City Court of Bogalusa (A Component Unit of the City of Bogalusa, Louisiana)

Annual Financial Statements

As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2020 with Supplemental Information Schedules

> Robert A. Neilson Certified Public Accountant, L.L.C Post Office Box 98 Bogalusa, Louisiana 70429-0098

CONTENTS

Page INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Government-Wide Financial Statements:
Statement of Net Position
Statement of Activities
Fund Financial Statements—
Balance Sheet
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in
Fund Balance—Governmental Funds10
Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund-Statement of Revenues,
Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance to the Statement of Activities
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance–Budget (GAAP Basis) and Actual–General Fund
Schedule of the Court's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability
Schedule of the Court's Contributions
OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMAITON
Act 87 Justice System Funding Schedule - Receiving
Act 87 Justice System Funding Schedule - Collecting & Disbursing
Schedule of Compensation, Benefits, and Other Payments to Agency Head
ADDITIONAL REPORTS
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Corrective Action Plan for Current Year Audit Findings
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

ROBERT A, NEILSON

MEMBER AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPA'S SOCIETY OF LOUISIANA CPA'S ROBERT A. NEILSON

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT, L.L.C. P.O. BOX 98 412 AVENUE B BOGALUSA, LOUISIANA 70429-0098 (985) 732-1811 FAX (985) 732-1812

COVINGTON OFFICE 600 N. HIGHWAY 190 SU/TE 208 COVINGTON, LOUISIANA (MAILING ADDRESS; P. O. BOX 98 BOGALUSA, LOUISIANA 70429-0098) (985) 592-7940

rancpa@acisnetworks.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable David M. Duke, Judge City Court of Bogalusa Bogalusa, Louisiana

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City Court of Bogalusa, Louisiana, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

My responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on my audit. I conducted my audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, I express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinions.

Opinions

In my opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the fiduciary funds of the City Court of Bogalusa, Louisiana, as of December 31, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. My opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information beginning on page 31, and the supplementary schedules required by GASB statement No. 68, on pages 32 and 33, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. I have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to my inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge I obtained during my audit of the basic financial statements. I do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide me with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

My audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City Court of Bogalusa's basic financial statements. The schedule of compensation, benefits, and other payments to agency head, as required by Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513 A(3), and the Justice System Schedules as prescribed by Act 87 of the Legislative Session, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements.

Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and related directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In my opinion, the amounts paid by the City Court included on the schedule of compensation, benefits, and other payments to agency head, and justice funding schedules are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The amounts paid by the City of Bogalusa, the Washington Parish Government, and the State of Louisiana included on the schedule of compensation, benefits, and other payments to agency head have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, I do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, I have also issued my report dated April 25, 2022, on my consideration of the City Court of Bogalusa's internal control over financial reporting and on my tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of my testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of my audit.

Robert A Neilson, CPA

Bogalusa, Lousiana April 25, 2022

Financial Statements

Statement of Net Position December 31, 2020

	Governmen Activities	
ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 1	1,426
Capital Assets, net of accumulated depreciation	-	564
Total Assets	1	1,990
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Pension Related		5,254
Total Deferred Outflows		5,254
LIABILITIES		
Accrued Payroll Liabilities		8,350
Net Pension Liability	7	5,180
		SI
Total Liabilities	8	3,530
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Pension Related		1,817
Total Deferred Inflows		1,817
NET POSITION		
Net Investment in Capital Assets		564
Unrestricted	(6)	8,667)
Total Net Position	\$ (6)	8,103)

Statement of Activities For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

				Program	Revenu	ies	Rev Char	Expenses) renue and nge in Net Assets
Europiero & Durana		Expenses	Cl	s, Fines, & narges for Services	-	rating Grants Contributions		ernmental ctivities
Functions & Programs Governmental Activities:								
Judicial		314,141	\$	97,112	\$	231,465	\$	14,436
	Gene	eral Revenues						
	R	estitution						200
	In	terest						-
	Т	ransfers						.÷
	Т	otal General Re	evenues d	& Transfers				200
	Char	ige in Net Posi	tion				-	14,636
	Net I	Position- Begin	ning					(82,739)
	Net I	Position- Endin	g				\$	(68,103)

Balance Sheet Governmental Funds December 31, 2020

ASSETS	Ge	neral Fund
Cash	\$	11,426
Total Assets	-	11,426
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities:		
Accrued Payroll Liabilities		8,350
Total Liabilities		8,350
FUND BALANCE		
Unassigned	-	3,076
Total Fund Balance		3,076
Total Liabilties & Fund Balance	\$	11,426
Amounts reported for net position in the <i>governmental activities</i> statement of net position are different from fund balance reported above because:		
Fund Balance as reported above	\$	3,076
Capital assets used in governemntal activities are not financial resources and are not reported in the fund financial statements. Long-term liabilities including amounts that are not due in		564
the current period and, therefore are not reported in governmental funds		
Net Pension Liability		(75,180)
Deferred Outflows of Resources		5,254
Deferred Inflows of Resources		(1,817)
Net position of governmental activities.	\$	(68,103)

<u>Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in</u> <u>Fund Balance Governmental Funds</u> <u>For the Year Ended December 31, 2020</u>

REVENUES		Ger	neral Fund
Court Fees		\$	97,112
Intergovernmental			231,465
Restitution			200
Interest			
Total Revenues		-	328,777
EXPENDITURES			
Judicial:			
Salaries			236,733
Fringe Benefits & Payroll Taxes			62,143
Contract Labor			121
Legal & Professional fees			9,578
Bank Fees			90
Continuing Education			-
Telephone & Postage			1,791
Travel			1,601
Supplies & Miscellaneous			3,112
Dues & Subscriptions			3,563
Meals			275
Service Contracts			-
Probation Fees			-
Capital Outlay			
Seminars & Conventions			-
Total Expenditures			319,007
Net Change in Fund Balance			9,770
Fund Balances- Beginning			(6,694)
Fund Balances-Ending		\$	3,076

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in <u>Fund Balance to the Statement of Activities</u> <u>Governmental Funds</u> For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

Net Change in Fund Balances- governmental funds	\$	9,770
Amounts reported for <i>governmental activities</i> in the statement of activities are different because:		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cose of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.		
Expenditures for Capital Assets		
Current Year Depreciation (35	4)	(354)
Pension Expense		(5,220)
	-	
Change in net position of government activities	\$	14,636

<u>Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities</u> <u>Fiduciary Funds</u> <u>December 31, 2020</u>

	Agenc	y Funds
ASSETS Cash	\$	80,996
Total Assets		80,996
Liabilties		
Liabilties:		
Bond Forfeitures pending disbursement		21,806
Due to litigants and others		59,190
Total Liabilties	\$	80,996

Financial Statement Notes

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City Court of Bogalusa (a component unit of the City of Bogalusa) is a court of limited jurisdiction created under the authority of Louisiana Revised Statutes (RS) 13:1952 and serves Ward 4 of Washington Parish, Louisiana, in civil, criminal, and juvenile judicial proceedings.

