

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Financial Statements	
Statements of Financial Position	3
Statements of Activities and Changes in Net Assets	4
Statements of Functional Expenses	5-6
Statements of Cash Flows	7
Notes to the Financial Statements	8-11
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits, and Other Payments to the School Leader	12
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance And Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	13-14
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	15-16
Other Information	
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed upon Procedures	17-20
Schedule Descriptions	21-22
Performance and Statistical Data	23-32
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Statewide Agreed upon Procedures	33-43

DAIGREPONT & BRIAN

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors
Foundation Preparatory, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. (a non-profit organization), which comprise the statements of financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of activities and changes in net assets, functional expenses, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its net assets and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Compensation, Benefits and Other Payments to the School Leader, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The Performance and Statistical Data and the report on the Statewide Agreed Upon Procedures are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by Louisiana State Law. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. We have applied certain limited procedures, which are described in the Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures. However we did not audit this information and, accordingly, express no opinion on it.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 21, 2017, on our consideration of Foundation Preparatory, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Foundation Preparatory, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC

Baton Rouge, LA

September 21, 2017

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION
JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 517,228	\$ 67,681
Accounts receivable, net	69,187	29,974
Prepaid expenses	2,780	10,439
Total Current Assets	<u>589,195</u>	<u>108,094</u>
Property and Equipment		
Equipment	20,071	8,821
Accumulated depreciation	(7,823)	(1,960)
Total Property and Equipment	<u>12,248</u>	<u>6,861</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 601,443</u>	<u>\$ 114,955</u>
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,511	\$ 18,617
Accrued expenses	38,091	9,390
Accrued wages	23,854	14,825
Current portion of start up loan	13,333	13,333
Total Current Liabilities	<u>80,789</u>	<u>56,165</u>
Long Term Liabilities		
Start up loan	-	13,333
Total Liabilities	<u>80,789</u>	<u>69,498</u>
Net Assets		
Unrestricted net assets	<u>520,654</u>	<u>45,457</u>
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	<u>\$ 601,443</u>	<u>\$ 114,955</u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
STATEMENTS OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS
FOR THE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
REVENUES		
Minimum Foundation Program	\$ 956,062	\$ 361,997
Federal and State grants	51,298	64,504
Contributions	433,609	418,031
Private Grants	420,127	-
Food service reimbursement	<u>95,782</u>	<u>30,184</u>
Total Revenues	1,956,878	874,716
EXPENSES		
Program services	1,339,294	862,560
Management and general	<u>142,387</u>	<u>133,519</u>
Total Expenses	1,481,681	996,079
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	475,197	(121,363)
Net assets - beginning of year	<u>45,457</u>	<u>166,820</u>
Net assets - end of year	<u><u>\$ 520,654</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 45,457</u></u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES
FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2017

	<u>Program Services</u>	<u>Management & General</u>	<u>Total</u>
Curriculum materials and software	36,038	-	36,038
Depreciation	4,690	1,173	5,863
Employee benefits	81,225	10,369	91,594
Food service	82,140	-	82,140
Insurance	17,686	4,421	22,107
Payroll taxes	57,688	3,981	61,669
Recruiting	32,381	-	32,381
Rent	2,260	565	2,825
Repairs and maintenance	55,706	13,926	69,632
Salaries	664,539	31,501	696,040
Supplies	22,076	11,808	33,884
Technical and professional services	143,743	54,234	197,977
Transportation	93,888	-	93,888
Travel	3,599	-	3,599
Utilities	41,635	10,409	52,044
	<u>1,339,294</u>	<u>142,387</u>	<u>1,481,681</u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES
FOR THE YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2016**

	<u>Program Services</u>	<u>Management & General</u>	<u>Total</u>
Curriculum materials and software	33,924	-	33,924
Depreciation	1,568	392	1,960
Employee benefits	39,699	6,722	46,421
Food service	37,168	-	37,168
Insurance	13,681	3,420	17,101
Payroll taxes	35,427	3,921	39,348
Recruiting	19,574	-	19,574
Rent	27,882	6,970	34,852
Repairs and maintenance	95,926	23,982	119,908
Salaries	386,769	25,841	412,610
Supplies	14,834	7,180	22,014
Technical and professional services	73,855	47,686	121,541
Transportation	46,670	-	46,670
Travel	5,962	-	5,962
Loss on Disposal of Assets	15,756	3,939	19,695
Utilities	13,865	3,466	17,331
	<u>862,560</u>	<u>133,519</u>	<u>996,079</u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Changes in net assets	\$ 475,197	\$ (121,363)
<u>Adjustments to reconcile net revenues over expenses</u> <u>to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:</u>		
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	-	19,695
Depreciation	5,863	1,960
Increase in accounts receivable	(39,213)	(29,974)
Decrease in prepaid expenses	7,659	5,570
Decrease in accounts payable	(13,106)	(10,542)
Increase in accrued expenses	24,397	17,956
Decrease in start up loan	13,333	13,333
Total adjustments	(1,067)	17,998
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>474,130</u>	<u>(103,365)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of fixed assets	<u>(11,250)</u>	<u>(8,821)</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(11,250)</u>	<u>(8,821)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Payments on debt	<u>(13,333)</u>	<u>(13,333)</u>
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(13,333)</u>	<u>(13,333)</u>
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	449,547	(125,519)
CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>67,681</u>	<u>193,200</u>
CASH, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 517,228</u>	<u>\$ 67,681</u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016**

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) Organization

Foundation Preparatory, Inc. (the School) was incorporated on December 17, 2013 as a non-profit corporation under the laws of the State of Louisiana. The school was approved as a Type 1 charter by the Orleans Parish School Board on July 22, 2014. The School first opened for students beginning with the 2015-2016 school year.

