

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE**
Jennings, Louisiana

Annual Financial Statements
As of and For the Year
Ending June 30, 2018

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
 Jennings, Louisiana
 Annual Financial Statements
 As of and for the Year Ending June 30, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Statement</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	-	-	1-2
Basic Financial Statements:			3
Governmental Fund Balance Sheet/ Statement of Net Position	A	-	4
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	B	-	5
Statement of Governmental Fund Revenue, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance/ Statement of Activities	C	-	6
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance to the Statement of Activities	D	-	7
Notes to the Basic Financial Statements	-	-	8 - 24
Required Supplemental Information			25
Budgetary Comparison Schedule	-	1	26
Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule	-	-	27-28
Additional Pension/ Retirement Information		2-4	29-31
Supplemental Information			32
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to Agency Head or Chief Executive Officer		5	33
Other Reports:			34
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements in Accordance with Governmental Auditing Standards	-	-	35-36
Schedule of Findings and Responses	-	-	37-38
Management's Corrective Action Plan for Current Year Findings	-	-	39
Management's Schedule of Prior Year Findings	-	-	40

Mike B. Gillespie

Certified Public Accountant

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, CGMA

414 East Nezpique Street

P.O. Box 1347

Jennings, LA 70546

Jennifer L. Doucet, CPA, CGMA, MBA

Telephone: (337) 824-7773

Eric C. Gillespie, CPA

Fax: (337) 824-7774

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office
Jefferson Davis Parish Police Jury
Jennings, Louisiana

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund information of the Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office (Public Defender), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Public Defender's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Governmental Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major fund information of the Public Defender, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted a management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information on pages 26 to 28 and the required supplementary information entitled Additional Pension/ Retirement Information on pages 29 to 31 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Public Defender's financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information, as listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The accompanying supplemental information, as listed in the table of contents (page 33), is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 20, 2018, on our consideration of the Public Defender's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Public Defender's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, APAC

Jennings, Louisiana
December 20, 2018

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Governmental Fund Balance Sheet / Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2018

Statement A

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Net Position</u>
ASSETS			
Cash	\$ 174,380		174,380
Receivables, net	23,589		23,589
Accrued interest receivable	6		6
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	-	728	728
Other Long-term assets:			
Net pension asset		5,817	5,817
Total Assets	<u><u>197,975</u></u>	<u><u>6,545</u></u>	<u><u>204,520</u></u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Deferred outflow amounts on pension	-	10,869	10,869
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>10,869</u></u>	<u><u>10,869</u></u>
LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable	165		165
Professional services payable	38,074		38,074
Salaries and benefits payable	2,275		2,275
Long-term liabilities:			
Due within one year	-	958	958
Due in more than one year	-	-	-
Net pension liability	-	-	-
Total Liabilities	<u><u>40,514</u></u>	<u><u>958</u></u>	<u><u>41,472</u></u>
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Deferred inflow amounts on pension	-	17,286	17,286
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>17,286</u></u>	<u><u>17,286</u></u>
FUND BALANCE / NET POSITION			
Unassigned	157,461	(157,461)	-
Total Fund Balance	<u><u>157,461</u></u>	<u><u>(157,461)</u></u>	<u><u>-</u></u>
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	<u><u>\$ 197,975</u></u>		
NET POSITION			
Net investment in capital assets		728	728
Unrestricted		155,903	155,903
Total Net Position		<u><u>\$ 156,631</u></u>	<u><u>156,631</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Statement of Governmental Fund Revenues, Expenditures, and
Changes in Fund Balances / Statement of Activities
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

Statement C

	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Adjustments</u>	<u>Statement of Activities</u>
EXPENDITURES/ EXPENSES :			
Current			
Judiciary:			
Professional legal services	\$ 479,778	-	479,778
Other contracted services	15,398	-	15,398
Personnel services and related benefits	74,094	1,903	75,997
Dues	2,761	-	2,761
Insurance	1,268	-	1,268
Miscellaneous expense	403	-	403
Office expense	9,601	-	9,601
Travel, meals, & seminars	5,532	-	5,532
Depreciation expense	-	1,260	1,260
Capital outlay			-
Total Expenditures / Expenses	<u>588,835</u>	<u>3,163</u>	<u>591,998</u>
PROGRAM REVENUES:			
Charges for services:			
Court costs on fines and forfeitures	265,622	-	265,622
Fees from indigents	9,204	-	9,204
Operating grants and contributions:			
State grants and contributions- operating	<u>296,655</u>	-	<u>296,655</u>
Total Program Revenues	<u>571,481</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>571,481</u>
Net Program Expenses			<u>(20,517)</u>
GENERAL REVENUES:			
Interest earned	1,407	-	1,407
Parish contribution to retirement system	944	(361)	583
Other income	231	-	231
Total General Revenues	<u>2,582</u>	<u>(361)</u>	<u>2,221</u>
EXCESS (Deficiency) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	(14,772)	14,772	-
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	-	(18,296)	(18,296)
FUND BALANCE / NET POSITION:			
Beginning of the Year	172,233	2,694	174,927
End of the Year	<u>\$ 157,461</u>	<u>(830)</u>	<u>156,631</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
 Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and
 Changes in Fund Balance to the Statement of Activities
 For the Year Ended June 30, 2018**

Statement D

Total Net Change in Fund Balance - Governmental Funds (Statement C) \$ (14,772)

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

In statement of activities pension expense is based on proportionate share computation based on changes in total net pension liability, and in governmental funds pension expense is measured by the amount of financial resources used (essentially employer contribution paid). (1,306)

Capital outlays are reported in governmental funds as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which depreciation expense exceeds capital outlays in the period:

Depreciation expense	(1,260)	
Capital outlays	<u>-</u>	(1,260)

In the statement of activities, certain operating expenses - compensated absences (vacations and other absences) - are measured by the amounts earned during the year. In the governmental funds, however, expenditures for these items are measured by the amount of financial resources used (essentially, the amounts actually paid):

Change in compensated absences payable		(958)
--	--	-------

Change In Net Position of Governmental Activities (Statement B) \$ (18,296)

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

INTRODUCTION

The Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office (Public Defender), was established in compliance with Louisiana Revised Statutes 15:144-149 and modified by Act 307 implemented August 15th 2007. The Public Defender provides counsel to represent indigents (needy individuals) in criminal and quasi-criminal cases at the district court level. The Thirty-First Judicial District encompasses Jefferson Davis Parish.

The Public Defender is composed of a Chief District Public Defender who works under the supervision of the Louisiana Public Defender Office. A State Louisiana Public Defender Board governs the Louisiana Public Defender Office.

Revenues to finance the Public Defender's operations are primarily provided from court costs on fines imposed by the Thirty-First Judicial District Court and the City of Jennings Court, and State Revenues received through distributions from the Louisiana Public Defender Office.

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying basic financial statements of the Public Defender have been prepared in conformity with governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The accompanying basic financial statement have been prepared in conformity with GASB Statement 34, *Basic Financial Statements-and Management's Discussion and Analysis-for State and Local Governments*, issued in June 1999.

B. REPORTING ENTITY

For financial statement reporting purposes, the Public Defender is a part of the district court system of the State of Louisiana. However, the state statutes that create the Public Defenders also give each of the Public Defenders control over all of their operations. This includes the hiring and retention of employees, authority over budgeting, responsibility for deficits, and the receipt and disbursement of funds. The Public Defender is financially independent and operates independently from the district court system. The accompanying financial statements include only the transactions of the Public Defender.

C. FUND ACCOUNTING

The Public Defender uses funds to maintain its financial records during the year. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid management by segregating transactions related to certain board functions and activities. A fund is defined as a separate fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts.

