Fund

The IV-D Collection Fund accounts for the collection of a five percent fee assessed on child support payments as provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 46:236.5 and the expenditures related to the establishment, modification, and enforcement of support obligations.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

D. Measurement Focus/Basis of Accounting-

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues or expenditures/expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Accrual Basis - Government-Wide Financial Statements (GWFS)

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities display information about the District Court as a whole. Both of these statements have been prepared using the economic measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place.

Modified Accrual Basis - Fund Financial Statements (FFS)

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. Governmental fund types use the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., when they are both measurable and available. "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction can be determined and "available" means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The District Court considers all revenues "available" if collected within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recorded under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred. The exceptions to this general rule are that (1) unmatured principal and interest on long-term debt, if any, are recorded when due and (2) claims and judgments and compensated absences are recorded as expenditures when paid with expendable available financial resources.

E. Assets, Liabilities, and Equity-

Cash and Interest-Bearing Deposits-

For purposes of the Statement of Net Position, cash and interest-bearing deposits include all demand accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit of the District Court.

Capital Assets-

Capital assets, which include property, plant, and equipment, are reported in the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are capitalized at historical cost or estimated cost if historical cost is not available. Donated assets are recorded as capital assets at their estimated fair market value at the date of donation. The District Court maintains a threshold level of \$500 or more for capitalizing capital assets.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of that asset or materially extend the life of that asset are not capitalized.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Depreciation of all exhaustible capital assets is recorded as an expense in the Statement of Activities, with accumulated depreciation reflected in the Statement of Net Position. Depreciation is provided over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation.

The range of useful lives by type of asset is as follows:

Fixtures & Equipment	5-7 years
----------------------	-----------

Pensions-

For purposes of measuring the Net Pension Liability, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS) and additions to/deductions from LASERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by LASERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms.

Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources-

The Statement of Net Position reports a separate section for deferred outflows and (or) deferred inflows or financial resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to future periods and will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until the applicable period. Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods and will not be recognized as an inflow of resources until that time.

Equity Classifications-

In the government-wide statements, equity is classified as net position and displayed in three components:

- a. Net investment in capital assets - Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- b. Restricted net position - Consists of net resources with constraints placed on their use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- c. Unrestricted net position - All other resources that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

When an expense is incurred for the purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position are available, management applies unrestricted resources first, unless a determination is made to use restricted net resources. The policy concerning which to apply first varies with the intended use and legal requirements. This decision is typically made by management at the incurrence of the expense.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

In the fund statements, governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance and displayed in five components. The following classifications describe the relative strength of the spending constraints placed on the purposes for which resources can be used:

- a. Nonspendable fund balance - amounts that are not in a spendable form (such as prepaid expenses) or are required to be maintained intact;
- b. Restricted fund balance - amounts constrained to specific purposes by their providers (such as grantors, bondholders, and higher levels of government), through constitutional provisions, or by enabling legislation;
- c. Committed fund balance - amounts constrained to specific purposes by a government itself, using its highest level of decision-making authority; to be reported as committed, amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government takes the same highest level action to remove or change the constraint;
- d. Assigned fund balance - amounts a government intends to use for a specific purpose; intent can be expressed by the governing body or by an official or body to which the governing body delegates the authority;
- e. Unassigned fund balance - amounts that are available for any purpose. Only the General Fund would report positive amounts in unassigned fund balance.

The General Fund has an unassigned fund balance of \$320,511. If applicable, the District Court would typically use restricted fund balances first, followed by committed resources and assigned resources as appropriate opportunities arise, but reserves the right to selectively spend unassigned resources first and to defer the use of these other classified funds.

F. Budget-

Prior to the beginning of each fiscal year, the District Court adopts a budget for the General Fund and each Special Revenue Fund for the next fiscal year. The budgets are open for public inspection. All budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of the fiscal year. The budgets are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting.

G. Estimates-

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenditures and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

2. Cash and Cash Equivalents:

The cash and cash equivalents of the District Court are subject to the following risk:

Custodial Credit Risk: Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the District Court will not be able to recover its deposits. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal or exceed the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties. Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the pledged securities within 10 days of being notified by the District Court that the fiscal agent bank has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand. Further, Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1224 states that securities held by a third party shall be deemed to be held in the District Court's name.

At June 30, 2018, the District Court had cash and cash equivalents with a carrying balance totaling \$1,036,271 and bank balances totaling \$1,052,372. Cash and cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. These pledged securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank in the form of safekeeping receipts held by the District Court. All of the Second Judicial District Court's deposits were properly secured at June 30, 2018. Of the \$1,052,372 in bank balances, \$827,359 was secured by federal deposit insurance and \$225,013 was secured by pledged securities.

3. Compensated Absences:

Employees of the Second Judicial District Court cannot carry leave forward from year to year. Therefore, there is no accrual made for compensated absences.