The charter contract is effective for an initial period of five years and will terminate on June 30, 2020 unless extended for a maximum of five years contingent upon the results of a review by the Orleans Parish School Board of the School's operations and compliance.

(b) Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the School have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and accordingly reflect all significant receivables, payables and other liabilities.

(c) Revenues

The School receives the majority of its revenue from the Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) which passes through the Orleans Parish School Board. The amount of the MFP funding is based on an allocation of funds provided by the State of Louisiana and local taxes. The School also receives federal, state and private sector funding. Federal and state funds are both passed through the Louisiana Department of Education or the Orleans Parish School Board.

(d) Net Assets

Financial statement presentation follows the recommendations of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) 958, *Not-for-Profit Entities*. Under FASB ASC 958, the School is required to report information regarding its financial position and activities according to three classes of net assets: unrestricted net assets, temporarily restricted net assets, and permanently restricted net assets. Net assets, revenues, expenses, gains and losses are classified based on the existence or absence of grantor imposed restrictions. Accordingly, net assets and changes therein are classified and reported as follows:

Unrestricted Net Assets

Unrestricted net assets are for general use with no restrictions.

Temporarily Restricted Net Assets

Temporarily restricted net assets can be expended currently, but only for a specified purpose designated by the grantor. There are no temporarily restricted net assets as of June 30, 2017.

Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Net assets permanently restricted for future use by the grantor or the board of directors. There are no permanently restricted net assets as of June 30, 2017.

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(e) Cash

Cash consists of bank deposits held with financial institutions and cash on hand.

(f) Accounts and Grants Receivable

Management has determined that there were no balances recorded that were uncollectible as of years ending June 30, 2017 & 2016.

(g) Functional Expenses

The School allocates its expenses on a functional basis among its various programs. Expenses that can be identified with a specific program are allocated directly according to their natural expense classification.

(h) Income Taxes

The School accounts for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC 740-10, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*. Management believes it has no material uncertain tax positions and, accordingly has not recognized a liability for any unrecognized tax benefits.

The School is a not-for-profit organization that is exempt from income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The School files information returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various other states.

(i) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that effect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

(j) Subsequent Events

In preparing these financial statements, the School has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through September 21, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

2. Concentrations

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the School to concentration of credit risk, consist of cash accounts held with a bank. Cash accounts are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) for up to \$250,000. As of June 30, 2017 there were cash balances of \$294,000 in excess of FDIC insured limits. The School places its deposits with high-credit, quality financial institutions and does not believe that it is exposed to any significant credit risk on uninsured amounts.

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016**

2. Concentrations (continued)

The School receives the majority of its operating revenue from the Louisiana Department of Education in the form of Minimum Foundation program funding and other federal, state, and private grants. The percentage of revenue and receivables from these sources in excess of 10% is as follows:

	<u>Revenue</u>	<u>Receivables</u>
Walton Foundation	21%	-
NSNO Grant	22%	-
Minimum Foundation Program	49%	-
Title 1A	-	28%
Preschool Expansion Grant	-	41%
Federal Food Service	-	28%

3. Leases

In June of 2016, the School entered into an agreement with the Recovery School District (RSD) and Collegiate Academies (CA) to partake in a shared space agreement. Under this agreement RSD will provide Foundation Prep with adequate building space to conduct school activities for two years.

The agreement with RSD stipulates that Foundation Prep will pay 35% (not to exceed \$140,000 for the period covering July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017) of the services procured by CA. These services are required to manage and operate the school. Foundation Prep has the option to cancel the agreement at any time without any obligation to continue payments.

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is presented in the financial statements on the basis of cost less allowances for depreciation. The School capitalizes all expenditures of depreciable assets where cost exceeds \$500. Depreciation is computed using the straight line method and is provided over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is generally three to five years.

5. Compensated Absences

Employees earn paid time off based on various factors such as length of service and job title. Any unused paid time off is paid out at the end of the year and does not carry over to the following year.

6. Benefit Plan

The School maintains a 401k retirement plan for its employees. All employees who meet eligibility requirements related to age and length of service are eligible to participate in the plan. Employees may elect to defer a certain percentage of their total compensation, within limits established by the Internal Revenue Code. The School matches up to 3% of employee deferrals. For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 the total amounts paid by the School under the plan were \$8,627 and \$2,501 respectively.

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 AND 2016**

7. Commitments and Contingencies

The School receives grants for specific purposes that are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Such audits could lead to requests for reimbursement to the grantor agency for expenditures disallowed under terms of the grant. It is the opinion of the School's management that its compliance with the terms of the grant will not result in any disallowed costs.

8. School Start Up Loan

The School has loans payable for startup costs with an organization that provides charter school support and business management services.

The total amount of startup costs loan was \$40,000 and began on July 1, 2015. This loan is payable in equal monthly installments of \$1,111 over 36 months. The total amount due on this loan at June 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$13,333 and \$26,666 respectively. This loan does not bear any interest.