The Court's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for establishing GAAP for state and local governments through its pronouncements (Statements and Interpretations). The more significant accounting policies established in GAAP and used by the Court are discussed below.

Reporting Entity

According to Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASBS) No. 14 as amended by GASBS No. 61, the City of Bogalusa (the City) is the financial reporting entity for the Court. The financial reporting entity consists of (a) the primary government (the City), (b) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (c) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

Even though the City Court Judge is an independently elected official, and is legally separate from the City, the Court is considered a component unit of the City because of fiscal dependence. The City provides the courtroom and office space for the Court. The City also pays retirement and a portion of the salaries for the Court's clerks. The accompanying financial statements present information only on the funds maintained by the Court, and do not present information on the City, the general governmental services provided by the City, or the other governmental units that comprise the financial reporting entity of the City.

Basic Financial Statements

The Court's basic financial statements include both government-wide and fund financial statements. Both the government-wide and fund financial statements categorize primary activities as either governmental or business type. The Court's general operations are classified as governmental activities. The Court's collections specifically related to civil, criminal, and bonding cases are classified as fiduciary activities and are not included in the government-wide financial statements.

In the government-wide Statement of Net Position, the Court's operating activities are reported on a full accrual, economic resource basis which recognizes all long-term assets and receivables as well as long-term debt and obligations.

Basic Financial Statements – Government-Wide Statements

The government-wide Statement of Activities reports both the gross and net cost of the Court's functions. The functions are also supported by general government revenues (fees, certain intergovernmental revenues, etc.). The Statement of Activities reduces gross expenses (including depreciation) by any related program revenues, operating and capital grants. Program revenues must be directly associated with the function (court operations). Operating grants include operating-specific and discretionary (either operating or capital) grants while the capital grants column reports capital-specific grants.

The net costs (by function) are normally covered by general revenue (fees, intergovernmental revenues, interest income, etc.) and the Court does not allocate indirect costs.

This government-wide focus is more on the sustainability of the Court as an entity and the change in the Court's net position resulting from the current year's activities.

Basic Financial Statements - Fund Financial Statements

The financial transactions of the Court are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprises its applicable assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures/expenses.

The emphasis in fund financial statements is on the major funds in either the governmental or businesstype activities categories. Non-major funds by category are summarized into a single column. GASBS No. 34 sets forth minimum criteria (percentage of the assets, liabilities, revenues or expenditures/expenses of either fund category or the governmental and enterprise combined) for the determination of major funds. The Court's only major fund is the general fund. There are no non-major funds in the fund financial statements. A description of the types of funds utilized by the Court is as follows:

Governmental Funds - The focus of the governmental funds' measurement (in the fund statements) is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The Court reports these major governmental funds and fund types:

The general fund is the Court's primary operating fund. It is used to account for and report all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The activities reported in this fund are included as governmental activities in the governmentwide financial statements.

Fiduciary (Agency) Funds

Fiduciary Funds are used to report assets held in a trustee or agency capacity for others and therefore are not available to support Court programs. The reporting focus is on the assets held for others and liabilities to those other parties. These funds do not report net position. Agency funds utilize accounting principles similar to that found in the private sector which employ full accrual accounting.

The Court's fiduciary funds are presented in the fiduciary fund financial statements by type (agency only). Because by definition these assets are being held for the benefit of a third party (other local governments, private parties, etc.) and cannot be used to address activities or obligations of the government, these funds are not incorporated into the government-wide statements.

Civil Fund - The civil fund maintains deposits made by plaintiffs who file civil suits. These deposits are recorded as a liability. The court acts only as a collection agent for fees and settlements in civil matters. As the suits are processed, the various fees and settlements collected are paid out on a monthly basis. After a case is dismissed, any excess deposit is refunded to the plaintiff.

Garnishments from civil suits are also maintained within the civil fund. Payments from third parties are received by the clerk and disbursed to the plaintiff. The activity in this account is not reflected in a statement of revenues collected and expenditures paid, but rather as an increase or decrease in cash and a corresponding change in liability.

Bond Fund - The bond fund is used to account for the collection and ultimate disposition of bonds posted by citizens who have been issued citations for traffic violations or arrested for criminal offenses. Bonds posted by violators are held until their cases are heard in the City Court of Bogalusa. At that time, the bonds for persons convicted of violations may be distributed to various agencies if the person elects to have their bond used for payment of fines. Otherwise, the bond is refunded to the defendant.

Criminal Fund - The criminal fund is used to account for the collection and disbursement to various agencies of monies paid to the Court for citations or criminal charges issued to defendants.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the point at which revenues or expenditures/expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. It relates to the timing of the measurements made regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements and fiduciary fund financial statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Other nonexchange revenues, including intergovernmental revenues and grants, are reported when all eligibility requirements have been met. Fees and charges and other exchange revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

The governmental funds financial statements (the Court's general fund) are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; i.e., both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year end. Intergovernmental revenues and grants are recognized when all eligibility requirements are met and the revenues are available.

Expenditures are recognized when the related liability is incurred. Exceptions to this general rule include principal and interest on general obligation long-term debt and employee vacation and sick leave, which are recognized when due and payable.

Financial Statement Amounts

Cash and Investments - Cash includes amounts in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, and time deposits. Under state law, the Court may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law or any other state of the United States, or under the laws of the United States. The Court is allowed, under state law, to invest in collateralized certificates of deposits, government backed securities, commercial paper, the state sponsored investment pool, and mutual funds consisting solely of government-backed securities. The Court invests in certificates of deposit.

Capital Assets - Capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$500 or more are reported at historical cost or estimated historical cost. Contributed assets are reported at fair market value as of the date received. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is provided on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Description	Estimated Lives
Equipment	5-20 years

Compensated Absences - The salaries of Court employees are the responsibility of the City of Bogalusa. All related accruals for wages, vacations, or sick pay are reported on the City's financial statements.

Government-Wide Net Position - Government-Wide net position is divided into three components:

- Net investment in capital assets—consist of the historical cost of capital assets less
 accumulated depreciation and less any debt that remains outstanding that was used to
 finance those assets plus deferred outflows of resources less deferred inflows of resources
 related to those assets.
- Restricted net position—consist of assets that are restricted by outside parties or sources such as creditors (for example, through debt covenants), by the state enabling legislation (through restrictions on shared revenues), by grantors (both federal and state), and by contributors.

• Unrestricted---all other net position is reported in this category.