4. Capital Assets:

Capital Asset balances and activity for the year ended June 30, 2018, is as follows:

<u>Activities</u>	<u>Balance 07-01-17</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance 06-30-18</u>
Capital Assets Depreciated:				
Office Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment	\$324,014	\$ 0	\$0	\$324,014
Less, Accumulated Depreciation:				
Office Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment	<u>312,800</u>	<u>3,674</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>316,474</u>
Net Capital Assets	<u>\$ 11,214</u>	<u>\$(3,674)</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$ 7,540</u>

Depreciation expense of \$3,674 was charged to the Judicial function.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

5. Pension Plan:

Plan Description

The Center contributes to LASERS which is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan. All full-time administrative employees are required to participate in the System. Excluded by law are independent contractors and certain other non-employee relationships. Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401) grants to LASERS Board of Trustees and the Louisiana Legislature the authority to review administration, benefit terms, investments, and funding of the plan. The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System, which can be obtained at www.laseronline.org.

Benefits Provided

The following is a description of the plan and its benefits and is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to the appropriate statutes for more complete information.

Retirement

The age and years of creditable service required in order for a member to retire with full benefits are established by statute, and vary depending on the member's hire date, employer, and job classification. Our rank and file members hired prior to July 1, 2006 may either retire with full benefits at any age upon completing 30 years of creditable service and at age 60 upon completing ten years of creditable service depending on their plan. Those members hired between July 1, 2006 and June 1, 2015, may retire at age 60 upon completing five years of creditable service and those hired on or after July 1, 2015 may retire at age 62 upon completing five years of creditable service. The basic annual retirement benefit for members is equal to 2.5% to 3.5% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service. Additionally, members may choose to retire with 20 years of service at any age, with an actuarially reduced benefit.

Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment for members employed prior to July 1, 2006. For members hired July 1, 2006 or later, average compensation is based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. The maximum annual retirement benefit cannot exceed the lesser of 100% of average compensation or a certain specified dollar amount of actuarially determined monetary limits, which vary depending upon the member's age at retirement. Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1.0% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. As an alternative to the basic retirement benefits, a member may elect to receive their retirement benefits throughout their life, with certain benefits being paid to their designated beneficiary after their death.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Act 992 of the 2010 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session, changed the benefit structure for LASERS members hired on or after January 1, 2011. This resulted in three new plans: regular, hazardous duty, and judges. The new regular plan includes regular members and those members who were formerly eligible to participate in specialty plans, excluding hazardous duty and judges. Regular members and judges are eligible to retire at age 60 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Hazardous duty members are eligible to retire with twelve years of creditable service at age 55, 25 years of creditable service at any age or with a reduced benefit after 20 years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment for all three new plans. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, hazardous duty plan a 3.33% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate. The extra 1.0% accrual rate for each year of service for court officers, the governor, lieutenant governor, legislators, House clerk, sergeants at arms, or Senate secretary, employed after January 1, 2011, was eliminated by Act 992. Specialty plan and regular members, hired prior to January 1, 2011, who are hazardous duty employees have the option to transition to the new hazardous duty plan.

Act 226 of the 2014 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session established new retirement eligibility for members of LASERS hired on or after July 1, 2015, excluding hazardous duty plan members. Regular members and judges under the new plan are eligible to retire at age 62 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. Members in the regular plan will receive 2.5% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate, with the extra 1.0% accrual rate based on all years of service as a judge.

A member leaving employment before attaining minimum retirement age, but after completing certain minimum service requirements, becomes eligible for a benefit provided the member lives to the minimum service retirement age, and does not withdraw their accumulated contributions. The minimum service requirement for benefits varies depending upon the member's employer and service classification.

Deferred Retirement Benefits

The State Legislature authorized LASERS to establish a Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP). When a member enters DROP, their status changes from active member to retiree even though they continue to work and draw their salary for a period of up to three years. The election is irrevocable once participation begins. During DROP participation, accumulated retirement benefits that would have been paid to each retiree are separately tracked. For members who entered DROP prior to January 1, 2004, interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero) will be credited to the retiree after participation ends. At that time, the member must choose among available alternatives for the distribution of benefits that have accumulated in the DROP account. Members who enter DROP on or after January 1, 2004, are required to participate in LASERS Self-Directed Plan (SDP) which is administered by a third-party provider. The SDP allows DROP participants to choose from a menu of investment options for the allocation of their DROP balances. Participants may diversify their investments by choosing from an approved list of mutual funds with different holdings, management styles, and risk factors.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Members eligible to retire and who do not choose to participate in DROP may elect to receive at the time of retirement an initial benefit option (IBO) in an amount up to 36 months of benefits, with an actuarial reduction of their future benefits. For members who selected the IBO option prior to January 1, 2004, such amount may be withdrawn or remain in the IBO account earning interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero). Those members who select the IBO on or after January 1, 2004, are required to enter the SDP as described above.