Projected amounts due on these loans for next year are as follows:

	Start Up Costs
Fiscal Year 2018	<u>\$ 13,333</u>

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
SCHEDULE OF COMPENSATION, BENEFITS, AND
OTHER PAYMENTS TO THE SCHOOL LEADER
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2017**

School Leader:	M. King
Salary	\$ 92,250
Benefits - Insurance	6,235
Benefits - Retirement	2,767
Car allowance	-
Per diem	-
Travel	-
Conference travel and fees	3,140
Reimbursements	-
Continuing professional education fees	-
	<u>\$ 104,392</u>

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

DAIGREPONT & BRIAN

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Board of Directors
Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. (a non-profit organization) which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of activities, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 21, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Foundation Preparatory, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Foundation Preparatory, Inc.'s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the School's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Foundation Preparatory, Inc.'s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the organization's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the organization's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513.1, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Daigrepoint + Brian, APAC

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC
Baton Rouge, LA

September 21, 2017

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2017**

We have audited the financial statements of Foundation Preparatory, Inc., as of June 30, 2017, and for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated September 21, 2017. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Summary of Auditors' Reports

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified
Material weakness(es) identified?	No
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	No
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No

Findings - Financial Statement Audit

There are no findings for the year ended June 30, 2017

Questioned Costs

There are no questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2017

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

**FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2016**

Summary of Prior Audit Findings

There were no prior year audit findings

See accompanying notes and independent auditors' report.

DAIGREPONT & BRIAN

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Board of Directors
Foundation Preparatory, Inc.

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the management of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. and the Legislative Auditor, State of Louisiana, on the performance and statistical data accompanying the annual financial statements of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017; and to determine whether the specified schedules are free of obvious errors and omissions as provided by the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education in compliance with Louisiana Revised Statute 24:514 I. Management of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. is responsible for its performance and statistical data. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings relate to the accompanying schedules of supplemental information and are as follows:

General Fund Instructional and Support Expenditures and Certain Local Revenue Sources (Schedule 1)

Procedure #1

We selected a random sample of 25 transactions and reviewed supporting documentation to determine if the sampled expenditures/revenues are classified correctly and are reported in the proper amounts for each of the following amounts on the Schedule:

- Total General Fund Expenditures
- Total General Fund Equipment Expenditures
- Total Local Taxation Revenue
- Total Local Earnings on Investment in Real Property
- Total State Revenue in Lieu of Taxes
- Nonpublic Textbook Revenue
- Nonpublic Transportation Revenue

Results of Procedure #1

In performing the testing on the sample of expenditures/revenues we noted no transactions that were inappropriately classified or were recorded at an inappropriate amount.

Education Levels of Public School Staff (Schedule 2)

Procedure #2

We reconciled the total number of full-time classroom teachers per the Schedule "Experience of Public Principals, Assistant Principals, and Full-Time Classroom Teachers" (Schedule 4) to the combined total number of full-time classroom teachers per this Schedule and to the School's supporting payroll records as of October 1st.

Results of Procedure #2

No differences were noted between the number of full-time classroom teachers per Schedule 4 and Schedule 2.

Procedure #3

We reconciled the total of principals and assistant principals per the Schedule "Experience of Public Principals Assistant Principals, and Full-Time Classroom Teachers" (Schedule 4) to the combined total of principals and assistant principals per this Schedule.

Results of Procedure #3

No differences were noted between the number of principals and assistant principals per Schedule 4 and Schedule 2.

Procedure #4

We obtained a list of full-time teachers, principals, and assistant principals by classification as of October 1st and as reported on the Schedule. We traced a sample of 11 teachers to the individual's personnel file and determined if the individual's education level was properly classified on the Schedule.

Results of Procedure #4

No differences were noted between the education levels per the listing and the individual's personnel file.

Number and Type of Public Schools (Schedule 3)

Procedure #5

We obtained a list of schools by type as reported on the Schedule. We compared the list to the schools and grade levels as reported on the Title 1 Grants to Local Educational Agencies application.

Results of Procedure #5

We noted no discrepancies between the total number of schools as listed on the Public Charter School Program grant application and the list supporting the schools represented on the Schedule.

Experience of Public Principals, Assistant Principals, and Full-time Classroom Teachers (Schedule 4)

Procedure #6

We obtained a list of full-time teachers, principals, and assistant principals by classification as of October 1st and as reported on the schedule and traced the same sample used in Procedure 4 to the individual's personnel file and determined if the individual's experience was properly classified on the Schedule.

Results of Procedure #6

No differences were noted between the education levels per the listing and the individual's personnel file.

Public School Staff Data: Average Salaries (Schedule 5)

Procedure #7

We obtained a list of all classroom teachers including their base salary, extra compensation, and ROTC or rehired retiree status as well as full-time equivalent as reported on the Schedule and traced all 11 teachers to the individual's personnel file and determined if the individual's salary, extra compensation, and full-time equivalents were properly included on the Schedule.

Results of Procedure #7

We noted consistency between the level of compensation that would be appropriate for the education and experience level per the district wide salary schedule and the amount actually paid per the list of all classroom teachers.

Procedure #8

We recalculated the average salaries and full-time equivalents reported on the Schedule.

Results of Procedure #8

No discrepancies existed between the average salaries reported on the Schedule and our calculations.

Class Size Characteristics (Schedule 6)

Procedure #9

We obtained a list of classes by school, school type, and class size as reported on the Schedule and reconciled school type classifications to Schedule 3 data as obtained in Procedure 5. We then traced all classes to the October 1st roll books for those classes and determined if the class was properly classified on the Schedule.