Governmental Funds

Governmental funds account for all or most of the Public Defender's general activities. These funds focus on the sources, uses, and balances of current financial resources. Expendable assets are assigned to the various governmental funds according to the purposes for which they may be used. Current liabilities are assigned to the fund from which they will be paid. The difference between a governmental fund's assets and liabilities is reported as fund balance. In general, fund balance represents the accumulated expendable resources which may be used to finance future period programs or operations of the Public Defender. The following are the Public Defender's governmental funds:

General Fund – the primary operating fund of the Public Defender and it accounts for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in other funds. The General Fund is available

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

for any purpose provided it is expended or transferred in accordance with state and federal laws and according to the Public Defender's policy.

D. MEASUREMENT FOCUS / BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

Fund Financial Statements (FFS)

The amounts reflected in the General Fund of Statements A and B, are accounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances reports on the sources (i.e., revenues and other financing sources) and uses (i.e., expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. This approach is then reconciled, through adjustment, to a government-wide view of the Public Defender's operations.

The amounts reflected in the General Fund of Statements A and B use the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they become both measurable and available). Measurable means the amount of the transaction can be determined and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The Public Defender considers all revenues available if they are collected within 60 days after the fiscal year end. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred, except for interest and principal payments on general long-term debt which is recognized when due, and certain compensated absences and claims and judgments which are recognized when the obligations are expected to be liquidated with expendable available financial resources. The governmental funds use the following practices in recording revenues and expenditures:

Revenues

Court costs on fines and forfeitures are recorded in the month that the amounts are collected by the appropriate courts since they are measurable and available. Fees from indigents are recorded when received.

Expenditures

Expenditures are recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred.

Government-Wide Financial Statements (GWFS)

The column labeled Statement of Net Position (Statement A) and the column labeled Statement of Activities (Statement C) display information about the Public Defender as a whole. These statements include all the financial activities of the Public Defender. Information contained in these columns reflect the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from exchange or exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange occurs (regardless of when cash is received or disbursed). Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets and liabilities resulting from nonexchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions*.

Program Revenues – Program revenues included in the column labeled Statement of Activities (Statement C) are derived directly from users as a fee for services; program revenues reduce the cost of the function to be financed from the Public Defender's general revenues.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

E. DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Deposits and investments include amounts in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, and money market accounts. Under state law, the Public Defender may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest-bearing deposits, money market accounts, or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana Law or any other state of the United States, or under the laws of the United States.

Investments are limited by Louisiana Revised Statute (R.S.) 33:2955 since the Public Defender does not have a formal investment policy. Funds which are available for investment and above immediate cash requirements can be invested in statutorily sanctioned investments including direct U.S. Treasury obligations, bonds, debentures, notes issued by or guaranteed by federal agencies, or certificates, or time certificates of deposit in any bank domiciled or having a branch office in Louisiana or any other federally insured investment. Statutorily sanctioned investments also include funds invested with external local government investment pools such as Louisiana Assets Management Pool.

When investments are present in the financial statements they are reflected at fair value except for the following which are permitted per GASB Statement 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*:

- 1) Investments in *nonparticipating* interest-earning contracts, such as nonnegotiable certificates of deposit with redemption terms that do not consider market rates, are reported using a cost-based measure. Interest-earning investment contracts include time deposits with financial institutions (such certificates of deposit), repurchase agreements, and guaranteed investment contracts.
- 2) Money-market investments and *participating* interest-earning investment contracts that have a remaining maturity at time of purchase of one year or less are reported at amortized cost. Money market investments are short-term, highly liquid debt instruments that include U.S. Treasury obligations.

F. CAPITAL ASSETS

Fund Financial Statements

In the fund financial statements, fixed assets used in governmental fund operations are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the governmental fund upon acquisition.

Government-wide Financial Statements

In the government-wide financial statements, fixed assets are accounted for as capital assets. Capital assets are capitalized at historical cost or estimated historical cost if historical cost is not available. Donated assets are recorded as capital assets at their estimated fair market value at the date of donation. The Public Defender maintains a threshold level of \$1,000 or more for capitalizing capital assets. Additions, improvement and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation of all exhaustible capital assets is recorded as an expense in the Statement of Activities, with accumulated depreciation reflected in the Statement of Net Position. Depreciation is provided over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation. The estimated useful life by type of assets is as follows:

Office equipment	5 years
------------------	---------

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

G. FUND EQUITY

Fund Financial Statements

Governmental funds can report aggregate amounts for five classifications of fund balances based on the constraints imposed on the use of these resources. The nonspendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form- prepaid items or inventories; or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

The spendable portion of the fund balance can be comprised of the remaining four classifications: restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned defined as follows:

Restricted fund balance- This classification reflects the constraints imposed on resources either (a) externally by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Committed fund balance- These amounts can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Public Defender's highest level of decision-making authority. Those committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the government removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action imposing the commitment. This classification also includes contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements.

Assigned fund balance- This classification reflects the amounts constrained by the Public Defender's "intent" to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. The Chief District Public Defender has the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes. Assigned fund balances include all remaining amounts (except negative balances) that are reported in governmental funds, other than the General Fund, that are not classified as non-spendable and are neither restricted nor committed.

Unassigned fund balance- This fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund. It is also used to report negative fund balances in other governmental funds.

When both restricted and unrestricted amounts of fund balance are available for use for expenditures incurred, it is the Public Defender's policy to use restricted amounts first and then unrestricted amounts as they are needed. For unrestricted amounts of fund balance, it is the Public Defender's policy to use fund balance in the following order: (1) Committed, (2) Assigned, (3) Unassigned.

Government-wide Financial Statements

Equity is classified as net position and displayed in three components:

Invested in capital asset- Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position- Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net position- All other net position that does not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net of related debt".

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Public Defender's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources- committed, assigned, and unassigned- in order as needed.

H. ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenditures, and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

I. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

The Public Defender's employees earn up to a maximum of 10 days vacation leave per year after 1 to 3 years of service; 15 days vacation leave per year after 4 to 12 years of service; and 25 days vacation leave per year after 12 years of service. Vacation leave cannot be carried over and must be used during the year. Any vacation leave not used at year end is forfeited.

Employees earn one day of sick leave per month worked which can be accumulated up to 30 days. Upon termination, employees are not paid for unused sick leave. Due to the uncertainty of actual amount which will be paid for sick leave and the due to the fact that sick leave is forfeited upon termination, no accruals have been made at year end for such absences.

J. PENSION/ RETIREMENT SYSTEM

For purposes of measuring the Net Pension Liability or Asset, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (PERS), and additions to/deductions from PERSs' and fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value. PERS uses the accrual basis of accounting.

K. DEFERRED OUTFLOW/ INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

In addition to assets the statement of net position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future periods(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. All of the items that qualify for reporting in this category are related to pension amounts. See pension/ retirement footnote for further details of these items. No deferred outflows of resources affect the governmental funds financial statements in the current year.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of net position and or balance sheet will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. All of the items that qualify for reporting in this category are related to pension amounts. See pension/ retirement footnote for further details of these items. No deferred inflows of resources affect the governmental funds financial statements in the current year.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

2. EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER APPROPRIATIONS

The following individual funds had actual expenditures over budgeted appropriations for the fiscal year:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Unfavorable Variance</u>
None	\$	\$	\$	\$

3. DEPOSITS

Deposits

The year end balances of deposits are as follows:

<u>Deposit Type</u>	<u>Bank Balances</u>	<u>Reported Amount</u>
Cash –demand deposits	\$ 120,110	\$ 115,853
Cash –time and savings deposits	58,527	58,527
Totals	<u>\$ 178,637</u>	<u>\$ 174,380</u>

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties.

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Public Defender’s deposits may not be returned to it. The Public Defender’s deposit policy for custodial credit risk requires that all uninsured deposits must be secured with acceptable collateral as defined in LRS 39:1221 valued at market. As of June 30, 2018, the Public Defender had deposits (collected bank balances) totaling \$178,637. As of yearend all deposits were either insured by FDIC coverage or collateralized by securities held by the pledging financial institution’s agent in the name of the Public Defender.