Disability Benefits

Generally, active members with ten or more years of credited service who become disabled may receive a maximum disability retirement benefit equivalent to the regular retirement formula without reduction by reason of age.

Upon reaching age 60, the disability retiree may receive a regular retirement benefit by making application to the Board of Trustees.

For injuries sustained in the line of duty, hazardous duty personnel in the Hazardous Duty Services Plan will receive a disability benefit equal to 75% of final average compensation.

Survivor's Benefits

Certain eligible surviving dependents receive benefits based on the deceased member's compensation and their relationship to the deceased. The deceased regular member hired before January 1, 2011 who was in state service at the time of death must have a minimum of five years of service credit, at least two of which were earned immediately prior to death, or who had a minimum of twenty years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor or handicapped child. Benefits are payable to an unmarried child until age 18, or age 23 if the child remains a full-time student. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirement is ten years for a surviving spouse with no minor children, and benefits are to be paid for life to the spouse or qualified handicapped child.

The deceased regular member hired on or after January 1, 2011, must have a minimum of five years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor child. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirements for a surviving spouse are 10 years, 2 years being earned immediately prior to death, and active state service at the time of death, or a minimum of 20 years of service credit regardless of when earned. A deceased member's spouse must have been married for at least one year before death.

Permanent Benefit Increases/Cost-of-Living Adjustments

As fully described in Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System allows for the payment of permanent benefit increases, also known as cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs), which are funded through investment earnings when recommended by the Board of Trustees and approved by the State Legislature.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Contributions

The employer contribution rate is established annually under R.S. 11:101-11:104 by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC), taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's Actuary. Each plan pays a separate actuarially-determined employer contribution rate. However, all assets of LASERS are used for the payment of benefits for all classes of members, regardless of their plan membership. Rates for the year ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

Plan	Plan Status	Employer Contribution Rate
Appellate Law Clerks	Closed	35.80%
Appellate Law Clerks hired on or after 7/1/06	Open	35.80%
Alcohol Tobacco Control	Closed	30.70%
Bridge Police	Closed	34.20%
Bridge Police hired on or after 7/1/06	Closed	34.20%
Corrections Primary	Closed	31.10%
Corrections Secondary	Closed	35.30%
Harbor Police	Closed	4.00%
Hazardous Duty	Open	36.10%
Judges hired before 1/1/2011	Closed	38.00%
Judges hired after 12/31/2010	Closed	36.70%
Judges hired on or after 7/1/15	Open	36.70%
Legislators	Closed	39.10%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP)		
Hired before 7/1/06	Closed	35.80%
Hired on or after 7/1/06	Closed	35.80%
Peace Officers	Closed	34.30%
Regular Employees		
Hired before 7/1/06	Closed	35.80%
Hired on or after 7/1/06	Closed	35.80%
Hired on or after 1/1/2011	Closed	35.80%
Hired on or after 7/1/15	Open	35.80
Special Legislative Employees	Closed	41.10%
Wildlife Agents	Closed	44.80%
Aggregate Rate		35.80%

The District Court's contractually required composite contribution rate for the year ended June 30, 2018 was 37.9% of annual payroll, actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. Contributions to the pension plan from the District Court were \$76,255 for the year ended June 30, 2018.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2018 and 2017, the District Court reported a liability of \$895,550 and \$1,207,094, respectively for its proportionate share of the Net Pension Liabilities. The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2017 and the total pension liability used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District Court's proportion of the Net Pension Liability was based on a projection of the District Court's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2017, the District Court's proportion was .012723%, which was a decrease of .00265% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

For the year ended June 30, 2017, the District Court recognized pension expense of \$81,947 plus or minus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions of \$10,546.

At June 30, 2018, the Center reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 0	\$ 16,433
Changes in assumption	3,538	0
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	29,122	0
Changes in employer's proportion of beginning net pension liability	75,511	122,610
Differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of employer contributions	2,629	2,331
Subsequent Measurement Contributions	76,255	0
Total	\$187,055	\$141,374

The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the District Court contributions subsequent to the measurement date in the amount of \$76,255, will be recognized as a reduction of the Net Pension Liabilities in the year of June 30, 2019. Amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended June 30:	
2018	\$ 9,593
2019	(33,792)
2020	11,653
2021	(18,029)
Total	\$(30,575)