Results of Procedure #9

No discrepancies were noted between the classes reported on the Schedule and those in the roll books.

Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) (Schedule 7)

Procedure #10

Foundation Preparatory, Inc. did not administer the Leap as it only had K-2nd grade students in school year 2016-2017

Results of Procedure #10

Foundation Preparatory, Inc. did not administer the Leap as it only had K-2nd grade students in school year 2016-2017

Graduation Exit Examination (GEE) (Schedule 8)

Procedure #11

The Graduation Exit Examination (GEE) is no longer administered. This schedule is no longer applicable.

iLeap Tests (Schedule 9)

Procedure #12

Foundation Preparatory, Inc. did not administer the iLeap as it only had K-2nd grade students in school year 2016-2017

Results of Procedure #12

Foundation Preparatory, Inc. did not administer the iLeap as it only had K-2nd grade students in school year 2016-2017

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the United States Comptroller General. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the performance and statistical data. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on the performance and statistical data accompanying the annual financial statements of Foundation Preparatory, Inc., as required by Louisiana Revised Statute 24:514.1, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance. Accordingly this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC
Baton Rouge, LA

September 21, 2017

FOUNDATION PREPARATORY, INC.
SCHEDULE DESCRIPTIONS
NEW ORLEANS, LA

Schedules Required by State Law (R.S. 24:514 – Performance and Statistical Data)
As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Schedule 1 – General Fund Instructional and Support Expenditures and Certain Local Revenue Sources

This schedule includes general fund instructional and equipment expenditures. It also contains local taxation revenue, earnings on investments, revenue in lieu of taxes, and nonpublic textbook and transportation revenue. This data is used either in the Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula or is presented annually in the MFP 70% Expenditure Requirement Report.

Schedule 2 – Education Levels of Public School Staff

This schedule includes the certified and uncertified number and percentage of full time classroom teachers and the number and percentage of principals and assistant principals with less than a Bachelor's, Master's, Master's +30, Specialist in Education, and Ph. D or Ed. D. Degrees. This data is currently reported to the Legislature in the Annual Financial and Statistical Report (AFSR).

Schedule 3 – Number and Type of Public Schools

This schedule includes the number of elementary, middle/junior high, secondary and combination schools in operation during the fiscal year. This data is currently reported to the Legislature in the Annual Financial and Statistical Report (AFSR).

Schedule 4 – Experience of Public Principals, Assistant Principals, and Full-time Classroom Teachers

This schedule includes the number of years of experience in teaching for assistant principals, principals, and full time classroom teachers. This data is currently reported to the Legislature in the Annual Financial and Statistical Report (AFSR).

Schedule 5 – Public School Staff Data: Average Salaries

This schedule includes average classroom teachers' salary using full time equivalents, including and excluding ROTC and rehired retiree teachers. This data is currently reported to the Legislature in the Annual Financial and Statistical Report (AFSR).

Schedule 6 – Class Size Characteristics

This schedule includes the percent and number of classes with student enrollment in the following ranges: 1-20, 21-26, 27-33, and 34+ students. This data is currently reported to the Legislature in the Annual Financial and Statistical Report (AFSR).

Schedule 7 – Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP)

This schedule represents student performance testing data and includes summary scores for grades 4 and 8 in each category tested. Scores are reported as Advanced, Proficient, Basic, Approaching Basic, and Unsatisfactory. This schedule includes three years of data.

Schedule 8 – Graduation Exit Examination (GEE)

The Graduation Exit Examination (GEE) is no longer administered. This schedule is no longer applicable.

Schedule 9 – iLeap Tests

This schedule represents student performance testing data and includes a summary score for grades, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 9 for each category tested. The summary score reported is the percentile rank showing the relative position or rank as compared to a large, representative sample of students in the same grade from the state. This schedule includes three years of data.

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

**General Fund Instructional and Support Expenditures and Certain Local Revenue Sources
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017**

	Column A	Column B
<u>General Fund Instructional and Equipment Expenditures</u>		
General Fund Instructional Expenditures:		
Teacher and Student Interaction Activities:		
Classroom Teacher Salaries	\$ 143,790	
Other Instructional Staff Activities	120,487	
Instructional Staff Employee Benefits	64,831	
Purchased Professional and Technical Services	70,723	
Instructional Materials and Supplies	31,334	
Instructional Equipment	<u>17,695</u>	
Total Teacher and Student Interaction Activities		\$ 448,860
Other Instructional Activities		-
Pupil Support Activities	70,401	
Less: Equipment for Pupil Support Activities	<u>-</u>	
Net Pupil Support Activities		70,401
Instructional Staff Services	239,426	
Less: Equipment for Instructional Staff Services	<u>-</u>	
Net Instructional Staff Services		<u>239,426</u>
School Administration		
Less: Equipment for School Administration	195,533	
Net School Administration	<u>-</u>	<u>195,533</u>
Total General Fund Instructional Expenditures (Total of Column B)		<u><u>954,220</u></u>
Total General Fund Equipment Expenditures (Object 730; Function Series 1000-4000)		<u><u>-</u></u>

See accompanying independent accountant's report on applying agreed-upon procedures

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Education Levels of Public School Staff
As of October 1, 2016

Category	Full-time Classroom Teachers				Principals & Assistant Principals			
	Certified		Uncertified		Certified		Uncertified	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Less than a Bachelor's Degree	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Bachelor's Degree	4	80%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%
Master's Degree	1	20%	1	17%	1	100%	0	0%
Master's Degree + 30	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Specialist in Education	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Ph. D. or Ed. D.	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	5	100%	6	100%	1	100%	0	0%

See accompanying independent accountant's report on applying agreed-upon procedures

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Number and Type of Public Schools
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

Type	Number
Elementary	1
Middle/Jr. High	0
Secondary	0
Combination	0
Total	1

Note: Schools opened or closed during the fiscal year are included in this schedule.