Governmental Fund Balances - In the governmental fund financial statements, fund balances are classified as follows:

- Non-spendable—Amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in a non-spendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- Restricted—Amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of state or federal laws, or externally imposed conditions by grantors or creditors.
- Committed—Amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by law. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only with approval of the highest decision-making body for budgetary purposes.
- Assigned—Amounts that are designated for a particular purpose but are not spendable until a formal action by the Judge has been made.
- Unassigned—All amounts not included in other spendable classifications.

Use of Restricted Resources - When an expense or expenditure is incurred that can be paid using either restricted or unrestricted resources (net position), the Court's policy is to first apply the expense toward restricted resources and then toward unrestricted resources. In governmental funds, the Court's policy is to first apply the expenditure toward restricted fund balance and then to other, less restrictive classifications—committed and then assigned fund balances before using unassigned fund balances.

Interfund Activity - Interfund activity is reported as either loans, services provided, reimbursements or transfers. Loans are reported as interfund receivables and payables as appropriate and are subject to elimination upon consolidation. Reimbursements are when one fund incurs a cost, charges the appropriate benefiting fund and reduces its related net cost as a reimbursement. All other interfund transactions are treated as transfers.

Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues, expenditures, and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. Stewardship, Compliance, and Accountability

The budget was prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Neither encumbrance accounting nor formal integration of the budget into the accounting records is employed as a management control device.

3. Cash and Cash Equivalents

At December 31, 2020, the Court's general fund had cash and cash equivalents (book balance) totaling \$11,426 in non-interest-bearing demand deposits through a local bank.

At December 31, 2020, the Court maintained cash (book) balances of \$ 80,996 in the agency funds, which were held in deposit accounts through a local bank as follows:

\$ -
92,422
\$ 92,422
\$

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates market. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 40, "Deposit and Investment Risk Disclosures" requires disclosure of custodial credit risk for bank deposits. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. At December 31, 2020, the Court's deposits were secured from risk by federal deposit insurance.

4. Capital Assets

A summary of the capital asset and accumulated depreciation activity and the current year depreciation follows: 12/31/19 Additions Deletions 12/31/20

10110 W3.	1	2/31/19	Ad	ditions	De	letions	1	2/31/20
Capital assets being depreciated Equipment	\$	25,850	\$	-	\$		\$	25,850
Total capital assets being depreciated		25,850	-	-		-		25,850
Less accumulated depreciation fo	or:							
Equipment		(24,932)		(354)		-		(25,286)
Total accumulated depreciation		(24,932)	-	(354)				(25,286)
Capital assets being depreciated, net	\$	918	\$	(354)	\$	-	\$	564

For the year ended December 31, 2020, depreciation expense was \$354.

5. Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2020:

Accrued salaries	\$ 5,105
Accrued payroll taxes	 3,245
Total	\$ 8,350

6. On Behalf Payments and Expenses Paid by Other Governmental Entities

GASB Statement 24 establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for pass-through grants, food stamps, and on-behalf payments for fringe benefits, salaries, and other expenses. The following amounts were paid on-behalf of the Court for employee salaries and fringe benefits and other expenses for the Court during 2020:

Revenue:	
City of Bogalusa	\$ 132,457
State of Louisiana	56,290
Washington Parish Government	42,718
Total	\$ 231,465

In accordance with Statement No. 24, these compensation payments are included as revenue and expenditures in the accompanying financial statements.

7. Pension Plans

Clerks

General – The Court participates in funding a retirement plan under the City of Bogalusa because the majority of salaries and benefits are paid by the City of Bogalusa.

Plan Description - City of Bogalusa Employees' Retirement System (COBERS) is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers appointive officers and permanent employees of the City. The Court clerks participate in this plan. COBERS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. COBERS financial statements are included in the basic financial statements of the City of Bogalusa. The financial report may be obtained by writing the City of Bogalusa Employees' Retirement System, Post Office Box 1179, Bogalusa, LA 70429-1179.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required to contribute 6%, or \$4,710 of their annual covered salary. The City is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. For the year ended December 31, 2020, the City contributed 11% of covered salaries, or \$8,635.

At December 31, 2020, the court did not have a proportionate share of the net pension liability since it is under the City of Bogalusa's retirement plan.

Judge

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the Net Pension Liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS) and additions to/deductions from LASERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by LASERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

General Information about the Pension Plan

Plan Description

The Judge of the City Court is provided with pensions through a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plan administered by the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS). Section 401 of Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (La. R.S. 11:401) grants to LASERS Board of Trustees and the Louisiana Legislature the authority to review administration, benefit terms, investments, and funding of the plan. LASERS issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at <u>www.lasersonline.org</u>.

Benefits Provided

The following is a description of the plan and its benefits and is provided for general information purposes only. Participants should refer to the appropriate statutes for more complete information.

Retirement

The age and years of creditable service required in order for a member to retire with full benefits are established by statute, and vary depending on the member's hire date, employer, and job classification. Our rank and file members hired prior to July 1, 2006, may either retire with full benefits at any age upon completing 30 years of creditable service, at age 55 upon completing 25 years of creditable service, and at age 60 upon completing ten years of creditable service depending on their plan. Those members hired between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2015, may retire at age 60 upon completing five years of creditable service. The basic annual retirement benefit for members is equal to 2.5% to 3.5% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service. Additionally, members may choose to retire with 20 years of service at any age, with an actuarially reduced benefit.

Average compensation is defined as the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment for members employed prior to July 1, 2006. For members hired July 1, 2006 or later, average compensation is based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. The maximum annual retirement benefit cannot exceed the lesser of 100% of average compensation or a certain specified dollar amount of actuarially determined monetary limits, which vary depending upon the member's age at retirement. Judges, court officers, and certain elected officials receive an additional annual retirement benefit equal to 1.0% of average compensation multiplied by the number of years of creditable service in their respective capacity. As an alternative to the basic retirement benefits, a member may elect to receive their retirement throughout their life, with certain benefits being paid to their designated beneficiary after their death.

Act 992 of the 2010 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session, changed the benefit structure for LASERS members hired on or after January 1, 2011. This resulted in three new plans: regular, hazardous duty, and judges. The new regular plan includes regular members and those members who were formerly eligible to participate in specialty plans, excluding hazardous duty and judges. Regular members and judges are eligible to retire at age 60 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Hazardous duty members are eligible to retire with twelve years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment for all three new plans. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, hazardous duty plan a 3.33% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate. The extra 1.0% accrual rate for each year of service for court officers, the governor, lieutenant governor, legislators, House clerk, sergeants at arms, or Senate secretary, employed after January 1, 2011, was eliminated by Act 992. Specialty plan and regular members, hired prior to January 1, 2011, who are hazardous duty employees have the option to transition to the new hazardous duty plan.