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2017 are as follows:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2017																		
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal																		
Actuarial Assumptions:																			
Expected Remaining Service Lives	3 years.																		
Investment Rate of Return	7.70% per annum.																		
Inflation Rate	2.75% per annum.																		
Mortality	Non-disabled members - Mortality rates based on the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table with mortality improvement projected to 2015. Disabled members – Mortality rates based on the RP-2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table, with no projection for mortality improvement.																		
Termination, Disability, and Retirement	Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2009-2013) experience study of the System’s members.																		
Salary Increases	Salary increases were projected based on a 2009-2013 experience study of the System’s members. The salary increase ranges for specific types of members are:																		
	<table border="0" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;"><u>Member Type</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Lower Range</u></th> <th style="text-align: center;"><u>Upper Range</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Regular</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.8%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Judges</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.8%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Corrections</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hazard Duty</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wildlife</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3.4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">14.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Member Type</u>	<u>Lower Range</u>	<u>Upper Range</u>	Regular	3.8%	13.0%	Judges	2.8%	5.5%	Corrections	3.4%	14.3%	Hazard Duty	3.4%	14.3%	Wildlife	3.4%	14.3%
<u>Member Type</u>	<u>Lower Range</u>	<u>Upper Range</u>																	
Regular	3.8%	13.0%																	
Judges	2.8%	5.5%																	
Corrections	3.4%	14.3%																	
Hazard Duty	3.4%	14.3%																	
Wildlife	3.4%	14.3%																	
Cost of Living Adjustments	The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The projected benefit payments do not include provisions for potential future increases not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees as they were deemed not to be substantively automatic.																		

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation 3.25% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 8.69% for 2017. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the pension plan's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2017 are summarized in the following table:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return</u>
Cash	-0.24%
Domestic equity	4.31%
International equity	5.35%
Domestic Fixed Income	1.73%
International Fixed Income	2.49%
Alternative Investments	7.41%
Global Asset Allocation	2.84%
Total	5.26%

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.70%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the pension plan's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the District Court's proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability using the discount rate of 7.70%, as well as what the Center's proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage-point lower (6.70%) or one percentage-point higher (8.70%) than the current rate:

	1.0% Decrease (6.70%)	Current Discount Rate (7.70%)	1.0% Increase (8.70%)
Employer's proportionate share of net pension liability	\$1,124,262	\$895,550	\$701,091

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued LASERS 2017 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report at www.lasersonline.org.

Payables to the Pension Plan

These financial statements include a payable to the pension plan of \$7,190, which is the legally required contribution due at June 30, 2018. This amount is recorded in accrued expenses.

6. Expenditures of the Judicial District Not Included in the Accompanying Financial Statements:

The accompanying financial statements do not include certain salary or administrative expenditures for the District Court paid out of the funds of the Bienville, Claiborne or Jackson Parish Police Juries or directly by the State.

7. Litigation:

Management has advised that there is no litigation pending against the Second Judicial District Court at June 30, 2018.

8. Interfund Transfers:

Permanent reallocations of resources between funds of the reporting entity are classified as interfund transfers. For the purpose of the Statement of Activities, all interfund transfers between individual governmental funds have been eliminated.

The following is a summary of interfund operating transfers:

	<u>Operating Transfers In</u>	<u>Operating Transfers Out</u>
General Fund	\$42,943	\$ 1,713
Special Revenue Funds-		
Probation	1,713	19,537
IV-D Collection Fund	<u>0</u>	<u>23,406</u>
Totals	<u>\$44,656</u>	<u>\$44,656</u>

Transfers are primarily used to move funds from the Special Revenue Funds to the General Fund so that excess revenues can be used for governmental services.

9. Subsequent Events:

Management has evaluated events through December 16, 2018, the date which the financial statements were available for issue. There were no items to be reported as subsequent events.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Major Fund
General Fund
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	<u>Budget Amounts</u>		Variance
	<u>Original/Final</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Favorable</u> <u>(Unfavorable)</u>
REVENUES:			
Intergovernmental-			
State	\$ 10,000	\$ 12,322	\$ 2,322
Parish	80,000	87,910	7,910
Fees & Fines-			
Civil Fees	40,000	40,104	104
Criminal Court Fees	245,000	274,355	29,355
Miscellaneous-			
Interest	3,500	3,893	393
Other	<u>10,000</u>	<u>74,303</u>	<u>64,303</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$ 388,500</u>	<u>\$492,887</u>	<u>\$104,387</u>
EXPENDITURES:			
Current-			
Judicial-			
Auto	\$ 20,000	\$ 21,000	\$ (1,000)
Books & Library	25,000	25,559	(559)
Conferences & Seminars	10,000	7,332	2,668
Contracted Services, Personnel			
Services, & Benefits	357,000	342,574	14,426
Dues & Subscriptions	1,500	787	713
Insurance	31,000	30,155	845
Legal & Accounting	20,000	20,815	(815)
Miscellaneous	5,000	1,411	3,589
Office Supplies	23,000	34,089	(11,089)
Repairs & Maintenance	5,000	868	4,132
Travel	19,500	26,436	(6,936)
Utilities & Telephone	15,000	16,503	(1,503)
Capital Outlay	<u>45,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>45,000</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$ 577,000</u>	<u>\$527,529</u>	<u>\$ 49,471</u>