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Experience of Public Principals, Assistant Principals, and Full-time Classroom Teachers
As of October 1, 2016

	0-1 Yr.	2-3 Yrs.	4-10 Yrs.	11-14 Yrs.	15-19 Yrs.	20-24 Yrs.	25+ Yrs.	Total
Assistant Principals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Classroom Teachers	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	11
Total	7	2	3	0	0	0	0	12

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Public School Staff Data: Average Salaries
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

	All Classroom Teachers	Classroom Teachers Excluding ROTC, Rehired Retirees, and Flagged Salary Reductions
Average Classroom Teachers' Salary Including Extra Compensation	36,000	36,000
Average Classroom Teachers' Salary Excluding Extra Compensation	36,000	36,000
Number of Teacher Full-time Equivalents (FTEs) used in Computation of Average Salaries	11	11

Note: Figures reported include all sources of funding (i.e., federal, state, and local) but exclude stipends and employee benefits. Generally, retired teachers rehired to teach receive less compensation than non-retired teachers; some teachers may have been flagged as receiving reduced salaries (e.g., extended medical leave); and ROTC teachers usually receive more compensation because of a federal supplement. For these reasons, these teachers are excluded from the computation in the last column. This schedule excludes day-to-day substitutes, temporary employees, and any teachers on sabbatical leave during any part of the school year.

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Class Size Characteristics
As of October 1, 2016

School Type	Class Size Range							
	1 - 20		21 - 26		27 - 33		34+	
	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number
Elementary	33%	2	67%	4				
Elementary Activity Classes								
Middle/Jr. High								
Middle/Jr. High Activity Classes								
High								
High Activity Classes								
Combination								
Combination Activity Classes								

Note: The Board of Elementary and Secondary Education has set specific limits on the maximum size of classes at various grade levels. The maximum enrollment in grades K-3 is 26 students and maximum enrollment in grades 4-12 is 33 students. These limits do not apply to activity classes such as physical education, chorus, band, and other classes without maximum enrollment standards. Therefore, these classes are included only as separate line items.

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 3 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 4 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 5 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 6 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 7 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

See accompanying independent accountant's report on applying agreed-upon procedures

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

Louisiana Educational Assessment Program (LEAP) (continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

District Achievement Level Results	English Language Arts			Mathematics		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 8 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Foundation Prep.Inc.'s is an early education school offering Pre-K, Kindergarten and 1st - 2nd grade courses.

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

iLEAP Tests
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 3 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 4 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 5 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 6 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 7 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

See accompanying independent accountant's report on applying agreed-upon procedures

Foundation Preparatory, Inc.
New Orleans, LA

iLEAP Tests (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2017

District Achievement Level Results	Science			Social Studies		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Grade 8 Students	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Advanced	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mastery	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Approaching Basic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Foundation Prep.Inc.is an early education school offering PreK, Kindergarden,
and 1st - 2nd grade courses.

DAIGREPONT & BRIAN

A Professional Accounting Corporation

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Directors
Foundation Preparatory, Inc.

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the management of Foundation Preparatory, Inc. and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) on the control and compliance (C/C) areas identified in the LLA's Statewide Agreed-Upon Procedures (SAUPs) for the fiscal period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017. The Organization's management is responsible for those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and associated findings are as follows:

Written Policies and Procedures

1. Obtain the entity's written policies and procedures and report whether those written policies and procedures address each of the following financial/business functions (or report that the entity does not have any written policies and procedures):
 - a) **Budgeting**, including preparing, adopting, monitoring, and amending the budget
 - b) **Purchasing**, including (1) how purchases are initiated; (2) how vendors are added to the vendor list; (3) the preparation and approval process of purchase requisitions and purchase orders; (4) controls to ensure compliance with the public bid law; and (5) documentation required to be maintained for all bids and price quotes.
 - c) **Disbursements**, including processing, reviewing, and approving
 - d) **Receipts**, including receiving, recording, and preparing deposits
 - e) **Payroll/Personnel**, including (1) payroll processing, and (2) reviewing and approving time and records, including leave and overtime worked.
 - f) **Contracting**, including (1) types of services requiring written contracts, (2) standard terms and conditions, (3) legal review, (4) approval process, and (5) monitoring process
 - g) **Credit Cards (and debit cards, fuel cards, P-Cards, if applicable)**, including (1) how cards are to be controlled, (2) allowable business uses, (3) documentation requirements, (4) required approvers, and (5) monitoring card usage
 - h) **Travel and expense reimbursement**, including (1) allowable expenses, (2) dollar thresholds by category of expense, (3) documentation requirements, and (4) required approvers
 - i) **Ethics**, including (1) the prohibitions as defined in Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1111-1121, (2) actions to be taken if an ethics violation takes place, (3) system to monitor possible ethics violations, and (4) requirement that all employees, including elected officials, annually attest through signature

verification that they have read the entity's ethics policy. Note: Ethics requirements are not applicable to nonprofits.