4. RECEIVABLES

The receivables at year end are comprised of the following:

<u>Class of Receivable</u>	
Due from Other Governments:	
Court Costs on Fines & Forfeitures	\$ 23,589
Less Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	-
Total	<u>\$ 23,589</u>

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

5. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets and depreciation activity as of year end were as follows:

	<u>Balance Beginning</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Dispositions</u>	<u>Balance Ending</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Furniture and equipment	15,129	-	-	15,129
Total capital assets being Depreciated	<u>15,129</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>15,129</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Furniture and equipment	13,141	1,260	-	14,401
Total accumulated Depreciation	<u>13,141</u>	<u>1,260</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>14,401</u>
Capital assets, net	\$ <u>1,988</u>	<u>(1,260)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>728</u>

6. ACCOUNTS, SALARIES, AND OTHER PAYABLES

The payables were comprised of the following:

Professional Legal Services	\$ 38,074
Accounts payable	165
Salaries and Benefits	2,275
 Total	 <u>\$ 40,514</u>

7. LITIGATION

There is no known litigation pending against the Public Defender at year end that would have a material effect on the financial statements.

8. SIGNIFICANT CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS

The Public Defender has entered into contracts with six attorneys to provide criminal defense legal services to the Thirty-First Judicial District Court, Ward 2 Court and the Jennings City Court as appointed by the presiding judge of each court. Under the terms of each contract three of the attorneys are individually paid up to \$90,000 annually, one attorney is paid up to \$43,000 annually, one attorney is paid up to \$38,500 annually, one attorney is paid up to \$18,000 annually, and the Chief Public Defender is paid \$87,889 annually. In addition, per contractual agreement, the Public Defender pays each attorney’s dues for membership in the Louisiana Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys and the Louisiana Public Defender Association (LPDA), in addition to reasonable expenses for contract attorney’s to attend seminars sponsored by the LPDA.

9. RISK MANAGMENT

The Public Defender is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees/ independent contractors; and natural disasters. The Board carries commercial insurance for some of the above identified risk. The Public Defender does require each

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

contracted attorney to provide proof of professional liability insurance coverage with a minimum liability limit of \$500,000. The Public Defender has not incurred any claims or settlements of claims for any risks in any of the past three years.

10. PENSION PLAN/ RETIREMENT SYSTEM

General Information about the Pension Plan

Plan Description

The Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (PERS) is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan established by Act 205 of the 1952 regular session of the Legislature of the State of Louisiana to provide retirement benefits to all employees of any parish in the state of Louisiana or any governing body or a parish which employs and pays persons serving the parish.

All permanent parish government employees (except those employed by Orleans, Lafourche and East Baton Rouge Parishes) who work at least 28 hours a week shall become members on the date of employment. New employees meeting the age and Social Security criteria have up to 90 days from the date of hire to elect to participate.

As of January 1997, elected officials, except coroners, justices of the peace and parish presidents may no longer join the Retirement System.

Act 765 of the year 1979, established by the Legislature of the State of Louisiana, revised the PERS to create Plan A and Plan B to replace the "regular plan" and the "supplemental plan". Plan A was designated for employers out of Social Security. Plan B was designated for those employers that remained in Social Security on the revision date. The Public Defender only participates in Plan A.

PERS is governed by Louisiana Revised Statutes, Title 11, Sections 1901 through 2025, specifically, and other general laws of the State of Louisiana. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at www.persla.org.

Benefits Provided

The following is a description of the plan and its benefits and is provided for general informational purposes only. PERS provides normal retirement, survivor's benefits, deferred retirement option (DROP), and disability benefits. Participants should refer to the appropriate statutes for more complete information.

Retirement Benefits:

A. Normal Retirement

Any member of Plan A can retire providing he/she meets one of the following criteria:

For employees hired prior to January 1, 2007:

1. Any age with thirty (30) or more years of creditable service.
2. Age 55 with twenty-five (25) years of creditable service.
3. Age 60 with a minimum of ten (10) years of creditable service.
4. Age 65 with a minimum of seven (7) years of creditable service.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For employees hired after January 1, 2007:

1. Age 55 with 30 years of service.
2. Age 62 with 10 years of service.
3. Age 67 with 7 years of service.

Any member of Plan B can retire providing he/she meets one of the following criteria:

For employees hired prior to January 1, 2007:

1. Age 55 with thirty (30) years of creditable service.
2. Age 60 with a minimum of ten (10) years of creditable service.
3. Age 65 with a minimum of seven (7) years of creditable service.

For employees hired after January 1, 2007:

1. Age 55 with 30 years of service.
2. Age 62 with 10 years of service.
3. Age 67 with 7 years of service.

Generally, the monthly amount of the retirement allowance of any member of Plan A shall consist of an amount equal to three percent of the member's final average compensation multiplied by his/her years of creditable service. However, under certain conditions, as outlined in the statutes, the benefits are limited to specified amounts.

Generally, the monthly amount of the retirement allowance for any member of Plan B shall consist of an amount equal to two percent of the members' final average compensation multiplied by his years of creditable service. However, under certain conditions, as outlined in the statutes, the benefits are limited to specified amounts.

B. Survivor Benefits

Upon the death of any member of Plan A with five (5) or more years of creditable service who is not eligible for retirement, the plan provides for benefits for the surviving spouse and minor children, as outlined in the statutes.

Any member of Plan A, who is eligible for normal retirement at time of death, the surviving spouse shall receive an automatic Option 2 benefit, as outlined in the statutes.

Plan B members need ten (10) years of service credit to be eligible for survivor benefits. Upon the death of any member of Plan B with twenty (20) or more years of creditable service who is not eligible for normal retirement, the plan provides for an automatic Option 2 benefit for the surviving spouse when he/she reaches age 50 and until remarriage, if the remarriage occurs before age 55.

A surviving spouse who is not eligible for Social Security survivorship or retirement benefits, and married not less than twelve (12) months immediately preceding death of the member, shall be paid an Option 2 benefit beginning at age 50.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

C. Deferred Retirement Option Plan

Act 338 of 1990 established the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) for the Retirement System. DROP is an option for that member who is eligible for normal retirement.

In lieu of terminating employment and accepting a service retirement, any member of Plan A or B who is eligible to retire may elect to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) in which they are enrolled for three years and defer the receipt of benefits. During participation in the plan, employer contributions are payable but employee contributions cease. The monthly retirement benefits that would be payable, had the person elected to cease employment and receive a service retirement allowance, are paid into the DROP Fund.

Upon termination of employment prior to or at the end of the specified period of participation, a participant in the DROP may receive, at his option, a lump sum from the account equal to the payments into the account, a true annuity based upon his account balance in that fund, or roll over the fund to an Individual Retirement Account.

Interest is accrued on the DROP benefits for the period between the end of DROP participation and the member's retirement date.

For individuals who become eligible to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan on or after January 1, 2004, all amounts which remain credited to the individual's subaccount after termination in the Plan will be placed in liquid asset money market investments at the discretion of the board of trustees. These subaccounts may be credited with interest based on money market rates of return or, at the option of the System, the funds may be credited to self-directed subaccounts. The participant in the self-directed portion of this Plan must agree that the benefits payable to the participant are not the obligations of the state or the System, and that any returns and other rights of the Plan are the sole liability and responsibility of the participant and the designated provider to which contributions have been made.

D. Disability Benefits

For Plan A, a member shall be eligible to retire and receive a disability benefit if they were hired prior to January 1, 2007, and has at least five years of creditable service or if hired after January 1, 2007, has seven years of creditable service, and is not eligible for normal retirement and has been officially certified as disabled by the State Medical Disability Board. Upon retirement caused by disability, a member of Plan A shall be paid a disability benefit equal to the lesser of an amount equal to three percent of the member's final average compensation multiplied by his years of service, not to be less than fifteen, or three percent multiplied by years of service assuming continued service to age sixty.