Act 226 of the 2014 Louisiana Regular Legislative Session established new retirement eligibility for members of LASERS hired on or after July 1, 2015, excluding hazardous duty plan members. Regular members and judges under the new plan are eligible to retire at age 62 after five years of creditable service and, may also retire at any age, with a reduced benefit, after 20 years of creditable service. Average compensation will be based on the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 60 consecutive months of employment. Members in the regular plan will receive a 2.5% accrual rate, and judges a 3.5% accrual rate, with the extra 1.0% accrual rate based on all years of service as a judge.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who were members prior to July 1, 2014, may retire after 25 years of creditable service at any age, 12 years of creditable service at age 55, 20 years of creditable service at age 45, and 10 years of creditable service at age 60. Average compensation for the plan is the member's average annual earned compensation for the highest 36 consecutive months of employment, with a 3.33% accrual rate. A member leaving employment before attaining minimum retirement age, but after completing certain minimum service requirements, becomes eligible for a benefit provided the member lives to the minimum service retirement age, and does not withdraw their accumulated contributions. The minimum service requirement for benefits varies depending upon the member's employer and service classification.

Deferred Retirement Benefits

The State Legislature authorized LASERS to establish a Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP). When a member enters DROP, their status changes from active member to retiree even though they continue to work and draw their salary for a period of up to three years. The election is irrevocable once participation begins. During DROP participation, accumulated retirement benefits that would have been paid to each retiree are separately tracked. For members who entered DROP prior to January 1, 2004, interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero) will be credited to the retiree after participation ends. At that time, the member must choose among available alternatives for the distribution of benefits that have accumulated in the DROP account. Members who enter DROP on or after January 1, 2004, are required to participate in LASERS Self-Directed Plan (SDP) which is administered by a third-party provider. The SDP allows DROP participants to choose from a menu of investment options for the allocation of their DROP balances. Participants may diversify their investments by choosing from an approved list of mutual funds with different holdings, management styles, and risk factors.

Members eligible to retire and who do not choose to participate in DROP may elect to receive at the time of retirement an initial benefit option (IBO) in an amount up to 36 months of benefits, with an actuarial reduction of their future benefits. For members who selected the IBO option prior to January 1, 2004, such amount may be withdrawn or remain in the IBO account earning interest at a rate of one-half percent less than the System's realized return on its portfolio (not to be less than zero). Those members who select the IBO on or after January 1, 2004, are required to enter the SDP as described above. For members who are in the Harbor Police Plan, the annual DROP Interest Rate is the three-year average (calculated as the compound average of 36 months) investment return of the plan assets for the period ending the June 30th immediately preceding that given date. The average rate so determined is to be reduced by a "contingency" adjustment of 0.5%, but not to below zero. DROP interest is forfeited if a member does not cease employment after DROP participation.

Disability Benefits

Generally, active members with ten or more years of credited service who become disabled may receive a maximum disability retirement benefit equivalent to the regular retirement formula without reduction by reason of age.

Upon reaching retirement age, the disability retiree may receive a regular retirement benefit by making application to the Board of Trustees.

For injuries sustained in the line of duty, hazardous duty personnel in the Hazardous Duty Services Plan will receive a disability benefit equal to 75% of final average compensation or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence.

Members of the Harbor Police Retirement System who become disabled may receive a non-line of duty disability benefit after five years or more of credited service. Members age 55 or older may receive a disability benefit equivalent to the regular retirement benefit. Under age 55, the disability benefit is equal to 40% of final average compensation. Line of duty disability benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, regardless of years of credited service or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence. If the disability benefit retiree is permanently confined to a wheelchair, or, is an ampute incapable of serving as a law enforcement officer, or the benefit is permanently legally binding, there is no reduction to the benefit if the retiree becomes gainfully employed.

Survivor's Benefits

Certain eligible surviving dependents receive benefits based on the deceased member's compensation and their relationship to the deceased. The deceased regular member hired before January 1, 2011 who was in state service at the time of death must have a minimum of five years of service credit, at least two of which were earned immediately prior to death, or who had a minimum of twenty years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor or handicapped child. Benefits are payable to an unmarried child until age 18, or age 23 if the child remains a full-time student. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirement is ten years for a surviving spouse with no minor children, and benefits are to be paid for life to the spouse or qualified handicapped child.

The deceased regular member hired on or after January 1, 2011, must have a minimum of five years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor child. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirements for a surviving spouse are 10 years, 2 years being earned immediately prior to death, and active state service at the time of death, or a minimum of 20 years of service credit regardless of when earned. A deceased member's spouse must have been married for at least one year before death.

The deceased regular member hired on or after January 1, 2011, must have a minimum of five years of service credit regardless of when earned in order for a benefit to be paid to a minor child. The aforementioned minimum service credit requirements for a surviving spouse are 10 years, 2 years being earned immediately prior to death, and active state service at the time of death, or a minimum of 20 years of service credit regardless of when earned. A deceased member's spouse must have been married for at least one year before death.

Non-line of duty survivor benefits of the Harbor Police Retirement System may be received after a minimum of five years of credited service. Survivor benefits paid to a surviving spouse without children are equal to 40% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage. Surviving spouse with children under 18 benefits are equal to 60% of final average compensation, and cease upon remarriage, and children turning 18. No minimum service credit is required for line of duty survivor benefits which are equal to 60% of final average compensation to surviving spouse, or 100% of final average compensation if the injury was the result of an intentional act of violence regardless of children. Line of duty survivor benefits cease upon remarriage, and then benefit is paid to children under 18.

Permanent Benefit Increases/Cost-of-Living Adjustments

As fully described in Title 11 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes, the System allows for the payment of permanent benefit increases, also known as cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs), that are funded through investment earnings when recommended by the Board of Trustees and approved by the State Legislature.

Contributions

The employer contribution rate is established annually under La. R.S. 11:101-11:104 by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC), taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's Actuary. Each plan pays a separate actuarially-determined employer contribution rate. However, all assets of LASERS are used for the payment of benefits for all classes of members, regardless of their plan membership. Rates for the year ended June 30, 2020 are as follows:

PlanStatusRateAppellate Law ClerksClosed7.50%Appellate Law Clerks hired on or after 7/01/06Open8.00%Alcohol Tobacco ControlClosed9.00%Bridge PoliceClosed8.50%Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.50%Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired on or after 7/01/05Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Pacce OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	Employer
Appellate Law Clerks hired on or after 7/01/06Open8.00%Alcohol Tobacco ControlClosed9.00%Bridge PoliceClosed8.50%Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.50%Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%LegislatorsClosed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	Rate
Alcohol Tobacco ControlClosed9.00%Bridge PoliceClosed8.50%Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.50%Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	40.70%
Bridge PoliceClosed8.50%Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.50%Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	40.70%
Bridge Police hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.50%Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or after 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed7.50%8.00%	33.90%
Corrections PrimaryClosed9.00%Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or after 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed7.50%8.00%	39.80%
Corrections SecondaryClosed9.00%Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed9.00%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	39.80%
Harbor PoliceClosed9.00%Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	36.90%
Hazardous DutyOpen9.50%Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%1.50%	40.70%
Judges hired before 1/01/11Closed11.50%Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	7.70%
Judges hired after 12/31/10Closed13.00%Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	41.70%
Judges hired on or after 7/01/15Open13.00%LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	42.40%
LegislatorsClosed11.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	42.00%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) before 7/01/06*Closed7.50%Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	42.00%
Optional Retirement Plan (ORP) on or afer 7/01/06*Closed8.00%Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	40.40%
Peace OfficersClosed9.00%Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	40.70%
Regular Employees hired before 7/01/06Closed7.50%Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06Closed8.00%	40.70%
Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/06 Closed 8.00%	39.40%
8 1 9	40.70%
	40.70%
Regular Employees hired on or after 1/01/11 Closed 8.00%	40.70%
Regular Employees hired on or after 7/01/15 Open 8.00%	40.70%
Special Legislative Employees Closed 9.50%	42.40%
Wildlife Agents Closed 9.50%	49.70%

1

The Court's contractually required composite contribution rate for the year ended December 31, 2020 was 42% of annual payroll, actuarially determined as an amount that, when combined with employee contributions, is expected to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. Contributions to the pension plan from the Agency were \$8,773 for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At December 31, 2020 the Employer reported a liability of \$75,180 for its proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability. The Net Pension Liability was measured as of June 30, 2020 and the total pension liability used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The Agency's proportion of the Net Pension Liability was based on a projection of the Agency's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of

all participating employers, actuarially determined. At June 30, 2020, the Agency's proportion was 0.00091%, which was an increase of -0.00031% from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2019.

For the year ended December 31, 2020, the Agency recognized pension expense of \$9,687 plus employer's amortization of change in proportionate share and differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions, \$1,095 for a total of \$10,782.

At December 31, 2020, the Agency reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferre	d Outflows of	Deferre	d Inflows of
	Re	esources	Res	sources
Differences between expected and actual				
experience	\$	-	\$	(722)
Changes of assumptions		241		-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments		10,990		-
		10,990		
Changes in proportion and differences between Employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions		(10,838)		(1,095)
Employer contributions subsequent to				
the measurement date		4,861		-
Total	\$	5,254	\$	(1,817)

\$4,861 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from Agency contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the Net Pension Liability in the year ended December 31, 2020. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

2021	\$ 2,495
2022	\$ 3,169
2023	\$ 3,396
2024	\$ 2,543

Actuarial Assumptions

A summary of the actuarial methods and assumptions used in determining the total pension liability as of December 31, 2020 are as follows:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2020				
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry Age Normal				
Actuarial Assumptions:					
Expected Remaining					
Service Lives	2 years				
Investment Rate of Return	7.55% per annum, net of investment expenses*				
Inflation Rate	2.30% per annum				
Mortality	Non-disabled members - The RP-2014 Blue Collar (males/ females) and White Collar (females) Healthy Annuitant Tables projected on a fully generational basis by Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2018.				
	Disabled members – Mortality rates based on the RP- 2000 Disabled Retiree Mortality Table, with no projection for mortality improvement.				
Termination, Disability, and Retirement	Termination, disability, and retirement assumptions were projected based on a five-year (2014-2018) experience study of the System's members for 2019.				
Salary Increases	Salary increases were projected based on a 2014-2018 experience study of the System's members. The salary				

	Lower	Upper
Member Type	Range	Range
Regular	3.0 %	12.8%
Judges	2.6%	5.1%
Corrections	3.6%	13.8%
Hazardous Duty	3.6%	13.8%
Wildlife	3.6%	13.8%

increase ranges for specific types of members are:

Cost of Living Adjustments

The present value of future retirement benefits is based on benefits currently being paid by the System and includes previously granted cost of living increases. The projected benefit payments do not include provisions for potential future increases not yet authorized by the Board of Trustees as they were deemed not to be substantively automatic.

* The investment rate of return used in the actuarial valuation for funding purposes was 7.90%, recognizing an additional 35 basis points for gain-sharing. The net return available to fund regular plan benefits is 7.55%, which is the same as the discount rate. Therefore the System's management concludes that the 7.55% discount is reasonable.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimates ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation of 2.3% and an adjustment for the effect of rebalancing/diversification. The resulting expected long-term rate of return is 8.25% for 2020. Best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class included in the System's target asset allocation as of June 30, 2020 are summarized in the following table:

	Long-Term Expected Real
Asset Class	Rate of Return
Cash	-0.59%
Domestic Equity	4.79%
International Equity	5.83%
Domestic Fixed Income	1.76%
International Fixed Income	3.98%
Alternative Investments	6.69%
Global Tactical Asset Allocation	4.20%
Total Fund	5.81%

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.55%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rates and that contributions from participating employers will be made at the actuarially determined rates approved by PRSAC taking into consideration the recommendation of the System's actuary. Based on those assumptions, the System's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the participating employers calculated using the discount rate of 7.55%, as well as what the employers' net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower, or one percentage point higher than the current rate.

	1.0% Decreas (6.55%)	se	Current Dis Rate (7.55		1	1.0% Increase (8.55%)
Employer's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 9	2,385 \$		75,180	\$	60,580

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued current LASERS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report at <u>www.lasersonline.org</u>.

Payables to the Pension Plan

At December 31, 2020, the Court recorded a payable to LASERS in the amount of \$716.

8. Changes in Agency Funds

The financial activity of agency funds does not represent revenues and expenditures of the Court, and as such, is not included in the general fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance. These agency funds are used to account for the assets held by the Court in a fiduciary capacity and consist of cash on deposit for legal cases appearing before the Court that will be disbursed to fund certain costs of the case or returned to the parties involved upon resolution of the related litigation, citations, etc. Each case's balances and activities are accounted for separately but are reported in the aggregate within the Agency Fund Statement of Asset and Liabilities as amounts due litigants and others.

Activity for the year within the amounts due litigants and others account is summarized as follows:

					(Criminal		
	C	ivil Fund	B	ond Fund		Fund		Total
Balance as of 12/31/19	\$	27,749	\$	15,506*	\$	25,857	\$	69,112
Collections		109,772		73,380		215,466		398,617
Settlements		(99,654)		(42,247)		(223,026)	30	(364,927)
Bond forfeitures pending disbursemen		141		(21,806)				(21,806)
Transfers to/from other funds		-		-		-		-
Balance as of 12/31/20	\$	37,866	\$	24,833	\$	18,297	\$	80,996

*Restatement of 12/31/19 Bond Fund Balance to reflect actual.