Continued next page

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Major Fund
General Fund
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	<u>Budget Amounts</u> <u>Original/Final</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Variance Favorable <u>(Unfavorable)</u>
(Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$(188,500)	\$(34,642)	\$153,858
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES AND USES:			
Transfer from Probation	\$ 20,000	\$ 19,537	\$ (463)
Transfer to Probation	0	(1,713)	(1,713)
Transfer from FINS	1,500	0	(1,500)
Transfer from IV-D	<u>60,000</u>	<u>23,406</u>	<u>(36,594)</u>
Total Other Financing	\$ <u>81,500</u>	\$ <u>41,230</u>	\$ <u>(40,270)</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources over Expenditures	\$(107,000)	\$ 6,588	\$113,588
Fund Balance-Beginning of Year	<u>313,923</u>	<u>313,923</u>	<u>0</u>
Fund Balance-End of Year	\$ <u>206,923</u>	\$ <u>320,511</u>	\$ <u>113,588</u>

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Major Fund
Probation Fund
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	<u>Budget Amounts</u> <u>Original/Final</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)
REVENUES:			
Fees, Charges, and Commissions for Services	\$100,000	\$101,745	\$ 1,745
Miscellaneous	<u>2,500</u>	<u>3,661</u>	<u>1,161</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$102,500</u>	<u>\$105,406</u>	<u>\$ 2,906</u>
EXPENDITURES:			
Current- Judicial	\$133,425	\$ 87,235	\$46,190
Capital Outlay	<u>12,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12,000</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$145,425</u>	<u>\$ 87,235</u>	<u>\$58,190</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$ (42,925)	\$ 18,171	\$61,096
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):			
Transfer from General Fund	0	1,713	1,713
Transfer to General Fund	<u>(20,000)</u>	<u>(19,537)</u>	<u>463</u>
Total Other Financing	<u>\$ (20,000)</u>	<u>\$ (17,824)</u>	<u>\$ 2,176</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources over Expenditures and Other Uses	\$ (62,925)	\$ 347	\$63,272
Fund Balance-Beginning of Year	<u>349,212</u>	<u>349,212</u>	<u>0</u>
Fund Balance-End of Year	<u>\$286,287</u>	<u>\$349,559</u>	<u>\$63,272</u>

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Major Fund
IV-D Fund
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	<u>Budget Amounts</u> <u>Original/Final</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Variance Favorable <u>(Unfavorable)</u>
REVENUES:			
Fees, Charges, and Commissions for Services	\$185,000	\$200,548	\$15,548
Miscellaneous	<u>50</u>	<u>555</u>	<u>505</u>
Total Revenues	<u>\$185,050</u>	<u>\$201,103</u>	<u>\$16,053</u>
EXPENDITURES:			
Current- Judicial	\$ 66,250	\$ 65,509	\$ 741
Capital Outlay	<u>10,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10,000</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$ 76,250</u>	<u>\$ 65,509</u>	<u>\$10,741</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues over Expenditures	\$108,800	\$135,594	\$26,794
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):			
Transfer to General Fund	<u>(60,000)</u>	<u>(23,406)</u>	<u>36,594</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources over Expenditures and Other Sources	\$ 48,800	\$112,188	\$63,388
Fund Balance-Beginning of Year	<u>216,392</u>	<u>216,392</u>	<u>0</u>
Fund Balance-End of Year	<u>\$265,192</u>	<u>\$328,580</u>	<u>\$63,388</u>

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

<u>Year</u>	<u>Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)</u>	<u>Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)</u>	<u>Employer's Covered Employee Payroll</u>	<u>Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered Payroll</u>	<u>Plan Fiduciary Net Pension as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability</u>
<i>LASERS</i>					
2015	.01214%	\$ 759,289	\$229,020	332%	65.0%
2016	.01206%	\$ 820,738	\$287,020	286%	62.7%
2017	.01537%	\$1,207,094	\$241,087	501%	57.7%
2018	.01272%	\$ 895,550	\$201,200	445%	62.5%

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Schedule of Employer Contributions
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

<u>Year</u>	<u>Contractually Required Contributions</u>	<u>Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required Contributions</u>	<u>Contribution Deficiency (Excess)</u>	<u>Employer's Covered Payroll</u>	<u>Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll</u>
<i>LASERS</i>					
2015	\$ 85,196	\$ 85,196	\$0	\$229,020	37.2%
2016	\$103,469	\$103,469	\$0	\$287,020	36.0%
2017	\$ 89,899	\$ 89,899	\$0	\$241,087	37.3%
2018	\$ 76,255	\$ 76,255	\$0	\$201,200	37.9%

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

Changes of Assumptions:

- There were no changes of benefit assumptions for the year ended June 30, 2017.