For Plan B, a member shall be eligible to retire and receive a disability benefit if he/she was hired prior to January 1, 2007, and has at least five years of creditable service or if hired after January 1, 2007, has seven years of creditable service, and is not eligible for normal retirement, and has been officially certified as disabled by the State Medical Disability Board. Upon retirement caused by disability, a member of Plan B shall be paid a disability benefit equal to the lesser of an amount equal to two percent of the member's final average compensation multiplied by his years of service, not to be less than fifteen, or an amount equal to what the member's normal benefit would be based on the member's current final compensation but assuming the member remained in continuous service until his earliest normal retirement age.

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Cost of Living Increases

The Board is authorized to provide a cost of living allowance for those retirees who retired prior to July 1973. The adjustment cannot exceed 2% of the retiree's original benefit for each full calendar year since retirement and may only be granted if sufficient funds are available from investment income in excess of normal requirements. In addition, the Board may provide an additional cost of living increase to all retirees and beneficiaries who are over age sixty-five equal to 2% of the member's benefit paid on October 1, 1977, (or the member's retirement date, if later). Also, the Board may provide a cost of living increase up to 2.5% for retirees 62 and older. (RS 11:1937). Lastly, Act 270 of 2009 provided for further reduced actuarial payments to provide an annual 2.5% cost of living adjustment commencing at age 55.

Contributions

According to state statute, contributions for all employers are actuarially determined each year. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the actuarially determined contribution rate was 9.35% of member's compensation for Plan A and 6.75% of member's compensation for Plan B. However, the actual rate for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2017 and 2016 was 12.50% and 13.00% for Plan A and 8.00% and 8.00% for Plan B.

According to state statute, the System also receives $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1% of ad valorem taxes collected within the respective parishes, except for Orleans and East Baton Rouge parishes. The System also receives revenue sharing funds each year as appropriated by the Legislature. Tax monies and revenue sharing monies are apportioned between Plan A and Plan B in proportion to the member's compensation. These additional sources of income are used as additional employer contributions and are considered support from non-employer contributing entities.

The Public Defender's contractually required employer contribution rate for the year ended June 30, 2018 was 11.50% from January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018 and 12.50% from July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 of annual covered wages. Employer contributions to the pension plan from the Public Defender were \$5,770 for the year ended June 30, 2018. Non-employer contributions that represent $\frac{1}{4}$ of 1% of ad valorem taxes collected within Jefferson Davis Parish were \$944 for the year ending June 30, 2018.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2018, the Public Defender reported an asset of \$5,817 for its proportionate share of the Net Pension Asset. The Net Pension Asset was measured as of December 31, 2017 and the total pension liability used to calculate the Net Pension Asset was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Public Defender's proportion of the Net Pension Asset was based on a projection of the Public Defender's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. At December 31, 2017, the Public Defender's proportion was .007837%, which was an increase of .001580% from its proportion measured as of December 31, 2016.

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the Public Defender recognized pension expense of \$7,656 plus/(less) employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions of \$369.

At June 30, 2018, the Public Defender reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflow of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ -	\$ 3,765
Changes of assumptions	7,342	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	-	13,439
Changes in proportion and difference between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions	755	82
Employer contribution subsequent to the measurement date	2,772	-
Total	\$ <u>10,869</u>	\$ <u>17,286</u>

\$2,772 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Public Defender contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the Net Pension Liability or Asset in the year ended June 30, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended June 30:		
2019	\$	1,249
2020		(757)
2021		(4,356)
2022		(5,325)
Thereafter		-

Deferred outflow/inflow resource amounts, except for net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments, are being recognized in employer's pension expense/(benefit) using the straight-line amortization method over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided pensions through the pension plan. Deferred amounts related to net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments is being recognized in pension expense/(benefit) using the straight-line method amortization method over a closed five-year period.

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of June 30, 2018, are as follows:

Valuation Date	December 31, 2017
Actuarial cost method	Plan A -Entry Age Normal Cost Plan B -Entry Age Normal Cost
Amortization approach	Closed

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Actuarial assumptions:	
Expected Remaining Service Lives	4 years
Investment rate of return	6.75% net of investment expenses, including inflation
Projected salary increases	Plan A -5.25% (2.75% Merit/2.50% Inflation) Plan B -5.25% (2.75% Merit/2.50% Inflation)
Cost-of-living adjustments	The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The present values do not include provisions for potential future increase not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees.
Mortality	RP-2000 Employee Sex Distinct Table was selected for employees. RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Sex Distinct Tables were selected for annuitants and beneficiaries. RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table was selected for disabled annuitants

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.75% for Plan A and 6.75% for Plan B. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers and non-employer contributing entities will be made at the actuarially determined contribution rates, which are calculated in accordance with relevant statutes and approved by the Board of Trustees and the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee. Based on those assumptions, the System's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a triangulation method which integrated the CAPM pricing model (top-down), a treasury yield curve approach (bottom-up) and an equity building-block model (bottom-up). Risk return and correlations are projected on a forward looking basis in equilibrium, in which best-estimates of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These rates are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation of 2.00% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 7.62% for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class included in the System's target asset allocation as of December 31, 2017 are summarized in the following table:

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Asset Class	Target Asset Allocation	Long-term Expected Portfolio Real Rate of Return
Fixed income	35%	1.24%
Equity	52%	3.57%
Alternative	11%	0.69%
Real assets	2%	0.12%
Total	100%	5.62%
Inflation		2.00%
Expected Arithmetic Nominal Return		7.62%

The mortality rate assumption used was set based upon an experience study performed on plan data for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2014. The data was assigned credibility weighting and combined with a standard table to produce current levels of mortality. This mortality was then projected forward to a period equivalent to the estimated duration of the System’s liabilities. The RP-2000 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Sex Distinct Tables (set forward two years for males and set forward one year for females) projected to 2031 using Scale AA was selected for annuitants and beneficiaries. For disabled annuitants, the RP-2000 Disabled Lives Mortality Table set back 5 years for males and 3 years for females was selected. For active employees, the RP-2000 Employee Sex Distinct Tables set back 4 years for males and 3 years for females was used.

Sensitivity of the Employer’s Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the Public Defender’s net pension liability/(asset) calculated using the discount rate of 6.75%, as well as what the employers’ net pension liability/(asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower 5.75% or one percentage point higher 7.75% than the current rate:

Plan A:

	1% Decrease 5.75%	Current Discount Rate 6.75%	1% Increase 7.75%
Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$ 28,680	\$ (5,817)	\$ (36,534)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan’s fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PERS 2017 Annual Financial Report at www.persla.org.

Payables to the Pension Plan

As of June 30, 2018, the Public Defender owed \$0 in legally required contributions to PERS.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

11. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

The following is a summary of the long-term obligation transactions during the year:

	Balance			Balance	Due
	<u>Beginning</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Reductions</u>	<u>Ending</u>	<u>Within</u>
					<u>One Year</u>
Other Obligations:					
Compensated absences	-	958	-	958	958
Net pension liability	12,886	-	12,886	-	-
Governmental activity long-term liabilities	\$ 12,886	-	12,886	958	958

12. ON-BEHALF PAYMENTS FOR FRINGE BENEFITS AND SALARIES

Retirement plan payments in the amount of \$944 were made by the Sheriff, acting in his capacity as Ex Officio Tax Collector, to The Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana on behalf of the Public Defender. These remittances represent a portion of the ad valorem taxes and state revenue sharing collections which are statutorily set aside for payment to The Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana on behalf of the Public Defender. These on-behalf payments have been recorded in the accompanying financial statements, in accordance with GASB Statement 24. *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Grants and Other Financial Assistance* as revenues and expenditures in the General Fund.

13. GOVERNMENTAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

For the fiscal year, the major sources of governmental fund revenues were as follows:

	<u>Governmental Fund Revenues</u>
State Government	
Grants	\$ 296,655
Total	<u>296,655</u>
Local Government	
Statutory fines, forfeitures, fees, court cost, and other	265,622
On-behalf payments	944
Total	<u>266,566</u>
Charges for Services	9,204
Investment Earnings	1,407
Miscellaneous Income	<u>231</u>
Total Revenues	\$ <u><u>574,063</u></u>

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For the fiscal year, the major sources of governmental fund expenditures were as follows:

	Governmental Fund Expenditures
Personnel Services and Benefits	
Salaries	\$ 48,161
Retirement contributions	5,770
On-behalf payments - retirement	944
Insurance	15,535
Payroll taxes	3,684
Total	<u>74,094</u>
Professional Development	
Dues, licenses, and registrations	4,396
Travel	3,897
Total	<u>8,293</u>
Operating Costs	
Contract services –attorney/legal	479,778
Contract services –other	15,398
Insurance	1,268
Supplies	1,042
Repairs and maintenance	3,043
Utilities and telephone	3,050
Other	2,869
Total	<u>506,448</u>
Capital Outlay	<u>-</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>\$ 588,835</u>

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
GOVERNMENTAL FUND - GENERAL FUND
Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

Schedule 1

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual Amounts (Budgetary Basis) (See Note A)	Variance With Final Budget Positive (Negative)
	Original	Final		
REVENUES				
Court costs on fines and forfeitures	\$ 165,000	\$ 228,722	\$ 258,992	\$ 30,270
Fees from indigents	69,000	8,203	9,204	1,001
State grants and contributions	284,618	293,864	296,655	2,791
Interest	550	1,642	1,407	(235)
Other	15,150	-	1,175	1,175
Total Revenues	<u>534,318</u>	<u>532,431</u>	<u>567,433</u>	<u>35,002</u>
EXPENDITURES				
Current				
Judicial:				
Professional legal services	490,000	480,347	479,188	1,159
Other contracted services	14,000	19,637	15,398	4,239
Personnel services and related benefits	81,000	87,767	76,563	11,204
Dues	2,000	4,926	2,761	2,165
Library expense	1,000	-	-	-
Insurance	1,400	1,727	1,268	459
Miscellaneous expense	-	26	403	(377)
Office expense	12,740	10,132	9,618	514
Travel, meals, & seminars	2,700	2,908	5,426	(2,518)
Capital outlay	<u>2,500</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>607,340</u>	<u>607,470</u>	<u>590,625</u>	<u>16,845</u>
EXCESS (Deficiency) OF REVENUES OVER EXPENDITURES	<u>(73,022)</u>	<u>(75,039)</u>	<u>(23,192)</u>	<u>51,847</u>
BEGINNING CASH ON HAND	<u>205,167</u>	<u>197,572</u>	<u>197,572</u>	<u>-</u>
ENDING CASH ON HAND	<u>\$ 132,145</u>	<u>\$ 122,533</u>	<u>\$ 174,380</u>	<u>\$ 51,847</u>

See accompanying note to budgetary comparison schedule.

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

A. BUDGETARY PRACTICES

General Budget Practices The Public Defenders Office follows the following procedures in establishing budgetary data reported in the accompanying budgetary comparison schedule:

Pursuant to the Louisiana Government Budget Act (LSA-RS 39:1301-1314), the Public Defenders Office is required to adopt an annual budget no later than fifteen days prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.

Each year prior to June 15th, the Public Defenders Office develops a proposed annual budget for the general fund. The budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them. The proposed budget is advertised as available for public inspection at least 10 days prior to final adoption simultaneously with notice of the date of public hearing. The public hearing is conducted during an open meeting to obtain public input. The budget is subsequently adopted by the Public Defenders Office through a formal budget resolution.

General fund appropriations (unexpended budget balances) lapse at end of fiscal year.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders are recorded in order to reserve that portion of an applicable appropriation, is not employed.

Formal budget integration (within the accounting records) is not employed as a management control device. The budget is controlled at the object level. Budget amounts included in the accompanying financial statements include the original budget and all subsequent amendments. All budget revisions are approved by the Chief Public Defender.

Budget Basis of Accounting The governmental fund budgets are prepared on the cash basis of accounting consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). Legally, the Public Defenders Office cannot budget total expenditures and other financing uses which would exceed total budgeted revenues and other financing sources including beginning fund balance. State statutes require the Public Defenders Office to amend the budget to prevent overall projected revenues, expenditures or beginning fund balance from causing an adverse budget variance of five percent or more in an individual fund. The Public Defenders Office approves budgets at the object level and management is allowed to transfer amounts between line items within an object.

B. EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER APPROPRIATIONS IN INDIVIDUAL MAJOR FUNDS

The following budgeted major funds had actual expenditures over budgeted expenditures for the fiscal year:

Fund	Final Budget	Actual	Unfavorable Variance
None	\$	\$	\$

Reason for unfavorable variance: Not applicable.

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

C. BUDGET BASIS TO ACTUAL GAAP RECONCILIATION

The following reconciles the amount shown as excess of receipts over disbursements on the non-GAAP budget basis (page 26), with the amount shown on the GAAP basis (page 6):

Excess (Deficiency) of revenues and other sources over Expenditures and other uses (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) – page 26	\$ (23,192)
Add:	
Current-year receivables	23,596
Prior-year payables and deferred revenues	42,305
Rounding	
Less:	
Prior-year receivables	(16,966)
Current-year payables and deferred revenues	<u>(40,515)</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of revenues and other sources over expenditures and other uses (GAAP Basis) – page 6	<u>\$ (14,772)</u>

The reconciliation of amounts reported on page 26 as fund balance at end of year to amounts reported as fund balance on page 4 is as follows:

Fund balance at end of year (Non-GAAP Budgetary Basis) – page 26	\$ 174,380
Revenue accruals	23,596
Expenditure accruals	<u>(40,515)</u>
Fund balance (GAAP Basis) – page 4	<u>\$ 157,461</u>

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
ADDITIONAL PENSION/ RETIREMENT INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018***

Parochial Retirement System of Louisiana:

Plan A:

Year Ending December 31st	Employer's proportion of net pension liability (asset)	Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	Employer's covered-employee payroll	Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll	Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability
2017	0.007837%	(\$5,817)	\$48,237	(12.06%)	101.98%
2016	0.006257%	\$12,886	\$37,108	34.73%	94.15%
2015	0.008926%	\$23,496	\$41,731	56.30%	92.23%
2014	0.014814%	\$4,050	\$83,835	4.83%	99.15%

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

*The amounts presented have a measurement date of the previous December year end of the plan. Note that the Public Defender did not participate in Plan B during the current fiscal year.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
 REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 ADDITIONAL PENSION/ RETIREMENT INFORMATION
 SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018**

Parochial Retirement System of Louisiana:

Plan A:

Year Ending June 30th	Contractually Required Contribution	Contributions in Relation to Contractually Required Contribution	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Employer's Covered Employee Payroll	Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll
2018	\$5,770	\$5,770	-	\$48,085	12.00%
2017	\$5,951	\$5,951	-	\$46,707	12.74%
2016	\$4,630	\$4,630	-	\$33,450	13.84%
2015	\$11,145	\$11,145	-	\$72,691	15.33%

Additional Comments - Note that the above "Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll" is a average contribution rate since the Public Defender's fiscal year overlaps the calendar year of the Parochial Employees' Retirement System of Louisiana (PERS). Typically, when rate changes are required, the PERS changes it contribution rates on January of each year. Note that the Public Defender did not participate in Plan B during the current fiscal year.

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

**THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
ADDITIONAL PENSION/ RETIREMENT INFORMATION
NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018**

Parochial Retirement System of Louisiana:

Plan A:

Changes of Benefit Terms. None.

Changes of Assumptions. None.