- j) **Debt Service.** including (1) debt issuance approval, (2) EMMA reporting requirements, (3) debt reserve requirements, and (4) debt service requirements. Note: Debt service requirements are not applicable to nonprofits.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Board (or Finance Committee, if applicable)

2. Obtain and review the board/committee minutes for the fiscal period, and:

- a) Report whether the managing board met (with a quorum) at least monthly, or on a frequency in accordance with the board's enabling legislation, charter, or other equivalent document.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Report whether the minutes referenced or included monthly budget-to-actual comparisons on the General Fund and any additional funds identified as major funds in the entity's prior audit (GAAP-basis).

Results: We noted that the board/committee meeting minutes mentioned a review and comparison of the budget and year to date financials in all meetings held for the year.

- If the budget-to-actual comparisons show that management was deficit spending during the fiscal period, report whether there is a formal/written plan to eliminate the deficit spending for those entities with a fund balance deficit. If there is a formal/written plan, report whether the meeting minutes for at least one board meeting during the fiscal period reflect that the board is monitoring the plan.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit organization therefore this procedure is not applicable.

- c) Report whether the minutes referenced or included non-budgetary financial information (e.g. approval of contracts and disbursements) for at least one meeting during the fiscal period.

Results: Throughout the fiscal year it was noted that the board minutes referenced or included a variety of non-budgetary financial information.

Bank Reconciliations

3. Obtain a listing of client bank accounts from management and management's representation that the listing is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

4. Using the listing provided by management, select all of the entity's bank accounts (if five accounts or less) or one-third of the bank accounts on a three year rotating basis (if more than 5 accounts). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. *Note: School student activity fund accounts may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement.* For each of the bank accounts selected, obtain bank statements and reconciliations for all months in the fiscal period and report whether:

- a) Bank reconciliations have been prepared;

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Bank reconciliations include evidence that a member of management or a board member (with no involvement in the transactions associated with the bank account) has reviewed each bank reconciliation; and

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- c) If applicable, management has documentation reflecting that it has researched reconciling items that have been outstanding for more than 6 months as of the end of the fiscal period.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Collections

- 5. Obtain a listing of cash/check/money order (cash) collection locations and management's representation that the listing is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- 6. Using the listing provided by management, select all of the entity's cash collection locations (if five locations or less) or one-third of the collection locations on a three year rotating basis (if more than 5 locations). If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner. *Note: School student activity funds may be excluded from selection if they are otherwise addressed in a separate audit or AUP engagement. For each cash collection location selected:*

- a) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. insurance policy, policy manual, job description) and report whether each person responsible for collecting cash is

(1) bonded

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit organization therefore this procedure is not applicable.

(2) not responsible for depositing the cash in the bank, recording the related transaction, or reconciling the related bank account (report if there are compensating controls performed by an outside party)

Exception: Based on our review of the schools policies in regards to cash collection controls, we noted that the employee responsible for collections was the Office Manager. While we did note that the duties of recording the collection in the accounting system and reconciling bank accounts are assigned to segregated parties, there is no written instruction that separates the duties of collecting the cash/check/money order, preparing the deposit and depositing it into the bank.

(3) not required to share the same cash register or drawer with another employee.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit organization therefore this procedure is not applicable.

- b) Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. sequentially numbered receipts, system report, reconciliation worksheets, policy manual) and report whether the entity has a formal process to reconcile cash collections to the general ledger and/or subsidiary ledgers, by revenue source and/or agency fund additions, by a person who is not responsible for cash collections in the cash collection location selected.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- c) Select the highest (dollar) week of cash collections from the general ledger or other accounting records during the fiscal period and:

- Using entity collection documentation, deposit slips, and bank statements, trace daily collections to the deposit date on the corresponding bank statement and report whether the deposits were made within one day of collection. If deposits were not made within one day of collection, report the number of days from receipt to deposit for each day at each collection location.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- Using sequentially numbered receipts, system reports, or other related collection documentation, verify that daily cash collections are completely supported by documentation and report any exceptions.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

7. Obtain existing written documentation (e.g. policy manual, written procedure) and report whether the entity has a process specifically defined (identified as such by the entity) to determine completeness of all collections, including electronic transfers, for each revenue source and agency fund additions (e.g. periodic confirmation with outside parties, reconciliation to utility billing after cutoff procedures, reconciliation of traffic ticket number sequences, agency fund forfeiture monies confirmation) by a person who is not responsible for collections.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Disbursements – General (excluding credit card/debit card/fuel card/P-Card purchases or payments)

8. Obtain a listing of entity disbursements from management or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for entity disbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger population is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

9. Using the disbursement population from #8 above, randomly select 25 disbursements (or randomly select disbursements constituting at least one-third of the dollar disbursement population if the entity had less than 25 transactions during the fiscal period), excluding credit card/debit card/fuel card/P-card purchases or payments. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. purchase requisitions, system screens/logs) for each transaction and report whether the supporting documentation for each transaction demonstrated that:

- a) Purchases were initiated using a requisition/purchase order system or an equivalent electronic system that separates initiation from approval functions in the same manner as a requisition/purchase order system.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Purchase orders, or an electronic equivalent, were approved by a person who did not initiate the purchase.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- c) Payments for purchases were not processed without (1) an approved requisition and/or purchase order, or electronic equivalent; a receiving report showing receipt of goods purchased, or electronic equivalent; and an approved invoice.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

10. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the person responsible for processing payments is prohibited from adding vendors to the entity's purchasing/disbursement system.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

11. Using entity documentation (e.g. electronic system control documentation, policy manual, written procedure), report whether the persons with signatory authority or who make the final authorization for disbursements have no responsibility for initiating or recording purchases.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

12. Inquire of management and observe whether the supply of unused checks is maintained in a locked location, with access restricted to those persons that do not have signatory authority, and report any exceptions. Alternately, if the checks are electronically printed on blank check stock, review entity documentation (electronic system control documentation) and report whether the persons with signatory authority have system access to print checks.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

13. If a signature stamp or signature machine is used, inquire of the signer whether his or her signature is maintained under his or her control or is used only with the knowledge and consent of the signer. Inquire of the signer whether signed checks are likewise maintained under the control of the signer or authorized user until mailed. Report any exceptions.

Results: Neither a signature stamp or machine is used by the organization.

Credit Cards/Debit Cards/Fuel Cards/P-Cards

14. Obtain from management a listing of all active credit cards, bank debit cards, fuel cards, and P-cards (cards), including the card numbers and the names of the persons who maintained possession of the cards. Obtain management's representation that the listing is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

15. Using the listing prepared by management, randomly select 10 cards (or at least one-third of the cards if the entity has less than 10 cards) that were used during the fiscal period, rotating cards each year. If there is a change in practitioners, the new practitioner is not bound to follow the rotation established by the previous practitioner.

Obtain the monthly statements, or combined statements if multiple cards are on one statement, for the selected cards. Select the monthly statement or combined statement with the largest dollar activity for each card (for a debit card, select the monthly bank statement with the largest dollar amount of debit card purchases) and:

- a) Report whether there is evidence that the monthly statement or combined statement and supporting documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the authorized card holder. [Note: Requiring such approval may constrain the legal authority of certain public officials (e.g., mayor of a Lawrason Act municipality); these instances should not be reported.]]

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Report whether finance charges and/or late fees were assessed on the selected statements.

Results: There were no late fees or finance charges assessed on the selected statements.

16. Using the monthly statements or combined statements selected under #15 above, obtain supporting documentation for all transactions for each of the 10 cards selected (i.e. each of the 10 cards should have one month of transactions subject to testing).

- a) For each transaction, report whether the transaction is supported by:

- An original itemized receipt (i.e., identifies precisely what was purchased)

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- Documentation of the business/public purpose. For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- Other documentation that may be required by written policy (e.g., purchase order, written authorization.)

Results: No other documentation is required by the policy and procedures.

- b) For each transaction, compare the transaction's detail (nature of purchase, dollar amount of purchase, supporting documentation) to the entity's written purchasing/disbursement policies and the Louisiana Public Bid Law (i.e. transaction is a large or recurring purchase requiring the solicitation of bids or quotes) and report any exceptions.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- c) For each transaction, compare the entity's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. cash advances or non-business purchases, regardless whether they are reimbursed). If the nature of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

Travel and Expense Reimbursement

17. Obtain from management a listing of all travel and related expense reimbursements, by person, during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for travel reimbursements. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

18. Obtain the entity's written policies related to travel and expense reimbursements. Compare the amounts in the policies to the per diem and mileage rates established by the U.S. General Services Administration (www.gsa.gov) and report any amounts that exceed GSA rates.

Results: Per policy, travel and expense reimbursements are paid based on GSA approved mileage rates and actual expenses incurred.

19. Using the listing or general ledger from #17 above, select the three persons who incurred the most travel costs during the fiscal period. Obtain the expense reimbursement reports or prepaid expense documentation of each selected person, including the supporting documentation, and choose the largest travel expense for each person to review in detail. For each of the three travel expenses selected:

- a) Compare expense documentation to written policies and report whether each expense was reimbursed or prepaid in accordance with written policy (e.g., rates established for meals, mileage, lodging). If the entity does not have written policies, compare to the GSA rates (#18 above) and report each reimbursement that exceeded those rates.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Report whether each expense is supported by:

- An original itemized receipt that identifies precisely what was purchased. [Note: An expense that is reimbursed based on an established per diem amount (e.g., meals) does not require a receipt.]

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- Documentation of the business/public purpose (Note: For meal charges, there should also be documentation of the individuals participating).

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- Other documentation as may be required by written policy (e.g., authorization for travel, conference brochure, certificate of attendance)

Results: Based on policy, no other documents are required.

- c) Compare the entity's documentation of the business/public purpose to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14 of the Louisiana Constitution, which prohibits the loan, pledge, or donation of funds, credit, property, or things of value, and report any exceptions (e.g. hotel stays that extend beyond conference periods or payment for the travel expenses of a spouse). If the nature of the transaction precludes or obscures a comparison to the requirements of Article 7, Section 14, the practitioner should report the transaction as an exception.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

- d) Report whether each expense and related documentation was reviewed and approved, in writing, by someone other than the person receiving reimbursement.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Contracts

20. Obtain a listing of all contracts in effect during the fiscal period or, alternately, obtain the general ledger and sort/filter for contract payments. Obtain management's representation that the listing or general ledger is complete.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

21. Using the listing above, select the five contract "vendors" that were paid the most money during the fiscal period (excluding purchases on state contract and excluding payments to the practitioner). Obtain the related contracts and paid invoices and:

- a) Report whether there is a formal/written contract that supports the services arrangement and the amount paid.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Compare each contract's detail to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code. Report whether each contract is subject to the Louisiana Public Bid Law or Procurement Code and:

- If yes, obtain/compare supporting contract documentation to legal requirements and report whether the entity complied with all legal requirements (e.g., solicited quotes or bids, advertisement, selected lowest bidder)

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

- If no, obtain supporting contract documentation and report whether the entity solicited quotes as a best practice.