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other
Payments to Agency Heads
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

	Judge Jenifer Clason	Judge Glen Fallin	Judge Jimmy Teat
Salary	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Benefits – Insurance	3,531	2,616	2,616
Benefits – Retirement	0	0	0
Deferred Compensation	0	0	0
Benefits – Other	0	0	0
Car Allowance	7,200	7,200	7,200
Vehicle Provided by Government	0	0	0
Cell Phone	0	1,097	1,330
Dues	0	0	0
Vehicle Rental	0	0	0
Per Diem	0	0	1,063
Reimbursements	0	1,851	1,573
Travel	0	0	0
Registration Fees	0	0	0
Conference Travel	0	0	0
Housing	0	0	0
Unvouchered Expenses	0	0	0
Special Meals	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Totals	\$10,731	\$12,764	\$13,782

See independent auditor's report and notes to the financial statements.

OTHER REPORTS

Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham

Certified Public Accountants

Eddie G. Johnson, CPA - A Professional Corporation (1927-1996)

Mark D. Thomas, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Roger M. Cunningham, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Jessica H. Broadway, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Ryan E. Todtenbier, CPA - A Professional Corporation

321 Bienville Street
Natchitoches, Louisiana 71457
(318) 352-3652
Fax (318) 352-4447

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Honorable Jenifer Clason, Chief Judge
The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the *Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide*, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Second Judicial District Court's (District Court) basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District Court's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District Court's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. *A material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District Court's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 25:513, this report is distributed by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham, CPA's
Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham, CPA's

December 16, 2018
Natchitoches, Louisiana

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana
Schedule of Audit Results
Year Ended June 30, 2018

I. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

The following summarize the audit results:

1. An unmodified opinion was issued on the financial statements of the Second Judicial District Court as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018.
2. The audit did not disclose any material weaknesses in internal control.
3. The audit did not disclose any instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

II. FINDINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

None noted.

III. PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS

None noted.

Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham

Certified Public Accountants

Eddie G. Johnson, CPA - A Professional Corporation (1927-1996)

Mark D. Thomas, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Roger M. Cunningham, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Jessica H. Broadway, CPA - A Professional Corporation
Ryan E. Todtenbier, CPA - A Professional Corporation

321 Bienville Street
Natchitoches, Louisiana 71457
(318) 352-3652
Fax (318) 352-4447

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING STATEWIDE AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Honorable Jenifer Clason, Chief Judge
The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne and Jackson, Louisiana

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Judges of the Second Judicial District Court and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) on the control and compliance (C/C) areas identified in the LLA's Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures (SAUPs) for the fiscal period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. The Second Judicial District Court is responsible for those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and associated findings are enumerated below.

Written Policies and Procedures

1. We obtained and inspected the entity's written policies and procedures and observed whether those written policies and procedures address each of the following categories and subcategories (or noted that the entity does not have any written policies and procedures), as applicable:
 - **Budgeting**, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget.
 - **Purchasing**, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes.
 - **Disbursements**, including processing, reviewing, and approving.
 - **Receipts**, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits. Also, policies and procedures should include management's actions to determine the completeness of all collections for each type of revenue or agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation.)

- **Payroll/Personnel**, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and attendance records, including leave and overtime worked.
- **Contracting**, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process.
- **Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable)**, including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers of statements, and (5) monitoring card usage (e.g., determining the reasonableness of fuel card purchases).
- **Travel and expense reimbursement**, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers.
- **Ethics**, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature verification that they have read the entity's ethics policy.
- **Debt Service**, including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) continuing disclosure/EMMA reporting requirements, (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements.
 - a) Procedure Results - We noted exceptions. The written policies and procedures do not address (1) How vendors are added to the vendor list, (2) How deposits are prepared, (3) Contracting policies for standard terms and conditions, legal review and the monitoring process, and (4) Credit card policies for allowable business uses, required approvers, and monitoring card usage.

Board (or Finance Committee, if applicable)

2. We obtained and inspected the board/committee minutes for the fiscal period, as well as the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or equivalent documents in effect during the fiscal period, and:
 - Observed that the board/finance committee met with a quorum at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or other equivalent document.
 - Observed that the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the General Fund and major special revenue funds, as well as monthly financial statements (or budget-to-actual comparisons, if budgeted) for major proprietary funds. *Alternatively, for those entities reporting on the non-profit accounting model, observe that the minutes referenced or included financial activity relating to public funds if those public funds comprised more than 10% of the entity's collections during the fiscal period.*
 - For governmental entities, obtained the prior year audit report and observed the unrestricted fund balance in the General Fund. If the General Fund had a negative ending unrestricted fund balance in the prior year audit report, observed that the minutes for at least one meeting during the fiscal period referenced or included a formal plan to eliminate the negative unrestricted fund balance in the General Fund.
 - a) Procedure Results – Not required due to compensating controls fully mitigated the underlying control risk in this area in the prior year.