Additional Comments - Note that the Public Defender did not participate in Plan B during the current fiscal year.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to Agency Head
or Chief Executive Officer
For the Year Ended June 30, 2018

Schedule 5

Agency Head Name: David E. Marcantel

Professional contract fees	\$	87,889
Travel		1,356
Registration fees		400
	\$	<u>89,645</u>

OTHER REPORTS

Mike B. Gillespie

Certified Public Accountant

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, CGMA

Jennifer L. Doucet, CPA, CGMA, MBA

Eric C. Gillespie, CPA

414 East Nezpique Street

P.O. Box 1347

Jennings, LA 70546

Telephone: (337) 824-7773

Fax: (337) 824-7774

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENTAL AUDITING STANDARDS*

Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office
Jennings, Louisiana

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund information of the Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office (Public Defender), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Public Defender's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 20, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Public Defender's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Public Defender's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Public Defender's internal control.

A *deficiency* in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses, listed as finding 2016-1, that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Public Defender's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2016-2.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Public Defender's internal control or on compliance. The report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Public Defender's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, APAC

Certified Public Accountant

Jennings, Louisiana

December 20, 2018

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Jennings, Louisiana
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

SECTION I - INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE MATERIAL TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Current Year Findings:

2016-1: Internal control

Criteria/ Specific Requirement: An important element in the design of an internal accounting control system that safeguards assets and reasonably insures the reliability of the accounting records is the concept of segregation of duties. A good system of internal control provides for a proper segregation of the accounting functions. No one person should be assigned duties that would allow that person to commit an error or perpetrate fraud and to conceal the error or fraud. As an example, the same person should not be responsible for any two of the following functions: (1) authorization of a transaction, (2) recording of the transaction, or (3) custody of assets involved in the transaction.

Condition: There is a general overall lack of segregation of duties within the Public Defender's office.

Cause of Condition: Due to the small number of employees involved in the accounting functions, the Public Defender's office did not have adequate segregation of functions within the accounting system. This condition also existed in the previous year.

Potential Effect of Condition: The lack of adequate segregation of duties increases the risk that errors or fraud could occur and not be discovered in a timely manner.

Recommendation: If possible, management should adopt procedures in the office to mitigate lack of segregation of duties. Where possible, duties should be segregated to reduce the risk of errors or fraud.

Management Response: Due to the small size of our office it is not practical nor cost effective to correct this weakness.

2016-2: State Budget Law Compliance

Criteria/ Specific Requirement: Procedures should be in place to insure compliance with the Louisiana Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15).

Condition: Potential noncompliance with the Louisiana Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15) regarding public participation requirements.

Cause of Condition: Political subdivisions with total proposed expenditures of five hundred thousand dollars or more are required to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the budgetary process prior to adoption of the budget. Public participation was not allowed in a timely manner as part of the budget process in the current fiscal year. Also, the budget was not made available to the public for

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Jennings, Louisiana
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

inspection fifteen days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year. This condition also occurred for the fiscal year end June 30, 2018 budget process.

Potential Effect of Condition: Potential noncompliance with the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15).

Recommendation: Management should adopt procedures to insure compliance with the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15). A notice should be published in the local newspaper at least ten days prior to the date of the first public budget hearing. The proposed budget should be made available for public inspection no later than fifteen days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year. Current year procedures did indicate that auditee was in compliance for the adoption of the fiscal year end 2019 budget adoption. As a result, this finding is considered resolved.

Management Response: Management agrees with the finding and did implement recommendation for the adoption of the fiscal year end June 30, 2019 budget.

SECTION II - INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE MATERIAL TO FEDERAL AWARDS

No findings reported.

SECTION III - MANAGEMENT LETTER

No findings reported.

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Jennings, Louisiana

**MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR
CURRENT YEAR FINDINGS**
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

SECTION I –INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE MATERIAL TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2016-1: Internal control

Criteria/ Specific Requirement: An important element in the design of an internal accounting control system that safeguards assets and reasonably insures the reliability of the accounting records is the concept of segregation of duties. A good system of internal control provides for a proper segregation of the accounting functions. No one person should be assigned duties that would allow that person to commit an error or perpetrate fraud and to conceal the error or fraud. As an example, the same person should not be responsible for any two of the following functions: (1) authorization of a transaction, (2) recording of the transaction, or (3) custody of assets involved in the transaction.

Recommendation: If possible, management should adopt procedures in the office to mitigate lack of segregation of duties. Where possible, duties should be segregated to reduce the risk of errors or fraud.

Management Response: Due to the small size of our office it is not practical nor cost effective to correct this weakness.

2016-2: State Budget Law Compliance

Criteria/ Specific Requirement: Procedures should be in place to insure compliance with the Louisiana Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15).

Recommendation: Management should adopt procedures to insure compliance with the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-15). A notice should be published in the local newspaper at least ten days prior to the date of the first public budget hearing. The proposed budget should be made available for public inspection no later than fifteen days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year. Current year procedures did indicate that auditee was in compliance for the adoption of the fiscal year end 2019 budget adoption. As a result, this finding is considered resolved.

Management Response: Management agrees with the finding and did implement recommendation for the adoption of the fiscal year end June 30, 2019 budget.

SECION II –FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No findings reported.

SECTION III –MANAGEMENT LETTER

No findings reported.

* * * * *

THIS SCHEDULE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY MANAGEMENT

THIRTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE
Jennings, Louisiana

MANAGEMENT'S SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS
For the Year Ending June 30, 2018

SECTION I –INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE MATERIAL TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See comments contained in Management's Correction Action Plan for Current Year Findings.

SECTION II –FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No findings reported.

SECTION III –MANAGEMENT LETTER

No findings reported.

* * * * *

THIS SCHEDULE HAS BEEN PREPARED BY MANAGEMENT

Mike B. Gillespie
Certified Public Accountant
A Professional Accounting Corporation

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, CGMA

Jennifer L. Doucet, CPA, CGMA, MBA
Eric C. Gillespie, CPA

414 East Nezpique Street
P.O. Box 1347
Jennings, LA 70546
Telephone: (337) 824-7773
Fax: (337) 824-7774

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT
ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Thirty-First Judicial District Public Defenders Office
and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor:

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Thirty-first Judicial District Public Defender's Office (JDPDO) and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) on the control and compliance (C/C) areas identified in the LLA's Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures (SAUPs) for the fiscal period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. The Entity's management is responsible for those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

Written Policies and Procedures

1. Obtain and inspect the entity's written policies and procedures and observe that they address each of the following categories and subcategories (if applicable to public funds and the entity's operations):
 - a) ***Budgeting***, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget
Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.
 - b) ***Purchasing***, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes.
Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.
 - c) ***Disbursements***, including processing, reviewing, and approving
Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- d) **Receipts/Collections**, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits. Also, policies and procedures should include management's actions to determine the completeness of all collections for each type of revenue or agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation).

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- e) **Payroll/Personnel**, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and attendance records, including leave and overtime worked.

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- f) **Contracting**, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- g) **Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable)**, including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers of statements, and (5) monitoring card usage (e.g., determining the reasonableness of fuel card purchases)

Finding: Not applicable. Entity does not have or use credit cards.

- h) **Travel and expense reimbursement**, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- i) **Ethics**, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature verification that they have read the entity's ethics policy.

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

- j) **Debt Service**, including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) continuing disclosure/EMMA reporting requirements, (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements.

Finding: Entity does not have written policies and procedures that address the specific functions noted above.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the finding. However, management uses the procedures contained in the contract agreement with the State Board of Public Defenders to guide operations in the above areas.

Board or Finance Committee:

2. Obtain and inspect the board/finance committee minutes for the fiscal period, as well as the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or equivalent document in effect during the fiscal period, and:

Finding: Not applicable. Entity does not have an appointed or elected board.