10. Subsequent Events

In January 2020, the World Health Organization declared the outbreak of a novel coronavirus (COVID-19) as a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern," which has spread throughout the world and has adversely impacted global commercial activity and contributed to significant declines and volatility in financial markets. The outbreak could have a continued material adverse impact on economic and market conditions. There continues to be no prediction as to the ultimate material adverse impact of the coronavirus outbreak. Nevertheless, the outbreak presents uncertainty and risk with respect to the Court and its financial results.

Subsequent events have been evaluated by management through April 25, 2022, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and these financial statements considered subsequent events through such date. No other events were noted that are required recording or disclosure in the financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2020.

Required Supplemental Information

GOVERNMENTAL FUND – GENERAL FUND SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE-BUDGET (GAAP BASIS) AND ACTUAL FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

		Original Budget	Fin	al Budget		Actual	Fin F	iance with al Budget avorable favorable)
REVENUES	0000		2.805		3672		10.10	1. Mar
Court Fees	\$	92,000	\$	105,000	\$	97,112	\$	(7,888)
Intergovernmental		251,000		250,000		231,465		(18,535)
Restitution		475		675		200		(475)
Interest							-	
Total Revenues	-	343,475		355,675		328,777		(26,898)
EXPENDITURES								
Judicial								
Salaries		229,000		254,000		236,733		17,267
Fringe Benefits & Payroll Taxes		73,000		80,000		62,143		17,857
Contract Labor		*		*		121		(121)
Legal & Professional fees		1,950		11,000		9,578		1,422
Bank Fees		7 ×		135		90		45
Continuing Education		50-0		-		-		-
Telephone & Postage		1,200		1,600		1,791		(191)
Travel		11,000		2,100		1,601		499
Supplies & Miscellaneous		3,400		3,400		3,112		288
Dues & Subscriptions		5,000		6,000		3,563		2,437
Meals		170		-		275		(275)
Service Contracts								E.
Probation Fees		-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay				÷		+		
Seminars & Conventions	1.0	880	_	14		2	-	-
Total Expenditures	-	326,100		358,235	-	319,007	·	39,228
Excess (deficiency) of revenues	0	17,375		(2,560)	-	9,770	-	12,330
Fund Balances- Beginning	-	(6,694)		(6,694)		(6,694)	-	R.
Fund Balances-Ending	\$	10,681	\$	(9,254)	\$	3,076	\$	12,330

See independent auditor's report.

Schedule of Employer's Share of Net Pension Liability-LASERS As of the year ended December 31, 2020

	Employer's Proportion of the Net Pension Liability (Asset)	Prop Sha Net L	ployer's portionate re of the Pension iability Asset)	Co En	ployer's overed- nployee Payroll	Employer's Proportionate Share of the Net Position Liability (Asset) as a Percentage of its Covered- Employee Payroll	Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability
2020	0.000909%	\$	75,180	\$	17,998	417.713079%	57.998533%
2019	0.001293%		88,171		23,244	379.327999%	62.899134%
2018	0.000752%		51,286		13,752	372.934846%	64.300379%

* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of 6/30 within the fiscal year.

Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

See independent auditor's report.

Schedule of Employer's Contributions-LASERS As of the year ended December 31, 2020

Requir		tractually quired tribution	in R Con Re	tributions elation to tractually equired tributions	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	1	Employer's Covered Employee Payroll	Contributions as a % of Covered Employee Payroll
2020	\$	9,687	\$	9,687	-	\$	21,240	45.6073%
2019		11,373		11,373	-		24,000	47.3875%
2018		11,803		11,803	-		29,507	40.0007%

* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of 6/30 within the fiscal year. Schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as they become available.

See independent auditor's report.

City Court of Bogalusa (A Component Unit of the City of Bogalusa) Justice System Funding Schedule - Receiving For the Year Ended 12/31/2020

Cash Basis Presentation		First Six Month Period Ended 06/30/20	Second Six Month Period Ended 12/31/20
Receipts From:			
Not Applicable		-	-
Subtotal Receipts			•
Ending Balance of Amounts Assessed but Not Recei	ved		-
Collection Types to be used in the Receipts From:			
Civil Fees		-	-
Bond Fees		-	-
Asset Forfeiture/Sale		-	
Pre-Trial Diversion Program Fees		-	-
Criminal Court Costs/Fees	5 		-
Criminal Fines - Contempt	* x	-	-

 Criminal Fines - Contempt

 Criminal Fines - Other

 Restitution

 Probation/Parole/Supervision Fees

 Service/Collection Fees

 Interest Earnings on Collected Balances

 Other

CITY COURT OF BOGALUSA (A Component Unit of the City of Bogalusa, Louisiana) Justice System Funding Schedule - Collecting & Disbursing For the Year Ended 12/31/2020

Cash Basis Presentation	First Six Month Period Ended	Second Six Month Period Ended
	06/30/2020	12/31/2020
Beginning Balance of Amounts Collected	•	28,918
Add: Collections		
Civil Fees	103,019	-
Bond Fees		-
Asset Forfeiture/Sale	<u>-</u>	
Pre-Trial Diversion Program Fees		-
Criminal Court Costs/Fees	215,441	
Criminal Fines - Contempt		-
Criminal Fines - Other	-	-
Restitution	-	-
Probation/Parole/Supervision Fees	-	-
Service/Collection Fees		-
Interest Earnings on Collected Balances		
Other		
Subtotal Collections		
Subtotal Collections	318,459	
Less: Disbursements To Governments & Nonprofits:		
<u>Civil</u>		
Collections - Garnishments	42,999	-
JSCA Board	5,932	
Refund of Advances	17,502	-
La. Supreme Court	127	-

CITY COURT OF BOGALUSA (A Component Unit of the City of Bogalusa, Louisiana) Justice System Funding Schedule - Collecting & Disbursing For the Year Ended 12/31/2020

Criminal		
JE Fund (For 2020, these funds were paid to the City of Bogalusa; not retained by Court)	80,635	×
City of Bogalusa	41,821	
Washington Parish 88% of state fines	10,514	2
District Attorney - 12% of state fines	2,015	
District Attorney	2,200	-
City Proseculor	14,075	
4th Ward Marshal	20,198	-
IDB	30,375	
Juvenile Justice Commission - Adult JJC-Adult/Juv	3,500	×
Law Enforcement Training and Assistance Fund - LLET	1,351	-
CVRF	570	-
LTHSF - Speeding/DW11/DW12/ROMV	585	×
OWF - City of Bogalusa	6,740	-
Washington Parish Coroner - WP Govt	369	
CMIS-CityTrafficCityMisd/StateTraffic/StateMisd/DW1	2,028	
St. Tammany Parish - Lab Fee	4,897	
Probation - City of Bogalusa	425	
DATF		
DAET	300	-
La. Supreme Court	337	
BCC JE 2% of LLET	27	
ess: Amounts Retained by Collecting Agency		
Collection Fee for Collecting/Disbursing to Others Based on Percentage of Collection		
Collection Fee for Collecting/Disbursing to Others Based on Fixed Amount		
Amounts "Self-Disbursed" to Collecting Agency		
Less: Disbursements to Individuals/3rd Party Collection or Processing Agencles		
Civil Fee Refunds	-	-
Bond Fee Refunds		. (.
Restitution Payments to Individuals	•	-
Other Disbursements to Individuals		
Payments to 3rd Party Collection/Processing Agencies		.*
Subtotal Disbursements/Retainage	289,541	
otal: Ending Balance of Amounts Collected but not Disbursed/Retained	28,918	28,918
Ending Balance of "Partial Payments" Collected but not Disbursed		
ther Information:		
Ending Balance of Total Amounts Assessed but not yet Collected		