Bank Reconciliations

3. We obtained a listing of client bank accounts for the fiscal period from management and management's representation that the listing is complete. We identified the entity's main operating account. We selected the entity's main operating account and randomly selected four additional accounts (or all if less than five). We randomly selected one month from the fiscal period, and obtained and inspected the corresponding bank statement and reconciliation for the selected accounts, and observed that:
- Bank reconciliations include evidence that they were prepared within two months of the related statement closing date (e.g. initialed and dated, electronically logged);
 - Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management/board member who does not handle cash, post ledgers, or issue checks has reviewed each bank reconciliation (e.g. initialed and dated, electronically logged); and
 - Management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months from the statement closing date, if applicable.
- a) Procedure Results – We noted one exception for no documentation reflecting reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months are being researched.

Collections

4. We obtained a listing of deposit sites for the fiscal period where deposits for cash/check/money order (cash) are prepared and management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected the required amount of deposit sites (up to five).
5. We obtained a listing of collection locations and management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected one collection location for each deposit site selected. We obtained and inspected written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties at each collection location, and observed that job duties were properly segregated at each collection location such that:
- Employees that are responsible for cash collections do not share cash drawers/registers.
 - Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for preparing/making bank deposits, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling collection documentation (e.g. pre-numbered receipts) to the deposit.
 - Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for posting collection entries to the general ledger or subsidiary ledgers, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling ledger postings to each other and to the deposit.
 - The employee(s) responsible for reconciling cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions are not responsible for collecting cash, unless another employee verifies the reconciliation.
6. We inquired of management that all employees who have access to cash are covered by a bond or insurance policy for theft.
7. We randomly selected two deposit dates for each of the bank accounts selected for procedure #3 under "Bank Reconciliations" above. We obtained supporting documentation for each of the deposits selected and:

- We observed that receipts are sequentially pre-numbered.
- We traced sequentially pre-numbered receipts, system reports, and other related collection documentation to the deposit slip.
- We traced the deposit slip total to the actual deposit per the bank statement.
- We observed that the deposit was made within one business day of receipt at the collection location (within one week if the depository is more than 10 miles from the collection location or the deposit is less than \$100).
- We traced the actual deposit per the bank statement to the general ledger.
 - a) Procedure Results – We noted no exceptions.

Non-Payroll Disbursements – General (excluding card purchases/payments, travel reimbursements, and petty cash purchases)

8. We obtained a listing of locations that process payments for the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected the required amount of disbursement locations (up to five).
9. For each location selected under #8 above, we obtained written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties (if the agency has no written policies and procedures, inquire of employees about their job duties), and we observed that job duties are properly segregated such that:
 - At least two employees are involved in initiating a purchase request, approving a purchase, and placing an order/making the purchase.
 - At least two employees are involved in processing and approving payments to vendors.
 - The employee responsible for processing payments is prohibited from adding/modifying vendor files, unless another employee is responsible for periodically reviewing changes to vendor files.
 - Either the employee/official responsible for signing checks mails the payment or gives the signed checks to an employee to mail who is not responsible for processing payments.
10. For each location selected under #8 above, we obtained the entity's non-payroll disbursement transaction population (excluding cards and travel reimbursements) and obtained management's representation that the population is complete. We randomly selected 5 disbursements for each location, and obtained supporting documentation for each transaction and:
 - We observed that the disbursement matched the related original invoice/billing statement.
 - We observed that the disbursement documentation included evidence (e.g., initial/date, electronic logging) of segregation of duties tested under #9, as applicable.
 - a) Procedure Results - Not required due to compensating controls fully mitigated the underlying control risk in this area in the prior year.

Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

11. We obtained from management a listing of all active credit cards, bank debit cards, fuel cards, and P-cards (cards) for the fiscal period, including the card numbers and the names of the persons who maintained possession of the cards. We obtained management's representation that the listing is complete.
12. Using the listing prepared by management, we randomly selected the required amount of cards (up to five) that were used during the fiscal period. We randomly selected one monthly statement or combined statement for each card (for a debit card, randomly select one monthly bank statement), and obtained supporting documentation, and:
 - We observed that there is evidence that the monthly statement or combined statement and supporting documentation (e.g., original receipts for credit/debit card purchases, exception reports for excessive fuel card usage) was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the authorized card holder.
 - We observed that finance charges and late fees were not assessed on the selected statements.
13. Using the monthly statements or combined statements selected under #12 above, excluding fuel cards, we randomly selected the required amount transactions (up to ten) from each statement, and obtained supporting documentation for the transactions. For each transaction, we observed that it is supported by (1) an original itemized receipt that identified precisely what was purchased, (2) written documentation of the business/public purpose, and (3) documentation of the individuals participating in meals.
 - a) Procedure Results – We noted no exceptions.