Results: We noted that Foundation Prep's policy calls for a solicitation of bids for general materials, supply and general service vendor work over \$1,000. The five contracts that were selected were for specialized services which do not require a solicitation of bids but do require board approval. Based on our procedures at 12e, we noted that board approval was acquired.

- c) Report whether the contract was amended. If so, report the scope and dollar amount of the amendment and whether the original contract terms contemplated or provided for such an amendment.

Results: None of the selected contracts were amended.

- d) Select the largest payment from each of the five contracts, obtain the supporting invoice, compare the invoice to the contract terms, and report whether the invoice and related payment complied with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- e) Obtain/review contract documentation and board minutes and report whether there is documentation of board approval, if required by policy or law (e.g. Lawrason Act or Home Rule Charter).

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Payroll and Personnel

22. Obtain a listing of employees (and elected officials, if applicable) with their related salaries, and obtain management's representation that the listing is complete. Randomly select five employees/officials, obtain their personnel files, and:

- a) Review compensation paid to each employee during the fiscal period and report whether payments were made in strict accordance with the terms and conditions of the employment contract or pay rate structure.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Review changes made to hourly pay rates/salaries during the fiscal period and report whether those changes were approved in writing and in accordance with written policy.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

23. Obtain attendance and leave records and randomly select one pay period in which leave has been taken by at least one employee. Within that pay period, randomly select 25 employees/officials (or randomly

select one-third of employees/officials if the entity had less than 25 employees during the fiscal period), and:

- a) Report whether all selected employees/officials documented their daily attendance and leave (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory). (Note: Generally, an elected official is not eligible to earn leave and does not document his/her attendance and leave. However, if the elected official is earning leave according to policy and/or contract, the official should document his/her daily attendance and leave.)

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- b) Report whether there is written documentation that supervisors approved, electronically or in writing, the attendance and leave of the selected employees/officials.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

- c) Report whether there is written documentation that the entity maintained written leave records (e.g., hours earned, hours used, and balance available) on those selected employees/officials that earn leave.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

24. Obtain from management a list of those employees/officials that terminated during the fiscal period and management's representation that the list is complete. If applicable, select the two largest termination payments (e.g., vacation, sick, compensatory time) made during the fiscal period and obtain the personnel files for the two employees/officials. Report whether the termination payments were made in strict accordance with policy and/or contract and approved by management.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

25. Obtain supporting documentation (e.g. cancelled checks, EFT documentation) relating to payroll taxes and retirement contributions during the fiscal period. Report whether the employee and employer portions of payroll taxes and retirement contributions, as well as the required reporting forms, were submitted to the applicable agencies by the required deadlines.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Ethics (excluding nonprofits)

26. Using the five randomly selected employees/officials from procedure #22 under "Payroll and Personnel" above, obtain ethics compliance documentation from management and report whether the entity maintained documentation to demonstrate that required ethics training was completed.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

27. Inquire of management whether any alleged ethics violations were reported to the entity during the fiscal period. If applicable, review documentation that demonstrates whether management investigated alleged ethics violations, the corrective actions taken, and whether management's actions complied with the entity's ethics policy. Report whether management received allegations, whether management investigated allegations received, and whether the allegations were addressed in accordance with policy.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

Debt Service (excluding nonprofits)

28. If debt was issued during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the entity, and report whether State Bond Commission approval was obtained.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

29. If the entity had outstanding debt during the fiscal period, obtain supporting documentation from the entity and report whether the entity made scheduled debt service payments and maintained debt reserves, as required by debt covenants.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

30. If the entity had tax millages relating to debt service, obtain supporting documentation and report whether millage collections exceed debt service payments by more than 10% during the fiscal period. Also, report any millages that continue to be received for debt that has been paid off.

Results: Foundation Prep is a non-profit and this procedure is not applicable.

Other

31. Inquire of management whether the entity had any misappropriations of public funds or assets. If so, obtain/review supporting documentation and report whether the entity reported the misappropriation to the legislative auditor and the district attorney of the parish in which the entity is domiciled.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

32. Observe and report whether the entity has posted on its premises and website, the notice required by R.S. 24:523.1. This notice (available for download or print at www.la.gov/hotline) concerns the reporting of misappropriation, fraud, waste, or abuse of public funds.

Exception: Based on our search of the school's website, the R.S. 24:523.1 notice was not posted at the time this procedure was performed.

33. If the practitioner observes or otherwise identifies any exceptions regarding management's representations in the procedures above, report the nature of each exception.

Results: No exceptions were noted as a result of applying these procedures.

Management's Response: We have reviewed this independent accountant's report on applying agreed-upon procedures and agrees with the exceptions noted. We will review our policies and procedures and update accordingly to include the best practices suggested by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the standards applicable to attestation engagement contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the United States Comptroller General. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of testing performed on those C/C areas identified in the SAUPs, and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on control or compliance.

Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the LLA as a public document.

Daigrepoint + Brian, APAC

Daigrepoint & Brian, APAC
Baton Rouge, LA

September 21, 2017