Schedule of Compensation, Benefits, and Other Payments to Agency Head As of the Year ended December 31, 2020

Agency Head: Honorable Robert J. Black, Judge

Compensation	\$ 109,929
Fringe Benefits-Insurance	8,891
Fringe Benefits-Retirement	11,154
Employer Provided Medicare Taxes	1,373
Dues	200
Meals	142
Seminars	-
Travel	1,501
Total	\$ 133,190

Compensation & Fringe benefits includes expenditures paid through the Court and on-behalf payments. See Note 6 for more detail related to on-behalf payments.

ROBERT A. NEILSON

ROBERT A. NEILSON

MEMBER AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPA'S SOCIETY OF LOUISIANA CPA'S CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT, L.L.C. P.O. BOX 98 412 AVENUE 8 BOGALUSA, LOUISIANA 70429-0098 (985) 732-1811 FAX (985) 732-1812

rancpa@acisnetworks.com

COVINGTON OFFICE 600 N. HIGHWAY 190 SUITE 208 COVINGTON, LOUISIANA (MAILING ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 98 BOGALUSA, LOUISIANA 70429-0098) (865) 552-7940

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable David M. Duke, Judge City of Court of Bogalusa Bogalusa, Louisiana

I have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the fiduciary funds of the City Court of Bogalusa, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City Court's basic financial statements, and have issued my report thereon dated April 25, 2022.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing my audit of the financial statements, I considered the City Court's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing my opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City Court's internal control. Accordingly, I do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City Court's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

My consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during my audit I did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that I consider to be material weaknesses. I did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses that I consider to be significant deficiencies (findings 2020-1, and 2020-2).

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City Court's financial statements are free from material misstatement, I performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of my audit, and accordingly, I do not express such an opinion. The results of my tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses (finding 2020-3).

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of my testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

Robert A. Neilson, CPA

Bogalusa, Lousiana April 25, 2022

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No
Significant deficiencies identified that are not	
considered to be material weaknesses?	<u>X</u> Yes No
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	<u>X</u> Yes <u>No</u>

Internal Control Findings

2020-1 Inadequate Segregation of Cash Receipts Functions

CRITERIA: The Court should have a control policy according to which no single employee should be given responsibility for more than one related function.

CONDITION: The Court did not have adequate segregation of functions within the cash receipt system.

CAUSE: Lack of segregation of functions led to overlapping of duties and untimely cash deposits.

EFFECT: Failure to adequately segregate accounting and financial functions increases the risk that errors and/or irregularities including fraud may occur and not be prevented and/or detected.

RECOMMENDATION: Management should reassign incompatible duties among different employees to ensure that a single employee does not have control of more than one of the following responsibilities: (1) Initial authorization of cash receipts; (2) custody and deposits; (3) record keeping and maintenance of customer account records; and (4) reconciliation.

MANAGEMENT'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: While the Court does maintain a policy and procedure regarding the acceptance and collection of cash receipt system, the Court will review and further clarify the duties of the individual who collects the funds, another who verifies the funds, still a third who makes the deposits, and the supervisor who reconciles the funds.

2020-2 Financial Reporting

CRITERIA: Management is responsible for developing internal controls related to the preparation of financial statements as well as preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

CONDITION: As common in small organizations, management has chosen to engage the auditor to propose certain year-end adjusting entries and to prepare the Court's annual financial statements. This condition is intentional by management based upon the cost effectiveness of acquiring the ability to prepare the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Consistent with this decision, internal controls over the preparation of year-end adjusting entries and annual financial statements, complete with the notes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have not been established. Under generally accepted auditing standards, this condition represents a significant deficiency in internal controls. Recently issued auditing standards require that I report the above

condition as a control deficiency. The standards do not provide exceptions to reporting deficiencies that are adequately mitigated with nonaudit services rendered by the auditor or deficiencies for which Management is responsible for developing internal controls related to the preparation of financial statements as well as preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The remedy would be cost prohibitive or otherwise impractical.

CAUSE: The Court does not have the resources to have an external accountant prepare the financial statements in accordance with GAAP and also retain the auditor.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: The financial reports will not be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

RECOMMENDATION: As mentioned in the condition, whether or not it would be cost effective to cure a control deficiency is not a factor in applying auditing standards' reporting requirements. Because prudent management requires that the potential benefit from an internal control must exceed its cost, it may not be practical to correct all deficiencies an auditor reports under the auditing standards. In this case, I do not believe that curing the significant deficiency described above would be cost effective or practical and accordingly do not believe any corrective action necessary.

CLIENT RESPONSE: The Court agrees that a prudent use of funds to engage the auditor to prepare the court's annual financial statements; we therefore agree with the auditor's recommendation that no corrective action is necessary.

Noncompliance Finding

Item 2020-3 Local Government Budget Act (LGBA)

CRITERIA: The chief executive or administrative officer of a political subdivision subject to public participation with a budget of \$500,000 or more must advise the governing authority or independently elected official in a written notice when:

(1) Total revenue and other sources plus projected revenue and other sources for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are failing to meet total budgeted revenues and other sources by 5% or more.

(2) Total actual expenditures and other uses plus projected expenditures and other uses for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are exceeding the total budgeted expenditures and other uses by 5% or more.

Even in situations in which R.S. 39:1311 does not require written notice, a political subdivision still must amend its adopted budget whenever anticipated revenues or expenditures vary by 5% or more. Governmental entities with anticipated expenditures of less than \$500,000 in the general fund and special revenue funds are exempt from the written notice requirement.

The Legislative Auditor interprets the provisions of the LGBA, including R.S. 39:1310 and R.S. 39:1311, to provide for a mandatory budget amendment for those political subdivisions with total proposed expenditures of less than \$500,000 when a 5% variance occurs between actual and budgeted revenues or expenditures.

CONDITION: The Court actual revenues were under budget by 7.5%.