Travel and Expense Reimbursement

14. We obtained from management a listing of all travel and travel-related expense reimbursements during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete. We randomly selected five reimbursements, and obtained the related expense reimbursement forms/prepaid expense documentation of each selected reimbursement, as well as the supporting documentation. For each of the five reimbursements selected:
 - If reimbursed using a per diem, we agreed the reimbursement rate to those rates established by the State of Louisiana or the U.S. General Services Administration (www.gsa.gov).
 - If reimbursed using actual costs, we observed that the reimbursement is supported by an original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased.
 - We observed that each reimbursement was supported by documentation of the business/public purpose and other documentation required by written policy.
 - We observed that each reimbursement was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.
 - a) Procedure Results – Not required due to no exceptions in this area in the prior year.

Contracts

15. We obtained from management a listing of all agreements/contracts for professional services, materials, and supplies, leases, and construction activities that were initiated or renewed during the fiscal period. We obtained management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected the required amount of contracts (up to five) from the listing, and:
- We observed that the contract was bid in accordance with the Louisiana Public Bid Law (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertised), if required by law.
 - We observed that the contract was approved by the governing body/board, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act, Home Rule Charter).
 - If the contract was amended (e.g. change order), we observed that the original contract terms provided for such an amendment.
 - We randomly selected one payment from the fiscal period for each of the selected contracts, obtained the supporting invoice, agreed the invoice to the contract terms, and observed that the invoice and related payment agreed to the terms and conditions of the contract.
- a) Procedure Results – Not required due to no exceptions in this area in the prior year.

Payroll and Personnel

16. We obtained a listing of employees/elected officials employed during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected five employees/officials, obtained related paid salaries and personnel files, and agreed paid salaries to authorized salaries/pay rates in the personnel files.
17. We randomly selected one pay period during the fiscal period. For the five employees/officials selected under #16 above, we obtained attendance and leave documentation for the pay period, and:
- We observed that all selected employees/officials documented their daily attendance and leave (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory).
 - We observed that supervisors approved the attendance and leave of the selected employees/officials.
 - We observed that any leave accrued or taken during the pay period is reflected in the entity's cumulative leave records.
18. We obtained a listing of those employees/officials that received termination payments during the fiscal period and management's representation that the list is complete. If applicable, we selected the two employees/officials, and obtained related documentation of the hours and pay rates used in management's termination payment calculations. If applicable, we agreed the hours to the employees/officials' cumulative leave records and the pay rates to the employee/officials' authorized pay rates in the employee/officials' personnel files.

19. We obtained management's representation that employer and employee portions of payroll taxes, retirement contributions, health insurance premiums, and workers' compensation premiums have been paid, and associated forms have been filed, by required deadlines.

a) Procedure Results – We noted no exceptions.

Ethics

20. Using the five randomly selected employees/officials from procedure #16 under "Payroll and Personnel" above, we obtained ethics compliance documentation from management, and:

- We observed that the documentation demonstrates each employee/official completed one hour of ethics training during the fiscal period.
- We observed that the documentation demonstrates each employee/official attested through signature verification that he or she has read the entity's ethics policy during the fiscal period.

a) Procedure Results – We noted no exceptions.

Debt Service (excluding nonprofits)

21. We obtained a listing of bonds/notes issued during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. We selected all bonds/notes on the listing, obtained supporting documentation, and observed that State Bond Commission approval was obtained for each bond/note issued.

22. We obtained a listing of bonds/notes outstanding at the end of the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. We randomly selected one bond/note, inspected debt covenants, obtained supporting documentation for the reserve balance and payments, and agreed actual reserve balances and payments to those required by debt covenants.

a) Procedure Results – No procedures were performed as there was no outstanding debt or debt issued during this fiscal period.

Other

23. We obtained a listing of misappropriations of public funds and assets during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. We selected all misappropriations on the listing, obtained supporting documentation, and observed that the entity reported the misappropriation to the legislative auditor and the district attorney of the parish in which the entity is domiciled.

24. We observed whether the entity has posted on its premises and website, the notice required by R.S. 24:523.1 concerning the reporting of misappropriation, fraud, waste, or abuse of public funds.

a) Procedure Results – We noted no exceptions.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the LLA as a public document.

Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham, CPA's
Johnson, Thomas & Cunningham, CPA's

December 16, 2018
Natchitoches, Louisiana

The Second Judicial District Court
Parishes of Bienville, Claiborne, and Jackson, Louisiana
Management's Response to Exceptions to
Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE TO EXCEPTIONS:

Item 1: Exception – The written policies and procedures do not address (1) How vendors are added to the vendor list, (2) How deposits are prepared, (3) Contracting policies for standard terms and conditions, legal review and the monitoring process, and (4) Credit card policies for allowable business uses, required approvers, and monitoring card usage.

Response – We will establish written policies and procedures to address all the items listed above.

Item 3: Exception – There was no documentation reflecting reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months are being researched.

Response – We will discuss with the outside CPA firm about ensuring that items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months will be researched.