- a) Observe that the board/finance committee met with a quorum at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, bylaws, or other equivalent document.
- b) For those entities reporting on the governmental accounting model, observe that the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the general fund and major special revenue funds, as well as monthly financial statements (or budget-to-actual comparisons, if budgeted) for major proprietary funds. *Alternately, for those entities reporting on the non-profit accounting model, observe that the minutes referenced or included financial activity relating to public funds if those public funds comprised more than 10% of the entity's collections during the fiscal period.*
- c) For governmental entities, obtain the prior year audit report and observe the unrestricted fund balance in the general fund. If the general fund had a negative ending unrestricted fund balance in the prior year audit report, observe that the minutes for at least one meeting during the fiscal period referenced or included a formal plan to eliminate the negative unrestricted fund balance in the general fund.

Bank Reconciliations

3. Obtain a listing of client bank accounts for the fiscal period from management and management's representation that the listing is complete. Ask management to identify the entity's main operating account. Select the entity's main operating account and randomly select 4 additional accounts (or all accounts if less than 5). Randomly select one month from the fiscal period, obtain and inspect the corresponding bank statement and reconciliation for selected each account, and observe that:

Finding: Obtained listing of client bank account from management and management's representation that listing is complete. We selected the entity's only bank account and randomly selected one month from the fiscal period. We obtained/inspected the corresponding bank statement, reconciliation for the selected account, and observed for the following functions listed below.

- a) Bank reconciliations include evidence that they were prepared within 2 months of the related statement closing date (e.g., initialed and dated, electronically logged);

Findings: Bank reconciliation for the bank account included electronically logged evidence showing that it was prepared within 2 months of the related statement closing date without exception.

- b) Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management/board member who does not handle cash, post ledgers, or issue checks has reviewed each bank reconciliation (e.g., initialed and dated, electronically logged); and

Findings: No documented evidence noted of management review.

- c) Management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 12 months from the statement closing date, if applicable.

Finding: No evidence of documentation was obtained from management reflecting that it has researched for one \$2.00 check item that has been outstanding for more than 12 months.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the findings and will try and implement corrective action in the current year.

Collections

4. Obtain a listing of deposit sites for the fiscal period where deposits for cash/checks/money orders (cash) are prepared and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 deposit sites (or all deposit sites if less than 5).

Finding: Obtained listing of the deposit site and management's representation that the listing is complete. The entity only has one deposit site.

5. For each deposit site selected, obtain a listing of collection locations and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select one collection location for each deposit site (i.e. 5 collection locations for 5 deposit sites), obtain and inspect written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties (if no written policies or procedures, inquire of employees about their job duties) at each collection location, and observe that job duties are properly segregated at each collection location such that:

Finding: Obtained listing of cash collection location and management's representation that the listing is complete. The entity only has one collection location for its single deposit site. No written policies or procedures relating to employee job duties could be obtained. I inquired with employees about their specific job duties for collections and observed whether those job duties were properly segregated to adhere to the functions listed below.

- a) Employees that are responsible for cash collections do not share cash drawers/registers.

Finding: The two employees responsible for cash collections share the same cash drawer.

- b) Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for preparing/making bank deposits, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling collection documentation (e.g. pre-numbered receipts) to the deposit.

Finding: There are only two employees in the office due to the small size of the entity. Both employees are responsible for collecting cash. One employee prepares the deposit and reconciles collection documentation to the deposit. The other employee responsible for collecting cash makes the bank deposits.

- c) Each employee responsible for collecting cash is not responsible for posting collection entries to the general ledger or subsidiary ledgers, unless another employee/official is responsible for reconciling ledger postings to each other and to the deposit.

Finding: One of the employees responsible for collecting cash is responsible for posting collection entries to the general ledger. She is also responsible for reconciling ledger postings to each other and to the deposit.

- d) The employee(s) responsible for reconciling cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions are not responsible for collecting cash, unless another employee verifies the reconciliation.

Finding: The employee responsible for reconciling cash collections to the general ledger by revenue source is also responsible for collecting cash. There is no other employee or official who verifies the reconciliation.

6. Inquire of management that all employees who have access to cash are covered by a bond or insurance policy for theft.

Finding: All employees of the entity that have access to cash are covered by an insurance policy for theft without exception.

7. Randomly select two deposit dates for each of the 5 bank accounts selected for procedure #3 under "Bank Reconciliations" above (select the next deposit date chronologically if no deposits were made on the dates randomly selected and randomly select a deposit if multiple deposits are made on the same day). *Alternately, the practitioner may use a source document other than bank statements when selecting the deposit dates for testing, such as a cash collection log, daily revenue report, receipt book, etc.* Obtain supporting documentation for each of the 10 deposits and:

a) Observe that receipts are sequentially pre-numbered.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

b) Trace sequentially pre-numbered receipts, system reports, and other related collection documentation to the deposit slip.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

c) Trace the deposit slip total to the actual deposit per the bank statement.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

d) Observe that the deposit was made within one business day of receipt at the collection location (within one week if the depository is more than 10 miles from the collection location or the deposit is less than \$100).

Finding: Collection documentation was obtained. Ten of the deposits reviewed were not deposited within one day of receipt. All ten deposits were less than \$100; however, none were deposited within one business week. Two were deposited within twelve days, six were within eight days, one within seven days, and one within six days.

e) Trace the actual deposit per the bank statement to the general ledger.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the findings and will try and implement corrective action in the current year. However, due to the limited number of office personnel we do not feel that we can completely eliminate all finding in this area.

Non-Payroll Disbursements (excluding card purchases/payments, travel reimbursements, and petty cash purchases)

8. Obtain a listing of locations that process payments for the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 locations (or all locations if less than 5).

Finding: Listing of locations that process payments and management's representation that the listing is complete was obtained.

9. For each location selected under #8 above, obtain a listing of those employees involved with non-payroll purchasing and payment functions. Obtain written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties (if the agency has no written policies and procedures, inquire of employees about their job duties), and observe that job duties are properly segregated such that:

Finding: Listing of those employees involved with non-payroll purchasing and payment functions was obtained. No written policies and procedures relating to employee job duties could be obtained. I

inquired with employees about their specific job duties for non-payroll disbursements and observed whether those job duties were properly segregated to adhere to the functions listed below.

- a) At least two employees are involved in initiating a purchase request, approving a purchase, and placing an order/making the purchase.

Finding: The entity does not have a purchase request system implemented. Only one employee (Office Bookkeeper) in the office places an order/makes purchases. She only initiates a verbal request for approval to Chief Public Defender before placing an order/making a purchase of \$500 or more. All items below this threshold are purchased by employee (Office Bookkeeper) and approved by the Chief Public Defender after receiving and reviewing invoice/receipt for payment.

- b) At least two employees are involved in processing and approving payments to vendors.

Finding: The Office Bookkeeper is the only employee of the entity that can process payments to vendors. The Chief Public Defender is the only employee of the entity with authority to approve payments to vendors. Payments can only be processed after the Chief Public Defender approves the payment and signs checks.

- c) The employee responsible for processing payments is prohibited from adding/modifying vendor files, unless another employee is responsible for periodically reviewing changes to vendor files.

Finding: The Office Bookkeeper can only process payments to vendors after the Chief Public Defender has reviewed, approved, and signed checks for payment to vendor. The Office Bookkeeper does not have signature authority; therefore, she cannot add a vendor/modify a vendor file and make payments to vendor without being reviewed by the Chief Public Defender.

- d) Either the employee/official responsible for signing checks mails the payment or gives the signed checks to an employee to mail who is not responsible for processing payments.

Finding: The Chief Public Defender is responsible for signing checks. The signed checks for payment are mailed by the Office Assistant, who is not responsible for processing payments.

10. For each location selected under #8 above, obtain the entity's non-payroll disbursement transaction population (excluding cards and travel reimbursements) and obtain management's representation that the population is complete. Randomly select 5 disbursements for each location, obtain supporting documentation for each transaction and:

Finding: Listing of the entity's non-payroll disbursement transaction population and management's representation that the listing is complete was obtained.