CAUSE: The revenues were under budgeted revenues due to COVID-19.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: Violation of State Law.

RECOMMENDATION: The Court should periodically review interim financial data compared to the budget and amend the budget accordingly.

CLIENT RESPONSE: The Court revised its budget near year-end so that the budget might better reflect the revenues and expenditures projected to occur at year's end, as required by the Local Government Budget Act (RS 39:1311). This year the Court has reduced the variance in budget to actual by 9%. These revenues and related expenditures are hard to predict in a criminal and civil court setting especially given the Covid Pandemic. The Court will continue to review the actual to budget comparisons more closely each month.

Summary Status of Prior Year Findings

(For the Year Ended December 31, 2019)

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified		
Internal control over financial reporting:			
Material weaknesses identified?	-	Yes X	No
Significant deficiencies identified that are not			
considered to be material weaknesses?	<u>X</u>	Yes	No
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	<u>X</u>	Yes	No

Internal Control Findings

2019-1 Financing Reporting

CRITERIA: Management is responsible for developing internal controls related to the preparation of financial statements as well as preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

CONDITION: As common in small organizations, management has chosen to engage the auditor to propose certain year-end adjusting entries and to prepare the Court's annual financial statements. This condition is intentional by management based upon the cost effectiveness of acquiring the ability to prepare the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Consistent with this decision, internal controls over the preparation of year-end adjusting entries and annual financial statements, complete with the notes, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have not been established. Under generally accepted auditing standards, this condition represents a significant deficiency in internal controls. Recently issued auditing standards require that I report the above condition as a control deficiency. The standards do not provide exceptions to reporting deficiencies that are adequately mitigated with nonaudit services rendered by the auditor or deficiencies for which Management is responsible for developing internal controls related to the preparation of financial statements as well as preparing financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The remedy would be cost prohibitive or otherwise impractical.

CAUSE: The Court does not have the resources to have an external accountant to prepare the financial statements in accordance with GAAP and to also retain the auditor.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: The financial reports will not be presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

RECOMMENDATION: As mentioned in the condition, whether or not it would be cost effective to cure a control deficiency is not a factor in applying auditing standards' reporting requirements. Because prudent management requires that the potential benefit from an internal control must exceed its cost, it may not be practical to correct all deficiencies an auditor reports under the auditing standards. In this case, I do not believe that curing the significant deficiency described above would be cost effective or practical and accordingly do not believe any corrective action necessary.

CLIENT RESPONSE: In response to this finding, the Court feels that is a prudent use of funds to engage the auditor to prepare the Court's annual financial reports. We therefore agree with the auditor's recommendation that no corrective action is necessary.

STATUS: This is an ongoing condition

Item 2019-2 Expenditure Documentation

CRITERIA: Expenditures are to be supported by an original invoice or receipt. The Court does not use credit cards but rather reimburses the employee that uses their personal card for the charge. Credit card charges should be supported by a credit card receipt or invoice with a clear documentation of the business purpose or public purpose of the charge.

CONDITION: Some of the credit card reimbursements did not include original receipts or invoice to support the business or public purpose of the charge. While the credit card statement was approved and the actual purpose was for travel for a seminar or conference, the documentation to support the charge was not attached.

CAUSE: Unknown.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: There is the potentiation effect of fraud and abuse. Without adequate documentation to support the expenditure, misappropriation of assets could occur.

RECOMMENDATION: The Court needs to strengthen its policies and procedures to address credit card reimbursements and the required documentation to support the charge, approval process of reimbursements, and monitoring of the reimbursements.

CLIENT RESPONSE: We agree with the auditor's recommendation.

STATUS: Resolved.

Item 2019-3 Information Technology

CRITERIA: Effective internal controls should include standardized policies and procedures for appropriate data backup and recovery processes. A backup and data retention policy should be in place specifying how backups are to be performed, where they are retained, where the backup is stored, and recovery procedures that are tested at least annually.

CONDITION: The Court backs up the accounting data to a flash drive daily. During June 2020, the Court's computer system crashed. When the Court went to retrieve the data from the flash drive to restore the system, it was discovered the data was backing up on the hard drive of the computer and not the flash drive. The Court had to send the hard drive to a repair center to retrieve the data. This took several months and the data was eventually recovered.

CAUSE: The Court was not aware that the data was being backed up to the computer's hard drive and not the flash drive.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: The effect is the potential loss of data that cannot be recovered and restored.

RECOMMENDATION: The Court should have data policies and procedures in place for the following:

- Data to be backed up and frequency of the backups.
- · How the backup of data is done and where the backups are stored.
- Frequency of testing of the backup of data and verification that the data can be restored from the backup.
- Use of antivirus software on all systems and networks and application of software updates.
- Persons responsible for these processes

CLIENT RESPONSE: We agree with the auditor's recommendation.

STATUS: Resolved.

Noncompliance Finding

Item 2019-4 Local Government Budget Act (LGBA)

CRITERIA: The chief executive or administrative officer of a political subdivision subject to public participation with a budget of \$500,000 or more must advise the governing authority or independently elected official in a written notice when:

(1) Total revenue and other sources plus projected revenue and other sources for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are failing to meet total budgeted revenues and other sources by 5% or more.

(2) Total actual expenditures and other uses plus projected expenditures and other uses for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are exceeding the total budgeted expenditures and other uses by 5% or more.

Even in situations in which R.S. 39:1311 does not require written notice, a political subdivision still must amend its adopted budget whenever anticipated revenues or expenditures vary by 5% or more. Governmental entities with anticipated expenditures of less than \$500,000 in the general fund and special revenue funds are exempt from the written notice requirement.

The Legislative Auditor interprets the provisions of the LGBA, including R.S. 39:1310 and R.S. 39:1311, to provide for a mandatory budget amendment for those political subdivisions with total proposed expenditures of less than \$500,000 when a 5% variance occurs between actual and budgeted revenues or expenditures.

CONDITION: The Court actual expenditures were over budget by 16%.

CAUSE: The salaries and related benefits were over budgeted expenditures due to the on-behalf payments that are required to be recorded in accordance with GASB No. 24. The sources include city, parish, and state wages and benefits.

POTENTIAL EFFECT OF CONDITION: Violation of State Law.

RECOMMENDATION: The Court should periodically review interim finance data compared to the budget and amend the budget accordingly.

CLIENT RESPONSE: The Court revised it budget near year-end so that the budget might reflect the revenues and expenditures projected to occur at year's end, as required by the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1311). It is acknowledged that the actual expenditure was over budget by more than 16%. However, the court's intergovernmental revenues include city, parish, and state wage and fringe benefits as required by GASB Statement No. 24 as described in Note 5. These revenues and related expenditures are hard to predict. We will review the actual to budget comparisons more closely each month.

STATUS: This is an ongoing condition.