- a) Observe that the disbursement matched the related original invoice/billing statement.

Finding: No exceptions noted.

- b) Observe that the disbursement documentation included evidence (e.g., initial/date, electronic logging) of segregation of duties tested under #9, as applicable.

Finding: No exceptions noted.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the findings and will try and implement corrective action in the current year. However, due to the limited number of office personnel we do not feel that we can completely eliminate all finding in this area.

Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

11. Obtain from management a listing of all active credit cards, bank debit cards, fuel cards, and P-cards (cards) for the fiscal period, including the card numbers and the names of the persons who maintained possession of the cards. Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete.

Finding: Not applicable since entity does not have or use credit cards/debit cards/fuel cards/p-cards.

12. Using the listing prepared by management, randomly select 5 cards (or all cards if less than 5) that were used during the fiscal period. Randomly select one monthly statement or combined statement for each card (for a debit card, randomly select one monthly bank statement), obtain supporting documentation, and:
 - a) Observe that there is evidence that the monthly statement or combined statement and supporting documentation (e.g., original receipts for credit/debit card purchases, exception reports for excessive fuel card usage) was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the authorized card holder. [Note: Requiring such approval may constrain the legal authority of certain public officials (e.g., mayor of a Lawrason Act municipality); these instances should not be reported.]
 - b) Observe that finance charges and late fees were not assessed on the selected statements.
13. Using the monthly statements or combined statements selected under #12 above, excluding fuel cards, randomly select 10 transactions (or all transactions if less than 10) from each statement, and obtain supporting documentation for the transactions (i.e. each card should have 10 transactions subject to testing). For each transaction, observe that it is supported by (1) an original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased, (2) written documentation of the business/public purpose, and (3) documentation of the individuals participating in meals (for meal charges only).

Travel and Travel-Related Expense Reimbursements (excluding card transactions)

14. Obtain from management a listing of all travel and travel-related expense reimbursements during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete. Randomly select 5 reimbursements, obtain the related expense reimbursement forms/prepaid expense documentation of each selected reimbursement, as well as the supporting documentation. For each of the 5 reimbursements selected:

Finding: Listing of all travel/travel related expense reimbursements and management's representation that the listing is complete was obtained.

- a) If reimbursed using a per diem, agree the reimbursement rate to those rates established either by the State of Louisiana or the U.S. General Services Administration (www.gsa.gov).

Finding: One of five travel reimbursement expenses selected did not agree to the rates established by the State of Louisiana. The one travel expense was reimbursed for \$399 more than the rate established by the State of Louisiana.

- b) If reimbursed using actual costs, observe that the reimbursement is supported by an original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased.

Finding: Not exceptions noted.

- c) Observe that each reimbursement is supported by documentation of the business/public purpose (for meal charges, observe that the documentation includes the names of those individuals participating) and other documentation required by written policy (procedure #1h).

Finding: No exceptions noted.

- d) Observe that each reimbursement was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.

Finding: Two of five travel reimbursement expenses selected were for Chief Public Defender and was not approved in writing by someone other than himself.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the findings and will try and implement corrective action in the current year. However, due to the limited number of office personnel we do not feel that we can completely eliminate all findings in this area.

Contracts

- 15. Obtain from management a listing of all agreements/contracts for professional services, materials and supplies, leases, and construction activities that were initiated or renewed during the fiscal period. *Alternately, the practitioner may use an equivalent selection source, such as an active vendor list.* Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 contracts (or all contracts if less than 5) from the listing, excluding the practitioner's contract, and:

Based on the terms of the Agreed Upon Procedures, we can rotate procedures in this category since there were no exceptions in prior year.

- a) Observe that the contract was bid in accordance with the Louisiana Public Bid Law (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertised), if required by law.
- b) Observe that the contract was approved by the governing body/board, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act, Home Rule Charter).
- c) If the contract was amended (e.g. change order), observe that the original contract terms provided for such an amendment.
- d) Randomly select one payment from the fiscal period for each of the 5 contracts, obtain the supporting invoice, agree the invoice to the contract terms, and observe that the invoice and related payment agreed to the terms and conditions of the contract.

Payroll and Personnel

- 16. Obtain a listing of employees/elected officials employed during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select 5 employees/officials, obtain related paid salaries and personnel files, and agree paid salaries to authorized salaries/pay rates in the personnel files.

Finding: Listing of employees during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete was obtained. All selected employees and their paid salaries agreed to signed authorized salaries documentation found in their personnel files without exception.

17. Randomly select one pay period during the fiscal period. For the 5 employees/officials selected under #16 above, obtain attendance records and leave documentation for the pay period, and:

- a) Observe that all selected employees/officials documented their daily attendance and leave (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory). (Note: Generally, an elected official is not eligible to earn leave and does not document his/her attendance and leave. However, if the elected official is earning leave according to policy and/or contract, the official should document his/her daily attendance and leave.)

Findings: No exceptions noted.

- b) Observe that supervisors approved the attendance and leave of the selected employees/officials.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

- c) Observe that any leave accrued or taken during the pay period is reflected in the entity's cumulative leave records.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

18. Obtain a listing of those employees/officials that received termination payments during the fiscal period and management's representation that the list is complete. Randomly select two employees/officials, obtain related documentation of the hours and pay rates used in management's termination payment calculations, agree the hours to the employee/officials' cumulative leave records, and agree the pay rates to the employee/officials' authorized pay rates in the employee/officials' personnel files.

Findings: Not applicable.

19. Obtain management's representation that employer and employee portions of payroll taxes, retirement contributions, health insurance premiums, and workers' compensation premiums have been paid, and associated forms have been filed, by required deadlines.

Findings: Obtained management's representation that employer and employee portions of payroll taxes, retirement contributions, health insurance premiums, and workers' compensation premiums have been paid, and associated forms have been filed, by required deadlines without exception.

Ethics

20. Using the 5 randomly selected employees/officials from procedure #16 under "Payroll and Personnel" above, obtain ethics documentation from management, and:

Finding: Obtained a listing of ethics documentation from management and management's representation that listing is complete.

- a. Observe that the documentation demonstrates each employee/official completed one hour of ethics training during the fiscal period.

Finding: The documentation demonstrates that each employee completed one hour of ethics training; however, the ethics training was not completed during the client's fiscal period.

- b. Observe that the documentation demonstrates each employee/official attested through signature verification that he or she has read the entity's ethics policy during the fiscal period.

Finding: The documentation demonstrates no evidence that each employee/official attested through signature verification that he or she has read the entity's ethics policy during the fiscal period.

Management's Response/ Planned Corrective Action –

Management agrees with the findings and will try and implement corrective action in the current year.

Debt Service

21. Obtain a listing of bonds/notes issued during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. Select all bonds/notes on the listing, obtain supporting documentation, and observe that State Bond Commission approval was obtained for each bond/note issued.

Findings: Not applicable.

22. Obtain a listing of bonds/notes outstanding at the end of the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select one bond/note, inspect debt covenants, obtain supporting documentation for the reserve balance and payments, and agree actual reserve balances and payments to those required by debt covenants.

Findings: Not applicable.

Other

23. Obtain a listing of misappropriations of public funds and assets during the fiscal period and management's representation that the listing is complete. Select all misappropriations on the listing, obtain supporting documentation, and observe that the entity reported the misappropriation(s) to the legislative auditor and the district attorney of the parish in which the entity is domiciled.

Findings: Management has asserted that the entity did not have any misappropriations of public funds or assets.

24. Observe that the entity has posted on its premises and website, the notice required by R.S. 24:523.1 concerning the reporting of misappropriation, fraud, waste, or abuse of public funds.

Findings: No exceptions noted.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance.

Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the LLA as a public document.

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, APAC

Mike B. Gillespie, CPA, APAC

Jennings, Louisiana

December 20, 2018